1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS				
	DALLAS DIVISION				
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) CAUSE NO. 3:04-CR-240-P (
4	vs.) (SEPTEMBER 22, 2008				
5) DALLAS, TEXAS HOLY LAND FOUNDATION, ET AL (9:00 A.M.				
6					
7					
8	VOLUME 6 of 37				
9					
10	STATEMENT OF FACTS				
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JORGE A. SOLIS				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
13	and a jury 				
14					
15					
16	<u>APPEARANCES</u>				
17					
18	FOR THE GOVERNMENT: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE				
19	1100 COMMERCE, 3RD FLOOR DALLAS, TEXAS 75242				
20	BY: MR. JIM JACKS MR. BARRY JONAS				
21	MS. ELIZABETH SHAPIRO				
22	FOR THE DEFENDANT: FREEDMAN, BOYD, HOLLANDER, (SHUKRI ABU BAKER) GOLDBERG & IVES, P.A.				
23	20 FIRST PLAZA, SUITE 700 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87102				
24	BY: MS. NANCY HOLLANDER MS. TERESA DUNCAN				
25					

1		LAW OFFICE OF JOSHUA L. DRATEL 14 WALL STREET, 28TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10005
3		BY: MR. JOSHUA DRATEL MR. AARON J. MYSLIWIEC
4		LAW OFFICE OF MARLO P. CADEDDU 3232 McKINNEY AVENUE, SUITE 700
5	,	DALLAS, TEXAS 75204 BY: MS. MARLO P. CADEDDU
6	EOD THE DEFENDANT.	LAW OFFICE OF LINDA MORENO
7		
8		BY: MS. LINDA MORENO
9		JONES DAY 555 CALIFORNIA ST., 26TH FLOOR
10		SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104 BY: MR. JOHN D. CLINE
11		
12	FOR THE DEFENDANT: (ABDULRAHAM ODEH)	WESTFALL, PLATT & CUTRER ONE SUMMIT AVENUE, SUITE 910 FORT WORTH, TEXAS 76102
13		BY: MR. GREG WESTFALL
14	COURT'S LAW CLERK:	MS. JENNIFER HELMS 1100 COMMERCE, RM. 1654
15		DALLAS, TEXAS 75242.
16	COURT COORDINATOR:	MS. BRENDA WEBB 1100 COMMERCE, RM. 1654
17		DALLAS, TEXAS 75242
18	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER:	SHAWN M. McROBERTS, RMR, CRR 1100 COMMERCE STREET, RM. 1654
19		DALLAS, TEXAS 75242 (214) 753-2349
20		(221) 100 2019
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

INDEX

ГY	Δλ	TT	TΔ	TТ	ON
حديد	ω.		4		$\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{I}}$

Witness Name	Page
MATTHEW LEVITT	
Direct By MR. JONAS	113
Government's Exhibits	
Government's Exhibits	Page
MAP 4 Admitted into Evidence	136
Map 3 Admitted into Evidence	137
Map 5 Admitted into Evidence	138
Demonstrative No. 12 Admitted into Evidence	146
Hamas Charter 2 Admitted into Evidence	162
Hamas Charter 1 Admitted into Evidence	163
Demonstrative No. 9 Admitted into Evidence	164
Jury sworn and preliminary instructions	
Jury sworn and preliminary instructions	Page 6
Indictment read	
Indictment read	Page
	16
Opening Statements	
Opening Statements	Page
Ms. Shapiro	17
Ms. Hollander	44
Mr. Dratel	61
Ms. Cadeddu	73
Mr. Westfall	89
Ms. Moreno	99

THE COURT: Good morning. I understand the jury is on the way up, so we will get started, and then they will be in here in just a few minutes.

I understand there is not any issues that counsel needs to take up, other than the length of time for opening statements. We will go over that in a few minutes, but no other issues. Is that correct?

MR. JACKS: Judge, the only thing I wanted to make sure was that the jury is aware and that all parties are aware that the Holy Land Foundation Corporation is a Defendant and that there will be evidence in that regard.

As I understand it, and as was represented by Judge Fish before the beginning of the first trial, that the Foundation has no employees and no officers and so there is no one to represent it, but it is an essential part of this lawsuit because of the forfeiture provisions and the funds that are being held subject to being forfeited.

So for that reason, I just wanted to make sure that that doesn't get lost during the trial, the fact that the corporation is also a Defendant.

THE COURT: All right. And certainly I was aware, and I am sure you will remind the jury in your opening statements.

Anything from the Defense that we need to address?

And I think we discussed the time for opening statements,

and my plan is still -- When the jury comes in I will swear them, will administer the oath, and then I will give them their instructions, and then we will proceed to reading the indictment and taking pleas, and then we will move on into opening statements.

The Defense has been allotted a total of two hours for opening statements, and I understand you might want to divide that up different than what you told me last Thursday, and so that --

MS. HOLLANDER: Thank you, Your Honor. We will divide it up.

THE COURT: Let me or Jennifer know so I can keep a rough time on that, because I want to keep a time overall.

Any other matters we need to address?

MR. JACKS: Judge, I don't know what the Court's plans were with regard to the memorial service with Judge Sanders, if that will affect our schedule.

THE COURT: We don't have all the details yet, so we are not in a position -- We will have to be off some Wednesday afternoon. Exactly how much time I don't know yet. We should know something by the end of today, so we will discuss that before the day is over today. Just remind me before we leave today to take that back up.

Counsel, ready for the jury? All right. Go ahead and bring the jury in.

(Whereupon, the jury entered the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen of the jury, good morning and welcome back. And we are ready now to proceed.

And I will ask you to raise your hand and take an oath as jurors in this case.

(Whereupon, the oath was administered by the Clerk.)

THE COURT: Members of the jury, now that you have been sworn in, let me give you some instructions that will guide your deliberations while on the jury.

As you remember, of course, from our conversations last week and then the week before that, or two weeks before that when you were here, we expect this to be a fairly lengthy trial. We will be here about six weeks, and so it will be important for you to follow these instructions. I will remind you about them periodically. You will be receiving a lot of information during the time that you are on this jury service.

First, as jurors it will be your duty to find from the evidence what the facts are. The jury and the jury alone is the judges of the facts. You will then have to apply to these facts the law as I will give it to you, and you must follow that law whether you agree with it or not.

I think we talked to each of you about this last week when you were in being questioned individually. The oath that you took stated you will reach a verdict based on the law and based on the evidence.

For those that haven't served on a jury before, once all the evidence has been presented I will give you a written document—we call it the Court's charge to the jury—that will contain the law that applies in this case. It will contain instructions and definitions. It will contain all the law you need to know, so you don't need to worry about getting any information on your own. All the law will be in there.

You will take that law as I give it to you, along with the instructions, and you will have heard six weeks or so of evidence, and take those two together and we will be asking you to make decisions in this case as to each of these Defendants.

Nothing I may say or do during the course of the trial is intended to indicate, nor should be taken by you as indicating, what your verdict should be. The evidence from which you will find the facts will consist of the testimony of witnesses, documents, and other things received into the record as exhibits, and any facts that the lawyers agree or stipulate to, or that the Court may instruct you to find.

Again, we discussed these things last week with each of you.

The evidence, of course, is what is presented here in the courtroom; not anything that you may have heard or read outside the courtroom, but strictly is what is presented in the courtroom where witnesses are here under oath, testify in front of everyone, and subject to cross examination.

Certain things are not evidence and should not be considered by you in arriving at your verdict. I will list those for you now.

Statements, arguments, and questions by the lawyers are not evidence. Anything the lawyers say is not evidence.

Anything I say is not evidence, unless I instruct you of something that you are to find. But typically the evidence will come from the witness stand and then documents or exhibits or photographs, anything that is introduced here in evidence.

Also objections to questions are not evidence. Lawyers have an obligation to their clients to make objections when they believe evidence being offered is improper under the rules of evidence. You should not be influenced by the objection or by my ruling on it.

If the objection is sustained, then ignore the question. You typically won't hear the answer, so forget the question. If it is overruled, then you will hear the answer, and treat the answer like any other item of evidence, and don't worry about the objection. If I instruct you at any time that some item of evidence is received for a limited purpose, you should follow that instruction.

Any testimony that the Court excludes or I instruct you to disregard is not evidence and should not be considered by you in arriving at your verdict.

Also, as we discussed last week, anything you may have seen or heard outside the courtroom from today on is not evidence and should be disregarded. And as we discussed with some of you, be sure not to share anything that you have heard or seen outside the courtroom with anybody else. That is not evidence, that is not something to discuss, and it is certainly not anything to be considered by you in arriving at your verdict in this case. You are to decide this case solely on the evidence presented here in the courtroom.

Generally speaking there are two kinds of evidence—direct and circumstantial evidence. Direct evidence is direct proof of a fact, such as the testimony of an eyewitness establishing a certain fact. Circumstantial evidence is proof of facts from which you may infer or conclude that other facts exist. I will give you further instructions on this in the Court's charge to the jury that we discussed earlier, but have in mind that you may consider both kinds of evidence.

The law doesn't consider one kind of evidence better than the other. That ultimately will be up to you as far as what evidence you wish to accept and to not accept.

It will be up to you as jurors to decide which witnesses to believe, which witnesses not to believe, and how much of any witness' testimony to accept or to reject. I will give you some guidelines in the Court's charge to the jury that may

help you in assessing the credibility of witnesses, but for now have in mind that is one of the important jobs roles that a jury does. You will be hearing a lot of evidence, and you will sort and sift through that to make your own determination as to what you believe and don't believe so you can arrive at what the facts are in this case.

As you know, this is a criminal case. There are three basic rules about a criminal case you must keep in mind. We went over these before, but I want to touch on them because they are important.

First, the Defendants are presumed innocent. Each of these Defendants, like every other person accused of a crime, they are presumed innocent until proven guilty. The indictment against the Defendants brought by the Government is only an accusation, nothing more. That is not any evidence that you should consider in arriving at your verdict, the fact they were indicted. That is what brings them here into Court. Start off with a clean slate, they are presumed innocent, the burden of proof is on the Government, and then you start looking at the evidence that is presented to you here in court.

The burden of proof is on the Government until the very end of the case. The Defendants have no burden to prove their innocence or to present any evidence or to testify. Since the Defendants have the right to remain silent, the law prohibits

you in arriving at your verdict from considering that the Defendants may not have testified.

Third, the Government must prove the Defendants' guilt beyond a reasonable doubt. I think we talked about that with most of you, if not each of you, last week when you were here, but I will give you some further instructions on this, as well as other matters, in the Court's charge to the jury, and I will give you a definition for this. But have in mind that this is the burden of proof, and it is different than a civil case.

Some of you may have served in civil jury trials before. You may remember the burden of proof was by a preponderance of the evidence, something more likely so than not. That is, of course, a lower standard than applies in criminal cases. The standard in a criminal case is beyond a reasonable doubt. That is the burden that the Government has.

A few words now about your conduct as jurors while you are participating as jurors in this case. First, during the trial you should not discuss the case with anyone, nor permit anyone to discuss it with you. And this applies until the end of the case. This applies to spouses, friends, neighbors, co-workers, anybody. We don't want you to get any influence from outside the courtroom. Those individuals that may want to talk to you about it, they are not here in court listening to the evidence, they are not observing the witnesses, they

are not going to know what you know. So simply tell them you can't talk about it until after the case is concluded.

Once the case is over, you will be free to discuss it as much as you want to, but during the case it is important that you not talk about this case with anyone, and simply that way we don't have any improper outside influences.

Do not read or listen to anything touching on this case in any way. And there have been some media reports in this case already. There may continue to be. So you just need to be careful and make sure you don't read any newspaper accounts. Of course, if you are watching TV and listening to the radio, sometimes it will come on before you know it. At that point kill the sound or walk out of the room, turn it off, whatever you need to do, but make sure you try not to listen to anything being reported about this case. And if anyone should try to talk to you about this case, you should bring that to my attention immediately.

Do not try to do any research or make any investigation about the case on your own. Occasionally we will have jurors who feel maybe their questions aren't being answered by the lawyers, so they will make phone calls and seek information. That is improper, of course. The lawyers will bring you the evidence that they think is important, both sides. That amounts to secret evidence that nobody has the chance -- That information you are getting is not under oath, that is not

being provided here in open court, not subject to cross examination by other side. You will hear plenty of evidence over the next six weeks, so focus your attention on the evidence you hear.

And lastly, do not form any opinion until all the evidence is in. You will be thinking about the case as you go along, but don't form any final decisions until you have heard everything. The case evolves. Anything this long will take some time, so wait until you have heard everything from both sides before you make your final decisions in this case.

And by then you will also receive the instructions on the law and at that point you will have everything you will need in order to make a proper decision in this case. So wait until you have heard everything from all the parties, keep an open mind until you have heard everything and until you receive the instructions on the law.

Some jurors ask about taking notes. I do allow taking notes. There may be some pads, hopefully, and some pens, so you can take notes if you choose to do so. I will leave that up to you. Just a couple of things to remember if you decide to take notes. That is, don't get so involved in taking notes that you are missing what is going on. I think you will find that one of the most useful things you can do is simply observe the witnesses as they are being questioned, as they testify, so you can form your impressions about them and the

testimony they are giving.

And then secondly, the notes are strictly for your own personal use. They are not a substitute for the official record. There is one official record, and that is what is being prepared by the court reporter that is here in front of me. So don't share them with anybody. Don't substitute them as an official record. They are strictly for your own personal use.

If you should decide to take notes, don't take them home with you at the end of the day. Leave them in the jury room, and they will be there for you in the morning, and you can have them if you wish to use them.

And then lastly, you have had the juror tags. Wear those while you are here. Do not talk to any of the lawyers, the Defendants, the family members, any of the agents that are involved here. You will hear from some law enforcement officers. Just keep yourselves apart from them, other than casual greetings. If you see them you can say "Good morning" or "Hello," but don't engage in any conversations. That is why you are wearing the juror tags.

Sometimes we have jurors that want to make conversation with somebody, and it may not be about the case, but it still would look improper. Nobody knows what you are talking about. So the best thing to do is keep yourselves apart from anybody that is participating in any way in this case.

We will in just a few minutes have the indictment read, which is fairly lengthy. That is the accusations against the Defendants. We will take the Defendants' pleas, and then go straight from that to opening statements by the lawyers.

The Government gets to open, as they have the burden of proof. You have seen where they go first every time. So you will hear from -- Ms. Shapiro is making the opening statement on behalf of the Government.

The opening statements, as I told you, is not evidence, but this provides a framework what the parties believe their case will show or what the case will show, so it gives you a framework of the issues that are involved and what to look for.

Then once Ms. Shapiro makes her opening statement, we will take a break and then you will hear from counsel from each of the Defendants and address you, and that should take us into sometime after lunch. Once we come back from lunch, we will finish that up, and start the evidence sometime this afternoon.

At this time, Mr. Jacks, who is reading the indictment?

MR. JACKS: Yes, Your Honor, I am.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. JACKS: Ladies and gentlemen, at this time I am going to read the indictment which was returned by the federal grand jury in the Northern District of Texas in this case.

1	(Whereupon, the indictment was read in open court.)				
2	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Jacks. And to these				
3	charges how do the Defendants plead?				
4	DEFENDANT BAKER: Shukri Abu Baker. Your Honor, I				
5	plead not guilty.				
6	DEFENDANT ODEH: I plead not guilty.				
7	THE COURT: Mr. Odeh?				
8	DEFENDANT EL-MEZAIN: Your Honor, I plead not				
9	guilty.				
10	THE COURT: And you are Mr. El-Mezain?				
11	DEFENDANT EL-MEZAIN: Yes.				
12	DEFENDANT ELASHI: Not guilty.				
13	THE COURT: And we will enter a plea of not guilty				
14	for the Holy Land Foundation.				
15	Ms. Cadeddu?				
16	DEFENDANT ABDULQADER: I plead not guilty.				
17	THE COURT: Thank you.				
18	So each of the Defendants have entered pleas of not				
19	guilty here, and the corporation Holy Land Foundation is also				
20	A named a Defendant, as you heard in the indictment, and we				
21	will enter a plea of not guilty for them.				
22	Let's take the morning recess. We will take about a				
23	20-minute break. We will be back here about 20 till by that				
24	clock, and at that point you will hear opening statements from				
25	the Prosecutor and then the Defense attorneys.				

1 (Whereupon, the jury left the courtroom.) 2 THE COURT: All right. We will be in recess. 3 (Brief Recess.) THE COURT: All right. Members of the jury, as we 4 explained to you then, we will begin with opening statements. 5 6 On behalf of the Government, Ms. Shapiro. MS. SHAPIRO: May it please the Court, counsel, 7 8 co-counsel. My name is Elizabeth Shapiro and I am an attorney with 9 10 the Department of Justice, and I wanted to start this morning by thanking you for your service as jurors, because other than 11 12 serving in the military, jury service is probably the most 13 important civic duty you will ever do in your lifetime, so I 14 want to thank you for being here. 15 This case is a big case, it is an important case, but it 16 is not really that hard of a case. It is about violating the 17 laws of the United States by funding a terrorist organization. You are going to hear about a dangerous terrorist 18 organization called Hamas, and you are going to hear that 19 20 Hamas is a single organization and it has a military side and it has a social side, but they act together. And that social 21 22 side is in order to build popular support. Hamas can't 23 survive without popular support from the people in the West Bank and Gaza and Palestine. 24 Now, when you were all here for your first day of jury 25

selection, His Honor Judge Solis told you that the law prohibits giving money to a terrorist organization even if that money goes to a charity, and you are going to learn during this trial there are important reasons for that law.

Money is the life blood of terrorist organizations. Once money gets into the hands of Hamas, Hamas can do anything it wants with that money. And when you give money to the social side of Hamas, you free up other money that the military side can use. It is all one organization and it is against the law to give to any part of it, and there are good reasons for that.

You may agree with that law or you may disagree with it, but you all took oaths as jurors to follow laws, and the law is that you can't give the Hamas no matter what part of Hamas.

Now, over the course of the trial you are going to hear a lot of evidence, and when it comes time to go back into the jury room to deliberate, you may want to have some notes to refer to, so I recommend that you may want to take notes during this trial. And Judge Solis said that that would be permissible, and he will instruct you on how to use those notes. But you are going to hear a lot of names and a lot of things that may be unfamiliar, so my recommendation would be that it would be helpful for you to have notes.

Remember the Defense lawyers told you last week that all the Defendants here are Palestinian and they are Muslim. But

in this country it doesn't matter where you come from and doesn't matter what religion you follow. If you live here you have to follow the law.

And the evidence will show over the course of many years these Defendants broke the law, and they did that by conspiring to raise money for Hamas and by sending that money into the hands of Hamas.

I want to spend a little time with you this morning giving you a preview of how the Government is going to present its case. The first part of the Government's case is going to introduce you to Hamas, what it is, what it stands for, how it works, and we will present documents and witnesses that will tell you about why, even though Hamas operates over in the Middle East, why we should care about that here in the United States and why it is important that what happens in the Middle East is important to the United States.

And the first part of our case we are going to show you how the Holy Land Foundation was born, how it became ultimately the financial arm for Hamas in the United States.

The second part of the Government's case is going to take you across the ocean, and it is going to show you where that money went. And you will see wire transfers and checks and show that money went overseas to organizations in the West Bank and Gaza. And we will show you that those organizations were controlled by Hamas; that the people who ran those

organizations were people in the Hamas organization, and that the Defendants knew that; that they knew these people and they knew the money that they were giving to these organizations was going into the hands of Hamas.

So that is the essential outline, two parts of the case that the Government is going to present to you.

Now, before I tell you a little more about Hamas, I want to just introduce you to the geography of the region, because it is important that you know geographically what we are talking about during this trial.

I have here -- I am going to walk away from the microphone for a moment. This is a map of the Middle East. And you can see over here in this red area is the state of Israel, and it also shows the West Bank and Gaza Strip, the Palestinian territories. And you can see this is surrounded by a lot of big countries. Over here to the right is Jordan, Egypt down here to my right, Saudi Arabia, Iraq, Syria, Iran is up here. Okay? Small countries surrounded by a lot of big countries.

Now, here this is a blown up map of Israel and the Palestinian territories. To give you an idea of the size of the area we are talking about, this entire country from the top to the bottom is smaller to the state of New Jersey. It would run from top to bottom about from Gainesville on the Texas/Oklahoma border to Austin.

Now, the width, if you look over here, you will see Tel Aviv, the largest city in Israel. If you were to go from Tel Aviv to the border of the West Bank, the Palestinian territories, that would be about the distance from this courthouse to Texas Stadium, a little over ten miles.

You can see also -- On this map you can see the West

Bank, the Gaza Strip, and we will be referring to those as the

Palestinian territories.

Now, this tiny strip of land, and whole area, has been the focal point of conflict for a thousand years, and we are not going to solve that conflict in this courtroom during the trial, so I am just going to talk about more modern times starting with World War II.

After World War II the British controlled the area that is now Israel and the Palestinian territories, and it was called Palestine. And in 1947 the United Nations partitioned that area into a Jewish area and an Arab area. And Israel became a state in 1948.

And there were lots of wars, and I am not going to go into a lot of wars, but there has been conflict for years after that time.

And then came 1967, and in 1967 there was a war that affected the geography of the region. In 1967 those bigger countries around Israel amassed their troops on the border and a war broke out, and it was over in six days, and it is

sometimes referred to as the Six-Day War.

At the end of that war, the end of six days, the map changed a little. Israel occupied the West Bank, which had formerly been Jordan, the Gaza trip which has been Egypt. And the Sinai Peninsula which is down here off to the right of the map, you can't see the whole thing, and also the Golan Heights, that was Syria. And Israel held onto that territory as a security buffer and kept that territory until 1978.

In 1978 there was a peace treaty with Egypt, and as part of that peace treaty the Sinai Peninsula went back to Egypt.

And then later on in the early '90s, there was a peace treaty with Jordan, and Jordan relinquished its claim to the West Bank and signed a peace treaty with Israel. Israel continued its presence in the West Bank.

And then came an event in the early '90s called the Oslo Accords. And the Oslo Accords were between the Palestinians and the Israelis, who on their initiative, with the help of the Norwegians, and the United States came into the game a bit later, but that agreement essentially set out a framework for a future peace and a future Palestinian state. So the idea from the Oslo Accords was that it would be a framework so that there would be a Palestinian government, ultimately a state, and peace between the Palestinians and the Israelis, a resolution.

Now, the Oslo Accords created what was called the

Palestinian Authority, and the Palestinian Authority was headed by Yasser Arafat. And his party was called the Palestinian Liberation Organization. And the Accords gave the Palestinians self-rule in the areas of the West Bank and Gaza according to a complicated agreement, but there was self-rule. So the Palestinian Authority started governing those areas.

Now, the evidence will show you during this case that although this area is far away from here, it directly affects our political and our military interests here in the United States. So what happens in the Middle East is actually important to us here in this courtroom.

Now, I would like to talk to you for a moment about Hamas. You heard already a little about it. Hamas is an Arabic acronym meaning the Islamic Resistant Moment, sometimes known as The Movement, and it has a plan to create an Islamic state in all of this area, essentially wipe the state of Israel off the map. That is its goal. Its founder was a man named Sheik Ahmed Yassin. He was considered to be Hamas' founder and spiritual leader. You will hear his name a lot during the trial. And he is a distinctive figure. You will see he was a paraplegic and he was in a wheelchair, and he will recognizable to you throughout the trial.

Sheikh Yassin seized on some events in the late 1980s when there was a spontaneous uprising amongst the Palestinians that -- Actually it was ignited by a car accident, and there

was a spontaneous uprising. And the Palestinians essentially revolted against Israeli occupation. And Sheikh Yassin took that opportunity to establish Hamas, the Islamic Resistance Movement.

And sometime after that, Hamas published its charter, and you will see evidence in the trial, you will see the charter and what it says, and the charter makes it very clear that the goal of Hamas is violent jihad. The goal is to take over the state of Israel and create in its place an Islamic state.

It also says in the charter that peace agreements, international negotiation conferences, all of that is a waste of time, futility, because peace is not possible until Hamas achieves its goal of creating an Islamic state over the entire area of what is now Israel.

Now, Hamas, as you will see, has two wings, and we have talked about those a little bit earlier. It has a military and it has the social. And then on top there is essentially a political wing. And the political wing sits outside the territories in Damascus. That is where the leadership of Hamas is. The head of Hamas right now is a man named Khalid Mishal, and you will hear his name quite a bit, too. In fact the Defendant Mufid Abdulquader is Khalid Mishal's half brother.

Khalid Mishal is in Damascus, and is number two is a man named Mousa Abu Marzook. And you will hear his name quite a

bit as well. Mousa Abu Marzook was in the United States for quite some time, and I will get to his role in a moment.

And I want to talk to you first about first Hamas' military wring, what they do. What they do is they terrorize the local population through random violence. That is their job. They also have a part of the military wing that kills Palestinians, anybody who is suspected of cooperating with the Israeli government, being a collaborator, is executed by Hamas.

And Hamas was one of the first terrorist organizations to really perfect the use of suicide bombings. The way that would work is Hamas operatives would be smuggled into Israel with suicide belts with explosives or vests that have explosives, and they would find a place that is crowded with civilians, like a bus stop or a restaurant or a shopping mall, and they would ignite themselves, blow themselves up.

Sometimes these explosive vests would be packed with nails and screws so that the explosive impact would maim and injure as many people as possible.

And sometimes these attacks would be sequenced so you would have a suicide attack, someone blows themselves up, and someone rush to the scene to assist the wounded, and then another person would blow themselves up to maximize the casualties.

You will hear a phone call that will be played during the

course of this case between two of the Defendants, Defendant
Abdul Odeh and Defendant Mohammad El-Mezain, and there will be
a phone call after one of these terrorist attacks where
Defendant Odeh will call up and he will talk very excitedly
about what he says is a beautiful operation.

Now, the other part of Hamas that would be a big focus of this trial is the social wing, and that is in fact, the evidence will show, what the Hamas leadership considers to be the most important part of Hamas, because that is the part of Hamas that builds popular support. That is where the biggest part of -- That is where they get the most money coming in.

That is where they are able to indoctrinate the population. That is where they are able to reach out and essentially mold people, including children, into future Hamas supporters. You can sort of think about the social wing of Hamas as it is Hamas' investment in the future. Without the support of the people, without being able to indoctrinate the population, Hamas would die out ultimately.

And what is it the social wing does? It runs schools, clinics, hospitals, hands out food parcels, it provides stipends for Hamas prisoners who are being jailed by either the Palestinian Authority or by the Israelis. It will support the families of people who have been killed or injured as part of the conflict with Israel. And essentially what they are doing is they are reaching out and winning over the hearts and

minds of the population.

Now, I want to talk for a moment about the schools, the summer camps, the kindergartens. Hamas runs all of these things. There are Hamas kindergartens, Hamas summer camps. And you will see evidence of what goes on in the schools and summer camps. What goes on is there is an indoctrination where Hamas essentially teaches a culture of hate. Children are taught to hate Israelis. They are taught to love martyrdom. They are taught to revere suicide bombers. This is what goes on in these institutions.

So when you talk about the social wing of Hamas, we are not talking about necessarily benevolent activity. We are talking about an indoctrination, a plan, an investment in Hamas. Hamas is grooming the next generation.

Another thing Hamas achieves through this social wing is by garnering the popular support. It gains power, essentially gains power and the ability to displace the existing government, the Palestinian Authority. And you will see that Hamas is actually succeeded in that goal in that right now Hamas has taken over the Gaza Strip. So you have a situation now where Hamas runs the Gaza Strip and the Palestinian Authority runs the West Bank.

Now, what is the effect of all this violence that Hamas engages in? Well, it has the effect of destabilizing the region, and it has the effect of preventing the Palestinians

and the Israelis from reaching a negotiated peace. And that is exactly what Hamas wants, because Hamas doesn't want peace. That is what its charter says. Hamas wants an Islamic state in all of Israel. There is no room for compromise. And Hamas believes in violent jihad.

The United States, on the other hand, is interested in negotiated peace. It wants that region to have peace, and that is in the interest of the United States. And you will hear about how war between Israel and the countries in the Middle East undermines U.S. policy interests, both economically, politically, and militarily.

And so the evidence will show that in 1995 President Clinton signed an executive order, and the executive order is sort of like a law except it doesn't have to go through the Congress. The President can sign it on its own. President Clinton signed an executive order that designated Hamas a specially designated terrorist organization, and he did that because Hamas represented an obstacle to peace.

And in 1995, remember the Oslo Accords had been signed in about 1994, 1993, and there was a wave of violence, and that violence was undermining the efforts to implement the Oslo Accords and to have peace in the region.

So President Clinton in that executive order essentially declared a national emergency, and he said that anybody who is an obstacle to peace, as designated in that executive order,

it was against the law to give money to them, because he recognizes that money is what terrorist organizations are all about. They need money to operate.

In that executive order it is also specified that no humanitarian aid, no charity, no money of any kind can go to a designated organization. On the back of that executive order there is an annex, and it lists the terrorist organizations that are subject to that order, and it includes Hamas.

And then in 1997, a couple of years later, Congress passed a similar kind of law that made Hamas a foreign terrorist organization, an FTO that is sometimes referred to. And similarly, it is illegal to give any money to Hamas, including humanitarian and charitable assistance.

I want to talk for a moment about how the Holy Land Foundation came into being and how it ended up right here in the United States.

The Hamas charter says in the charter that Hamas was borne out of the Muslim Brotherhood. You heard a little bit the Muslim Brotherhood. It was an organization that started in the late '20s in Egypt. And Sheik Ahmed Yassin, who founded Hamas, he was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood. And the Muslim Brotherhood is a global movement, and there are Muslims of all nationalities that belong to the Muslim Brotherhood. And their goal, the Muslim Brotherhood goal, is to create essentially a global Islamic state, no more -- no

Western civilization; just replace it with an Islamic system.

And that is its long term goal. And the Muslim Brotherhood is a very patient organization. Its ultimate goal is a global Islamic state.

Now, in the late 1980s the Palestinian part of the Muslim Brotherhood was the dominating faction, and the international Muslim Brotherhood, there is a governing body, and the international Muslim Brotherhood directed, once Hamas was formally declared, that all the countries where they have Muslim Brotherhood organizations should set up a Palestine Committee. That was a directive from the international body of the Muslim Brotherhood. So all the countries around the world that had Muslim Brotherhood organizations created these Palestine Committees. And what was the purpose of the Palestine Committee? It was to support Hamas. And that --You will see documents, and you will see evidence that will say that expressly. The purpose was to support Hamas.

And in the United States there were Muslim Brotherhood organizations that already existed. And I mentioned the name of Mousa Abu Marzook. He became the head of the Palestine Committee here in the United States. At the time he was actually number in the political bureau of Hamas. Marzook was actually here as a student, and he was the head of the Palestine Committee.

And the Palestine Committee, in order to carry out its

mission of supporting Hamas, it encompassed three organizations. It needed organizations for what you will see are media, men, and money. Those are the elements, the things that the Palestine Committee thought it needed to have to support Hamas.

And for the media side of things, which was propaganda, spreading Hamas' word, getting the message out, they had an organization called the Islamic Association for Palestine.

And the Defendant Ghassan Elashi was part of that organization. And the Islamic association of Palestine, or IAP I will call it, they are the first organization to publish the Hamas charter in English, and they would publish Hamas communiques and they would have conferences, and they were essentially the propaganda arm of the Palestine Committee.

There is another organization called the UASR, the United Association for Studies and Research, and that was purported to be a sort of think tank. It was meant to win the battle of ideology and win people over to Hamas, and it was also a form of propaganda.

And then there was the money side of the equation, and the money, the most important part of this, was assigned to the Holy Land Foundation. The Holy Land Foundation was called something else. It was called the Occupied Land Fund at that time. And the Occupied Land Fund's mission, as you will see from the evidence in the case, was to support Hamas. There

will be no doubt about that.

Now, the Occupied Land Fund was actually started by the Defendant Shukri Abu Baker at the time when he lived in Plainfield, Indiana. And he started working with the Occupied Land Fund in Indiana. It was formally incorporated in Los Angeles in California with the Defendant Shukri Abu Baker and Ghassan Elashi and Mohammad El-Mezain filed articles of incorporation creating the Occupied Land Fund formally in Los Angeles. And a few years it changed its names to the Holy Land Foundation, and moved right here to Richardson, Texas.

Across the street from the Holy Land Foundation there was a company called InfoCom, and that was a computer-related business that Ghassan Elashi had. And you will see the evidence will show that a lot of Holy Land's records were actually stored at InfoCom across the street, so you will hear about InfoCom quite a bit during this trial as well.

Now, remember I mentioned Mousa Abu Marzook, head of the Palestine Committee. He shared a bank account with a man named Ismail Elabarasse, and you will hear his name as well. Ismail Elabarasse actually lived in Virginia, and he had a lot of documents in his house about the Palestine Committee. And the FBI got a search warrant, and they were able to get these documents. And you will see many of them during this trial. And those documents will show you organizational charts and member lists and minute meetings and all kinds of things,

records about the Palestine Committees. And you will see many of the names of the Defendants, and you will see the Occupied Land Fund. And those documents will be very important to you because they establish why the Holy Land was created, what its mission was, why it existed.

Now, remember it didn't become illegal to support Hamas or to fund Hamas until 1995, and you will see that a lot of these documents are before 1995, so why do they matter? Why should you see them? Those documents are important not because the documents themselves are the crime, but because they show you the knowledge and intent of the Defendants. They show you what the true purpose of the Holy Land Foundation was. And you can evaluate the evidence that you see in this trial with that knowledge and with that evidence that you will have seen about why the Holy Land was created and what it was all about. It was created to support Hamas.

Now, how did the Holy Land go about raising money? Well, the evidence will show that Holy Land took full advantage of all the freedoms available to us in this country and to make themselves look just like a regular charity. And you will see that one of the things that they did was they had festivals and they had conferences. And you will see the Defendant Mohammad El-Mezain, he was one of the original incorporators, he lives in New Jersey, and his talent was as an orator, as -- He was a great speech maker. And he is actually an

emam, which is a Muslim religious leader. And you will see him and see videotapes of him raising money at Hamas fundraisers, Holy Land fundraisers, and will be raising money for Hamas. And you will see him give fiery speeches, and then people in the audience will donate jewelry, cash, checks, whatever it is, they will come up and they will donate it, and that is one way the Holy Land Foundation raised money.

Another way they raised money is they had a musical band, the band of Mufid Abdulquader would come and play at these fundraisers, and this musical band sang songs glorifying Hamas and inspirational sort of music that inspired people to give money to Hamas. And again, you will see a lot of these videotapes over the course of the trial. In fact, some of these tapes were actually found by the FBI buried in the backyard of one of the former band member's home in Virginia. The FBI was able to reconstruct some of those tapes, and you will see them.

Now, another way the Holy Land Foundation raised money is it brought in speakers from overseas. And you will see lists of overseas speakers that would come in and raise money for the Holy Land Foundation. And many of those speakers were Hamas leaders, others were from other radical groups to espouse radical ideologies, and they would come into the United States and appear at these conferences, and they would give speeches, and again people would donate money.

Sometimes there would be conference calls where you would have a speaker who would be on the telephone and people could have a pass code or access code, and you could conference into these conference calls and hear the inspirational talk and you could donate money that way.

Another way you could raise money is through orphan sponsorships where you could sponsor an orphan in Palestine on a monthly basis or on a periodic basis, and you would get a little package and you would get to meet that orphan and you could send regular payments that way through this orphan sponsorship. And the Defendant Abdul Odeh, who was in charge of the New Jersey office, he did some of those orphan sponsorships.

Now, for all of these donations, and you will see this also through the evidence, you could -- People who donated could take a tax deductible -- It was a tax deductible donation. Because remember, Holy Land incorporated as a regular American charity, so when you gave money to it you could take that off your income tax. And you will see documents like that that say send your tax deductible donation to the Occupied Land Fund, or to the Holy Land Foundation.

Now, you are going to hear about an important meeting of the Palestine Committee that took place in Philadelphia, and the context for this meeting I mentioned earlier, and that was the Oslo Accords. If you recall, the Oslo Accords was that agreement that the Palestinians and Israelis were trying to work out to create a road map for a peaceful co-existence.

And this was a crisis for Hamas and a crisis for the Palestine Committee, which is set up to support Hamas. And why is that a crisis? Because remember, Hamas is against peace. Hamas doesn't want a negotiated settlement, because a negotiated settlement between the Israelis and the Palestinians would mean that there would be a Palestine and there would be an Israel, and that is unacceptable to Hamas.

So many of the Defendants here and the Palestine

Committee met in Philadelphia at the Courtyard by Marriott

Hotel, and the FBI found out about that meeting. And they

went and got a search warrant, and they got a warrant to

listen in on the meeting. And so you will see during the

course of this trial transcripts of that meeting, and you can

see for yourself what was going on.

During that meeting the participants talked extensively about how to defeat the Oslo Accords, what would be the best way to make sure that peace didn't happen.

They also talked about how they needed to hide their support for Hamas, and they directed the participants in the meeting to not say Hamas but to say Samah, which is Hamas spelled backward.

And you will hear Shukri Abu Baker talk at that meeting, you will read the transcript, and he talks about how important

it is to make sure that the Holy Land Foundation maintains its status as a legal American organization, because it can't afford to get caught.

Other participants talk about the Holy Land Foundation as a front organization. Now, a front organization is an organization that doesn't -- It doesn't appear or appears to be something that it is not. And those words are used in the Philadelphia meeting. And they talk about how important it is to make sure that Holy Land is not exposed because its mission of raising money is so important.

Another thing they talk about at that meeting are the particular committees in the West Bank and Gaza where they send their money. They talk about those committees, they talk about them by name, so they know where their money is going when they send it overseas to these committees.

They also talk about how they should communicate with the American public. They say things like, "You can't talk to the Americans about our true objectives, you can't talk to them about Palestine, so we have to talk to them about things they will relate to like human rights. You have to talk to Americans differently."

And then you will see the words of Shukri Abu Baker when he says "War is deception." He says, "We are fighting our war with a kind heart. Deceive. Camouflage. Deceive your enemy." You will see those words.

So this meeting, which was in 1993, why is it important?

Okay. It wasn't illegal to have that meeting. But what it tells you is what was in these Defendants' minds. It is their knowledge and it is their intent. That is why that meeting is so important.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, how did the money get from the Holy Land Foundation overseas to the hands of Hamas? Remember, this is an organization that is trying to not be exposed. It can't just write out a check that says "Pay to the order of Hamas." can't do that otherwise everybody will know they are sending money to Hamas. So what do they do? They send the money over to the social institutions that are controlled by Hamas. the social wing of Hamas has their own charitable organizations that are in the West Bank and Gaza. And the leaders of those charitable organizations were members of Hamas, the people who controlled those committees, and the Holy Land Foundation and these Defendants knew who those people were, and you will see from the Holy Land Foundation's own documents that they knew these people and that they knew they were Hamas, and yet they sent the money to these organizations. And that is how they got money into the hands of Hamas.

Now, there are some things that are important to understand about these committees, and this is really what our second half of our case will focus on. Now, you will see that

a lot of these committees, some of them are called zakat committees, and they are called zakat committees because zakat means charity. And it is an obligation in Islam to give charity. So there are these organizations that collect that charity and distribute it.

And the evidence is going to show that some of these organizations pre-dated Hamas. They actually existed before Hamas ever existed. What Hamas did is it recognized how important it was to have a social infrastructure, and it infiltrated those committees, it got its people inside those committees. And that was a gradual process. From the late '80s early '90s on it got its people into the committees so Hamas controlled the committees.

So even though some of these committees actually existed prior to the time of Hamas, Hamas took them over. There were some committees that Hamas created with Sheik Ahmed Yassin, the leader of Hamas. He established organizations in the Gaza Strip. They were his organizations. They were Hamas organizations. There were others that had existed for a long time prior and they were infiltrated by Hamas members.

Now, you are also going to see that some of these committees had licenses from the Palestinian Authority. You may see some of those licenses in this case. But don't be fooled, because those licenses, the evidence will show, were absolutely meaningless, because the Palestinian Authority by

the time it took over in 1994 and it started governing the territories, these committees were already controlled by Hamas and there was very little the Palestinian Authority could do about it. So while there was a piece of paper on the wall, it was meaningless.

You are also going to hear evidence that the relationship between the Palestinian Authority and Hamas was a complicated one. Hamas was gaining popular support, and the Palestinian Authority was perceived as corrupt and weak. And it was politically impossible for the Palestinian Authority to do anything about these committees. Hamas already had a strangle hold on them. But you will see evidence that from time to time the Palestinian Authority did take action and did shut down some of these committees, usually under pressure from the United States, but then they would quickly re-open.

It wasn't really until last year, you will hear evidence, that these committees finally the Palestinian Authority took decisive action to close and reorganize these committees and the entire zakat committee structure in the West Bank.

Now, you are also going to hear evidence that these zakat committees and charitable committees in the West Bank and Gaza are not separately designated by the United States government.

Okay? You will see a list of specially designated organizations from the Department of Treasury. You are not going to find any of these committees on that list. But it

doesn't matter. Why? Because Hamas is designated. Okay.

Terrorist organizations are like a cancer. You can blight it out in one place and it pops up in other places. It is impossible, the evidence will show, that it is impossible for the United States government to separately designate every single committee, every single charitable organizations.

It would be easy if Treasury Department, the United

States government tried to close down one, to just move across
the street and put up a sign with a different name. It is not
possible to designate everything. But when Hamas is
designated, that means you can't give to any organization that
you know is Hamas, and these Defendants knew that these
committees were controlled by Hamas. You will see that
through the evidence. They knew who the people were. They
knew the committees. They talked about these committees in
Philadelphia by name. You will see documents from Mr.
Elabarasse's house that lists these committees by name and
says, "These committees are ours."

You will see evidence that they knew where they were sending their money. And yet they put that money into the hands of these committees, which was putting money into the hands of Hamas, and that is illegal.

Now, you may also see that the United States government made a few mistakes on occasion. We have an agency in the U.S. government that gives out charity in troubled places

abroad, and you will see evidence that on occasion the United States government gave money to some of these committees. But again, those are mistakes. The United States government is learning about how to uncover these sorts of organizations and how to understand where the terrorist organization is controlling another organization. Okay? The difference is that the United States government didn't intend to give to Hamas. These Defendants intended to give to Hamas, and the evidence will show that, again, that they knew where the money was going.

And remember the evidence will show what the mission of the Holy Land Foundation was. The mission was to support Hamas.

Now, I have three requests that I am going to make of all of you during the course of this trial. The first one I already touched on, and that is that I would recommend that you take notes, because even this morning you have probably heard more names than you can possibly remember. So it will be helpful to you to be able to write those down so you will have them when you go back for deliberations.

The second thing I am going do ask for you is to think like a terrorist organization. What does that mean? This case is about unraveling deceptions. You are going to hear evidence that one or more of these Defendants lied on multiple occasions. You are going the hear that they lied on travel

documents, that they lied in sworn court statements, that they lied to the media, that they lied to the American public.

There is a lot of deception. Remember the words of Shukri Abu Baker, Defendant Abu Baker, in Philadelphia. He said, "War is deception." So you have to look at the evidence in that way. You are unraveling a deception. So try to think like a terrorist organization. What would they do? A front organization trying to survive trying to keep raising money without being detected.

The last thing I am going to ask you to do is to listen to all the evidence carefully from both sides and the Court's instructions that he will give you at the end of the case, and focus on the law, because you are going to hear a lot of things to try to distract you from the law. When you hear about Israeli occupation and hear about Israeli settlements, and you hear and see pictures of children with backpacks and you will hear about the First Amendment, just ask yourself what does that have to do with the law that says you can't give charity to a terrorist organization? Keep that in mind.

Now, I am going to make one prediction, and that is that at some point during this trial one of the lawyers from the Defense is going to stand up and say, "These men are not accused of a single act of violence." You might hear that. But remember that money is everything to a terrorist organization. Money is the life blood of a terrorist

organization. And these men put money into the hands of terrorists, and that terrorist organization commits heinous acts of violence.

So I want to end where I started, which is that this case is not about charity. It is about this law. In the United States you cannot give money to a terrorist organization, even if it is charity, for any part of that terrorist organization. So while this is a big case and a bit of a long case, that is really all there is to it.

When you hear all the evidence, I am confident that you will apply the law and that you will find each of these Defendants guilty as charged in the indictment.

And I thank you for your time, your attention, and for your service as jurors.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Ms. Hollander?

MS. HOLLANDER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Good morning.

This case is about delivering charity to people who desperately need it.

The Prosecutor was right about one thing--not one of these men, including my client Shukri Abu Baker, is charged with committing a single act of violence against anyone. That is not distraction. That is reality.

I would like to start by introducing you to someone Ms.

Duncan my co-counsel and I have come to know, and that is

Shukri Abu Baker. You are going to learn in this case that he
has dedicated his life to helping poor children, suffering
people around the world, particularly the families and
children of Palestine, and you are going to learn that they
are among the poorest and neediest people in the world.

It is true that the Holy Land Foundation was everything to Shukri and he was everything to it. It was his life, it was his love, and it was his hope for the children of Palestine.

Now, the Prosecutor just said these men are Palestinian, but let's start there with what is reality, because that is not entirely true. Shukri Abu Baker is an American citizen. He wasn't even born in Palestine. He was born in Brazil. His mother is Brazilian. His father is Palestinian. He was born in South America. His mother's family are all Brazilian and they are all Catholic. His father's family are all Muslim from Palestine. So as a really multi-cultural family, maybe it is correct to call him a Palestinian-American, or perhaps a Palestinian-Brazilian-American, but that is what reality is.

He worked full time at the Holy Land Foundation every single day from the day it opened until it closed in 2001. He was responsible for it and he accepts that responsibility, because he believes so strongly in it.

But we have to go back to 1948 and talk about it a little

more than the Prosecutor did to understand what happened. She said that Israel was created out of this land that was

Palestine, and showed you a map of Israel and the Middle East.

And that is a helpful map, but it made it sound like Israel was just created out of this empty land. Well, that is not exactly what happened.

But let's start a little bit with size, because she told you a little bit about size, and I want to show you something that we are all very familiar with here, and that is just to give you some perspective here, this is what this would look like if it were in the state of Texas. And it is pretty much what she said, but here is the whole area. This part is Israel, and this part, we are going to learn, is the part that Israel occupied since 1967, but the whole thing would fit into this tiny little bit of Texas.

And of course it is a long ways away, but what happened in 1948 is when the country of Israel, we call it the state of Israel but it is a country, the country of Israel was created. Jews came from all over the world to settle there. But there were already people there. There were the Arabs, Muslims, and Christians who were already living there who had lived there for generations, many of them, and they had homes and farms and olive groves and animals and children. And as a result of the conflicts and the wars around the creation of Israel, nobody knows for sure, but somewhere between 750,000 and

1,000,000 Palestinian Arabs, Muslims, and Christians fled or were forced to leave Israel at that time. They ended up in refugee camps, and many of these camps still exist today.

Now, these camps, these refugees created a huge humanitarian crisis, which she also didn't tell you about. That is part of the reality. It was so overwhelming that the United Nations had to create a special organization. It is called the United Nations Works and Relief Organization. And it is usually known by its initials UNWRO. And it had to create that just to deal with these refugees. Many of these refugees still live in the Gaza Strip and in the West Bank, the area we will call the occupied territories or we also call Palestine.

And these are some of the people who Holy Land helped to survive, to live, not to live better, but just to live--the people in these refugee camps. I just want to show you a picture of one refugee camp that exists today. This is a picture of the refugee camp, and the gentleman where my finger is, you will see that again, he had the Holy Land logo because he was taking some supplies into the refugee camp.

But UNWRO, the UN couldn't provide everything that

Palestinians need to survive, and the Palestinians -- those

Palestinians and other Muslims who had more money tried to

help themselves through the local charities.

And zakat is not just the name of a committee. Zakat is

a fundamental tenant of the Muslim faith. It is an Arabic word that means something like charity or tithing, but Muslims are required by their religion if they have any funds to give to those less fortunate. But when they want to donate they have to figure out who are the needlest people, so historically they created committees, local volunteers who get together to help decide how to donate the money so that it is accountable and they are accountable. And you will see their books are audited, they are accountable to the government, so the money really goes where it is supposed to go.

You are going to hear a lot more about this. She said that the evidence is going to show these zakat committees are controlled by Hamas, and that is simply not true. They were started long before Hamas, even before Israel existed, and you are going to learn a great deal more about them.

And their licenses do matter. That is reality, not deception. They have licenses. They have registration. They are audited. They are very significant organizations throughout the Muslim world, not just in Palestine.

But they are particularly important in the West Bank and Gaza, because for many years there was really no functioning government so there was no way to provide services for the people who need them. And the people were desperately poor, and the zakat committees are known to be honest and fair and could be trusted.

You will also learn that the United States government is the largest single contributor to this UN organization that provides for the same people and worked with the same organizations that Holy Land worked with.

Now, the Prosecutor told you again that there was another war that started in 1967 known as the Six-Day War. And this is a very significant war because that is when Israel took over the occupied territories. That is when Israel began to occupy not just this land that it got in 1948, but all the rest of this land over here, this kidney-shaped area and the Gaza Strip which is right here. And it is called the occupied territories because Israel occupies it. And that is very significant. Now, that is where the refugees were living from the first war, and now there were more people, more Palestinians who were living there who had also been living there.

This occupation has led to tremendous economic suffering and to another humanitarian crisis. And to understand Shukri's intent and his state of mind in devoting his life to giving money and charity to Palestine, you have to understand this suffering and its causes. That is reality.

Under international law you will learn, that the U.S. also supports, Israel was not supposed to move its own people onto this land that it occupied. It had two obligations under international law. One is not to move its own people on to

take over the land, and to provide for the people. If it is going to occupy the land it has to provide food and water and supplies.

Well, Israel started immediately after 1967 moving its people in, taking over land from the Palestinians, and has failed miserably in providing for the Palestinians. And that is when Israel built these settlements. That is what they are called--settlements. They are actually actual cities. Israel moved Jewish people into these settlements, and that meant that the Palestinians lost land. And the Palestinians lost some of their livelihood, and their economic situation worsened.

You will learn that the United States has repeatedly asked Israel to stop building these settlements. And they figure into this case in many ways, because Palestinians work their land they have to be able to get to their land, their children have to be able to get to schools, sick people have to be able to get to hospitals. But once the settlements were built, then Israel built roads around to get from the settlements for the settlers, the Jewish settlers, to get where they wanted to go. These are called bypass roads. They had big walls around them, and the Arabs are not allowed to drive on those roads.

Well, the roads, too, cut through their land because

Israel took land to make those roads. And in addition, the

Palestinian roads are bad and they have to wander around these roads that are now all over the West Bank to get where they need to go. So there are people who a house is on one side of the road and their olive groves are on the other side, and instead of being five minutes away it may be a day's drive to get to where they have to go.

This created another need that Shukri and Holy Land sought to fill, and it added to the anger and resentment against the Israelis. Then Israel created checkpoints to protect their settlements and their bypass roads, and these checkpoints are all over the West Bank so that Palestinians who need to go to school or hospitals or need to go to work have to wait in long lines, and sometimes can't get where they need to go.

This creates another huge humanitarian crisis and other economic crises. Trucks full of produce rot because they can't get through because the checkpoints get opened and closed by the Israelis who have complete power over them.

You will learn that one of the things Holy Land did was help provide for local hospitals, because the Israeli hospitals that they provided were abysmal, and people needed local hospitals they could get to without going through the checkpoints.

There is going to be no dispute in this case about how desperately poor the people in the occupied territories are.

That is the Palestinians. Israel does provide for the settlers. They have all the food and water that they need. They are provided for by Israel. It is the Palestinians who are not.

So that is why really we have the Intifada that started in 1987. The Palestinians had taken all they could take. But the resistant took many forms. Certainly some people, young people, threw rocks at the soldiers, but some went on peace marches, some waived Palestinian flags. All of that resulted in people getting arrested. Israelis didn't make distinctions who was throwing rocks and who was on a peace march. So hundreds and hundreds of people were arrested at that time. Some of them were injured by Israelis shooting rubber bullets. People were killed. This raised the anger and it raised the humanitarian crisis that resulted, because people were now in prison and, therefore, children had no fathers to take care of them.

And, of course, Israel doesn't have all the legal protections that we have, so people could be detained for a long time without any evidence.

The needs of the Palestinian people dramatically increased during this time. That is when Holy Land was created. The Government is trying to convince you that because Holy Land was created at approximately the same time Hamas started, that somehow they are connected, but they are

not and you will see that they are not. Holy Land focused on the needs of the people and kept itself out of politics.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I want to give you one little example. The Government is going to try to convince you Holy Land supports terrorism, when really it was a legal response to a humanitarian crisis. And I will tell you this very briefly, you will hear more about it, and it was mentioned in the indictment, that there were -- Israel deported 400 men in 1992 and sent them to a wasteland in the middle of the Winter. It is true that Holy Land came to their aid. It is also true that they were never accused of a single crime. It is also true that the United States of America and many other countries in the UN condemned Israel for this act, and finally Israel relented and took the men back. None of them were ever charged. They just simply went back home. But Holy Land the Red Cross, the UN, and many other agencies immediately went to their aid, otherwise they would have starved and frozen to death. This was in 1992.

Some of them indeed were members of Hamas, but it was not illegal at that time to provide support for Hamas, and the entire world, including our government, said that these men had to be returned home. Think of that when you hear the evidence about them. There was nothing illegal about giving to charity to members of Hamas in 1992 and that is very, very important.

The next thing she talked about was Oslo, and again she

didn't exactly tell you the reality. This was not a peace agreement. It was not intended to be. It wasn't called that. It is called the Oslo Accords. Nor was it an agreement between two countries. The Palestinians agreed to recognize the country of Israel, but Israel did not agree to do anything other than negotiate with the Palestinians, and Israel did not, and to this day does not, agree to the creation of any part of the occupied territories being a Palestinian state.

The Prosecutor focused on how Hamas opposed Oslo, and that is true, but there were many, many others who opposed it. Palestinians, Arabs, Christians, and Muslims opposed it. Many Israelis opposed it for other reasons. Many Americans opposed it.

And she said there was a wave of violence after Oslo, and that is also true. But again, one of the things that happened, and it was a horrible thing, was that a Jewish man, one of the settlers in the occupied territories, actually assassinated the prime minister of Israel, Yitzhak Rabin, because he had signed the Oslo Accords and this man was opposed to it. Now, you can be sure that that man, that Jewish terrorist, did not support Hamas.

From this perspective of the Palestinians, and from Shukri's perspective, the Oslo Accords was devastating. They were afraid no one was going to pay attention to the people of Palestine; more, that more land would be taken and more

Palestinians would be driven into poverty and never have an independent state.

It is against that backdrop that Shukri met with other men in Philadelphia. And again she only told you part of the story. They did meet and they talked. And thank goodness we have freedom of speech and freedom of association and freedom of religion in this country, because all they did at that meeting was talk. And it is not a crime to affiliate. It is not a crime in this country ever to speak your mind, even to speak your mind about an illegal organization.

The meeting was in a public hotel. Everyone used their own names. They signed in. The Government will show you their airplane receipts to prove who was there. There was nothing secret about it. And it took place before Hamas was illegal.

And I just want to very briefly show you some dates to keep in mind. These are the dates to remember. January 1995, before that, that is when the law was enacted that made it illegal to provide material support to Hamas. The second one is in 1997, and Holy Land closed in 2001. Just keep those dates in your mind.

You are going to hear things Shukri said during that meeting. He was distressed about what was happening. He was fearful. Even though it was illegal -- It was not illegal in 1993. It was two years before the U.S. put Hamas on the list

that made it illegal to provide support to it. Israel had been trying to put down the Intifada for a number of years, and Israel arrested people for little or no reason.

Shukri had every reason to be fearful of Israel. He was going to go there. His foundation was there. People could be punished for waving Palestinian flags. He had to be careful what he said. And you will hear that he warned people not to use the word Hamas, but you will also see that he said that the charity had to be distanced from anything political. He told the people there, what the Prosecutor told you, "It doesn't matter what you agree with or don't agree with. We have to be legal. We have to be able to provide our support to the people of Palestine. We can't -- Our motto is need not creed." You will hear that again.

A few months after Philadelphia tragedy struck first in Palestine and then in Israel. A Jewish-American doctor who lived in one of these settlements walked into a mosque and killed, murdered 29 Muslim men while they were praying. Not long after that, Hamas sought revenge with suicide bombings directed against civilians in Israel. And not long after that the U.S. put Hamas on the list of organizations that were interfering with the peace process. It was at that point in 1995 that it first became illegal to provide material support to Hamas.

As soon as the law changed, Shukri gathered his employees

and again reminded them that Holy Land is not to be aligned with any political organization. "Our motto is need not creed."

And Shukri and Ghassan Elashi, who was chairman of the board, were so concerned about this that they hired lawyers. They even hired a former Congressman, John Bryant, and you will hear him tell you that they hired him to find out, "What should we do? We want to make sure we do everything legal."

He went to Washington. He went to the State Department. He went to the FBI. He went to the Israeli embassy. He said, "Just tell us. We want to make sure we stay on the right side of the law." No one in this government or at the embassy would ever tell Holy Land to stop working with anyone. No one said stop providing charity to anyone or any organization. And, in fact, that is because Holy Land wasn't breaking any laws and they knew it. None of these zakat committees were on any list.

And as you have heard, the United States government was also giving money to them. It wasn't a mistake. Let's look at the reality. The United States government, you will see the documents, was giving money to the same zakat committees. And you will hear particularly the United States Agency for International Development, USAID, and you will hear about the work that they did with the zakat committees.

You will hear from Mr. Ed Abington who was the former

consul general in Jerusalem from the United States. He was our United States -- the United States representative in Jerusalem, Israel from approximately '93 to '97. He visited the zakat committees as a representative of the United States. This is the same time period when Holy Land is providing charity through the help of the zakat committees. And you will hear from Mr. Abington that he never received any reliable information that these zakat committees were controlled by Hamas or part of Hamas. And you will learn that none of the zakat committees or any of the charities listed on this indictment was ever placed on this list. Even now seven years later they are still -- not a single one is on that list that she talked about.

You will also find out that Holy Land provided also for fathers who were killed by Hamas or suspected of being spies for Israel. It was need not creed. An important tenant of the Muslim faith is that a child is never to be held responsible for the sins of the father, and that was an important tenant of this charity. Orphans are particularly important in the Muslim faith, and you will hear more about that. They provided for any orphan who was in need.

So you might ask yourself what exactly did Holy Land do, and I want to very briefly tell you you are going to hear much more about this, but you heard about the backpacks. These kids couldn't go to school, many of them, because they didn't

have shoes and clothes to get there. Holy Land provided backpacks for children and the backpacks had everything they needed for an entire year--pencils, pads, et cetera, even shoes and clothes. And I just want to show you one picture of the kids with their backpacks. Here they are. And they are outside. You can see the Holy Land logo, and they have got their backpacks filled with everything they need so they can actually get an education. This was very important to Holy Land.

Holy Land provided orphans and families with monthly stipends, during religious holidays provided them with food so that they could celebrate their holidays.

Another thing that Holy Land did, Israel demolished homes for various reasons. If a member of the family was suspected of being a terrorist, Israel would demolish the homes sometimes of the entire family and the extended family. If people didn't have a permit to build, Israel would demolish the homes. If Israel wanted the land for some other purpose, they would demolish the homes. And then Holy Land would step in. And I want to show you a picture. It is a picture of two little boys going through what is left of their home to try to find anything. Holy Land couldn't rebuild their homes, but what it could do was help them. Holy Land and UNWRO both provided tents for them until they could get housing. And the Palestinian Authority would find apartments, but the

apartments were empty and these people had nothing, so Holy
Land would provide basic necessities, and I will show you
that. There you see the Holy Land representatives with a
mother and her children and the very basic things they need to
survive.

The Government can't say -- And you will hear much more about the work that Holy Land did. This was reality. This is not distraction. The Government can't say it didn't know where Holy Land's money was going all those years. It knew all the time from 1993 on that millions of dollars, the millions of dollars you have heard about was being raised and sent to Palestine and to some other countries. They knew exactly what it was doing and where the money was going because they tapped the phones of the Holy Land office and most of -- some of the men, including Shukri, his home phone. They listened to their phone calls, they listened, read their faxes, they read their emails, they saw the bank transfers, they knew where every bit of this money was going the whole time.

It is -- Holy Land is an Islamic charity, and you are going to learn more about what that means. Its founders, including Shukri, are devout religious Muslim men, but it is not a Hamas charity. You will see Holy Land's records and you can judge for yourself when you see the records they kept.

You will hear from experts who will explain to you more about

zakat, and you will learn that this is indeed a charity, a charity that was desperately needed, a charity that was Shukri's life, it was his love, and it was his hope for the children of Palestine, and you will learn that Holy Land did not give one single dime to Hamas.

Ms. Duncan and I and Shukri are confident that at the end of this case you will find Shukri Abu Baker not guilty. Thank you very much for your attention.

THE COURT: Thank you. And we will do one more before we take the lunch break.

Mr. Dratel?

MR. DRATEL: Thank you, Your Honor.

May it please the Court.

Thank you. Good afternoon. It is just barely afternoon. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Joshua Dratel and I represent Mohammad El-Mezain. I hope you can all see him. I can't move the monitor, but he stood up before and told you he was not guilty.

The Government in its opening statement told you that you will see a lot of documents, a fair amount of evidence, but what this case will demonstrate to you is the difference between the quality of evidence and just the quantity of evidence. And I submit to you the evidence you will see will not be of a quality where the Government will be able to prove its case beyond a reasonable doubt.

The important thing the Government has to prove, what it really has to prove particularly with respect to Mr. El-Mezain beyond a reasonable doubt, it has to prove to each and every one of you that Mr. El-Mezain knowingly or intentionally conspired to provide material support to Hamas in a particular form, that he knew or intended that the charitable aid that the Holy Land Foundation provided to zakat committees in the West Bank was going to organizations controlled by or operating on behalf of Hamas.

Now, Mr. El-Mezain is charged in only one count, Count 1, which is a conspiracy count to provide material support. And for that count, and I will talk about it a little bit again and you will hear about it throughout the case, the operative date, the important date when that law became effective, October 8th, 1997. So nothing that Mr. El-Mezain did before then can be considered a crime, not that it would be, but the Government has acknowledged that it can't even be considered.

About the zakat committees, the organizations where the money went, they are all licensed, they all pre-existed Hamas, they are licensed by not only the Palestinian Authority, by Jordan, they operated when Israelis controlled the territory up until 1994 when the Oslo Accords gave authority to the Palestinian Authority. And the Government in its opening talked about how these couldn't be designated. None of these zakats have never been designated. As we sit here today they

are not designated.

And you will hear about the designation process. You will hear that the Government designates thousands of organizations. They designated certain other organizations just like the zakat committees as being part of Hamas, but not these where the money went.

You will also hear they could have been shut down at any time, not only by the Palestinian Authority but by the government of Israel.

There is only one witness who will come in and tell you these zakat committees are affiliated with Hamas, in any way or controlled by Hamas. That is a witness from Israel who will testify anonymously before you who has a bias and an interest in this outcome of this case who, I submit to you, when you hear his testimony and the cross examination and consider it in light of all the other testimony and evidence, that you will see not objective, not reliable, certainly not beyond a reasonable doubt, which is the standard.

The Government will try to give you a slice, a small slice of a piece of evidence, but then when you look at the entire pie, when you zoom out from the small focus that the Government gives you, you will see an entirely different perspective about this case.

I will give you an example. When they talk about the Philadelphia meeting, Mr. El-Mezain wasn't even at the

Philadelphia meeting. I will give you another example. The Government had a wiretap on Mr. El-Mezain's phone for a decade, a decade, 1993 to 2003. You will hear some of those conversations, but think about a decade's worth of your telephone conversations and then look at the evidence you will see from that and say, "Does this prove the offense that Mr. El-Mezain is charged with?" I submit to you it will not.

None will be related to these charges. None will prove these charges in a decade of conversations that are recorded.

I am not going to repeat what Ms. Hollander said about Holy Land's purpose and its mission. I will just tell you need not creed, and you will see that in the evidence.

Mr. El-Mezain was born in a town called Khan Yunus, which is in the Gaza Strip. His parents still live there. He is here in the United States with his wife and his eight children. And you will hear Abu Ibrahim, as sometimes he is referred to, Abu means father and Ibrahim is the first son, the oldest son. That is a tradition in the Islamic world, the father and then the name of the oldest son. So he is called Abu Ibrahim. There is nothing unusual. It is a common custom.

He came to the United States in 1983, received his degree in business from the most prestigious university in Egypt,

Cairo's Al-Azhar. He first lived in fort Collins in Colorado while studying for his Master's in economics, which he

received in 1985. And then in 1989 he and his family moved to Paterson, New Jersey where he became an emam, and he worked at the mosque there. He also raised money for an Islamic Education Foundation. He also raised money for hundreds of mosques and other institutions in the United States--mosques, youth centers, schools. This was his skill and job.

From 1989 to 1999 he lived in Paterson, New Jersey and worked for the Holy Land Foundation as the original chairman of the board. In 1999 he moved to San Diego with his family and he has been in San Diego ever since.

And he also changed jobs with the Holy Land Foundation, resigned from chairman of the board and became the director of endowment and he has always worked as a community leader, an interfaith community leader in that character as well.

Now, the Government will try -- they talked about distractions. Let me tell you about distractions. What you will see in the evidence, which is documents from people you don't even know who wrote them, handwritten, they will try to tell you this has something to do with this case, with Mr. El-Mezain, these Defendants.

Time frames. Keep in mind those time frames. It bears repeating. Nothing about Hamas was illegal in the United States, giving support to Hamas, material support, nothing was illegal before 1995. With respect to Mr. El-Mezain, that one count it is 1997. And 2001 are the last acts in this

indictment. So when you talk about events and evidence that comes after that, ask yourself what is the relevance.

Just as an aside, the Government said that Hamas succeeded because they now control the Gaza strip. It is interesting that all the organizations to which Holy Land gave money that are listed in this indictment are on the West Bank, not controlled by Hamas, so ask yourself what is the relevance of that. And you will see the evidence from I will show you it is not.

Mr. El-Mezain is proud of his work in the Holy Land because they provided need to people who really need it, aid to the most needy, those ignored, those unable to help themselves, those without a government to protect them. Now he was not involved in the daily administrative aspects of Holy Land. He was a fundraiser, and that was his skill.

What happened in 1995 and again in 1997, you will see from the evidence, it is as if you are in a business and you have -- let's say you have a contract with the government, you are a government contractor, and there are some suppliers, subcontractors that are disqualified, for whatever reason--prior criminal activity, suspicions, all these things. So the government gives you a list you can't use these people. That is 1995 a list to the whole world the designation process. These people, these organizations are considered terrorists and you can't do business with them. That is what

the list is about, the designation list.

What happens in 1995 is essentially you get this list.

"Okay. I can't deal with A, B, or C, but I can still deal with D, E, and F because they are not on the list." And imagine if years after that the government comes back and says, "You should have known that D, E, and F were bad even though we didn't put them on the list." You had this government contract and went and used these contractors that were on the list because you thought it was okay, and years later you find out no.

What happened in 1995 was that Holy Land knew that this law had been passed. There is no question about that. Knew Hamas was on the list. Mr. El-Mezain knew that. You will hear the evidence. But what Holy Land did, just as a business would do if you had been using one of those disqualified suppliers and then got a list that said you can't use them anymore, you would do what Holy Land did--adjust to the new law and comply with it. Adjust to the new law and comply with it.

And you can complain about it all you want. That is your right as an American. You can say, "I don't agree with the new list. I think the supplier is okay." You might call your Congressman and say, "This supplier was good in the past. I don't know why they are on the disqualified list." That is your First Amendment right to petition for grievances to

associate, to speak your mind, to vice your opinions, which you can do, and do business with that supplier. That is what Holy Land did--didn't do business with people who were designated and with Hamas; adjust and comply, just like you would do in any highly regulated business, which Holy Land knew the microscope was on them all times, from the media, from organizations, from the government. You will see that the last thing it would do is put itself in a position where its mission could be compromised, its mission to provide aid to needy Palestinians in the territories. That was its mission, and anything that would jeopardize that was the last straw. They would not do it. Instead adjust and comply.

Now, the Government told you about telephone conversations that Mr. El-Mezain will be heard on. And again, they have nothing to do with the charges, but you will hear conversations. And you will hear how he reacts to news of death on either side, Palestinian or Israeli. You will not hear joy. You will not hear enthusiasm. And you will hear other conversations which he does express joy, not about death but about other things. You will be able to tell the difference. You will be able to tell what kind of man he is from that evidence. You will be able to tell his intent from that.

But those ten years of taped conversations will not provide anything of what the Government needs, and neither

will the videos. And the videos, when you see those videos think to yourself "When was this said? What was the state of the law when these statements were made? What exactly is Mr. El-Mezain saying as opposed to what the Government characterizes, and what is the context of the statements he is making?" Again, it won't prove anything to you about these charges.

But what these telephone conversations and all of the evidence with respect to Mr. El-Mezain won't show you anything about talking about giving money to Hamas; nothing suggesting that he thought that giving money to zakat committees meant giving it to Hamas, or any suggestion that the zakat committees themselves were connected to Hamas in any way.

The Government also spoke about the Muslim Brotherhood, and the evidence will show you that even from the Government's own witnesses the Muslim Brotherhood is not Hamas. The Muslim Brotherhood never been designated. And it is in the United States, and still exists in other forms, public and permitted.

Mr. El-Mezain told them he was a member of the Muslim Brotherhood. He told the Government that in an interview. There is nothing hidden secret or nefarious about it.

Look at the evidence. Look at it hard. We ask you to look carefully, pay attention. That is very important in this case.

The Government also talked about relationships that Mr.

El-Mezain is related to someone other Defendants or related to people who might be connected to Hamas. Keep in mind as we go forward how much time the Government spends on that type of evidence. Associations, who you are related to, it is not a crime. The Government wants to make this a case about that through the evidence that you will hear; not about Mr.

El-Mezain's intent and where the money actually went, because that is what this case is about. It is not a substitute for evidence. It is not a substitute for the proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

The First Amendment is an important aspect of this case because you will hear a lot of speech. In fact, it may surprise you, but it is true, but it is not illegal to actually be a member of Hamas. You could say, "I am a member of Hamas." It is not a crime. What is a crime is to provide material support for Hamas, and this case it is talking about money going to Hamas. It is not a crime to say, "I agree with Hamas. I am all for it." It is not a crime to go out on the front steps of the courthouse and read the Hamas charter out loud every day. That is all protected by the First Amendment. That is not the crime here. The charges are material support in the form of money to Hamas.

So you will see and hear a lot of speech from all sides of the issue. Some of it will be angry. Some will be frustrating. Much of it will be impassioned in one way or

another. But under the First Amendment, that speech cannot be punished unless it is intended to or it is capable of inciting imminent unlawful conduct, and none of that is the case here.

Think about being a terrorist organization. Think about that and you will see the evidence and you will see none of this what Holy Land did, so much of it, and Mr. El-Mezain in particular, is what a terrorist organization would do.

The question is not who you talk to. It is not who you know or are related to. It is not what you say. It is not your political beliefs. It is about whether the Government can prove to you beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. El-Mezain conspired, that he knew and intended that the money that Holy Land gave to these zakat committees that went directly to people was given to organizations with his knowledge and intent that they were operating for the benefit of or controlled by Hamas.

At the end of the case I will come back to you and ask to return the verdict that the evidence will show you is the only verdict--not guilty for Mr. El-Mezain. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Dratel.

Members of the jury, we will go ahead and recess for lunch. I will ask you be back at 1:45. We will plan on starting at 1:45.

(Whereupon, the jury left the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Anything from counsel before we recess?

1	See you back Yes, sir?
2	MR. DRATEL: How much time do we have left.
3	THE COURT: You used 15 minutes and Ms. Hollander
4	used 30, so you are right on where we were.
5	MS. SHAPIRO: We have one request to make, and that
6	is when the jury comes back or the end of opening, or whenever
7	it is appropriate, will you be instructing the jury that the
8	pictures Ms. Hollander showed are not in evidence?
9	THE COURT: Are they coming into evidence at some
10	point?
11	MS. SHAPIRO: We will be objecting to them coming
12	into evidence.
13	MS. HOLLANDER: The pictures I used were pictures
14	that came into evidence during the first trial, and they will
15	be coming back
16	THE COURT: You will be offering?
17	MS. SHAPIRO: And we will be objecting.
18	MS. HOLLANDER: We won't be offering the map that we
19	used.
20	THE COURT: Right. Okay. Okay. Well, I don't
21	think So when the evidence starts, you are requesting an
22	instruction that says anything they saw during opening
23	statements
24	MS. SHAPIRO: The same way opening statements are
25	not evidence, also anything they saw, that it is not evidence

1	at this stage.
2	THE COURT: Are you planning on offering the maps
3	that you showed?
4	MS. SHAPIRO: These maps I believe, yes.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Normally it is my practice to not
6	let lawyers use anything not in evidence so we don't have to
7	get into these instructions. Let me get back with you on
8	that.
9	MS. HOLLANDER: We are happy to move the map into
10	evidence, if that is what Your Honor wishes.
11	THE COURT: I don't think You are not objecting
12	to that?
13	MS. SHAPIRO: We are not objecting to the maps. We
14	are objecting to the photographs. We don't think those can
15	properly be authenticated at all.
16	THE COURT: All right. We will come back to this,
17	then. We will be in recess until 1:45.
18	(Lunch Recess.)
19	THE COURT: Ms. Cadeddu?
20	MS. CADEDDU: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	Counsel, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, my name is
22	Marlo Cadeddu, and I represent Mufid Abdulquader sitting at
23	the end of the table.
24	Jury trials are long endeavors and they can sometimes be
25	confusing. Unfortunately, unlike on TV, things don't always

come in in the order you would like to see them so that you can understand everything. Things come in out of order and they are sometimes a little confusing, and for that we all apologize. It is just how the rules work.

So my goal is today to give you, so you can think about it, a little bit of a framework for how you are going to see the evidence and how to categorize it. I am also going to tell you a little bit about Mufid Abdulquader, the man I represent; who he is, what the charges are, and what the evidence is going to be.

During the course of this trial you are going to learn about Mufid Abdulquader, the man I represent. You are going to learn that he is an American citizen, that he is a civil engineer who went to Oklahoma State University. He is a licensed professional engineer. And he was born in the Palestinian territories, what you are going to hear referred to as the Palestinian territories. He, like every immigrant that comes to the United States, was looking for a better life for himself. That is how he ended up here.

The life he left behind, though, and the life so many

Palestinians live is a very, very difficult one. And you have

heard something about that and will continue to hear about

that as this case goes on.

Ms. Hollander told you a little bit about in 1948 the state of Israel was created, and in that process almost a

million Palestinians were uprooted from their homes and became refugees in the West Bank and the Gaza Strip, the Palestinian territories.

After about 20 years in 1967, not quite 20 years, there was another war that both the Prosecution and Ms. Hollander told you about—the Six-Day War. Mufid's family is from Silwad in the West Bank. So when the state of Israel was originally created in 1948, his family wasn't expelled at that time, but in the 1967 war his home was bombed and his family fled to Kuwait. He was eight years old at the time.

You will learn that in this trial Mufid spent most of his young life, grew up in Kuwait, but in 1980 he had the opportunity to come and study in the United States. He came to Oklahoma and started attending Oklahoma State University.

While he was at OSU he met his now wife Diane White, who was studying math and industrial engineering. They have been married for 23 years, and they have three daughters Zaneb, Sarah, and Nadia.

Now, one of the things that I want to talk to you about is how Mufid ended up here in Dallas and what that process was. After graduating from Oklahoma State University Mufid went to work for the Oklahoma Department of Transportation as a civil engineer, and you are going to hear he worked there for about nine years. At the end of nine years he was offered a position here in the City of Dallas working as a civil

engineering on community development projects like the Bishop

Arts District in Oak Cliff.

You are going to learn Mufid in his free time is a motivational speaker who has been invited to speak at area high schools about education and being motivated in life. He has also volunteered and continues to volunteer for lots and lots of area organizations—mosques, schools, community organizations. And one of the organizations that he volunteered his time for was the Holy Land Foundation.

There is another very important fact that you are going to hear about Mufid, and that is probably what most of the evidence will be about. He is also a singer. He is a traditional Palestinian folk singer, and he was and has been a traditional Palestinian folk singer from the time he was a little boy.

Shortly after Mufid moved to the United States, which was in about 1980, as I told you, he joined a traditional Palestinian music group called Al-Sakhra. Al-Sakhra means the rock, and that was the name of the band for a number of years. After a few years the band actually changed names, and so another name you are going to hear in evidence is al-Najoon. Those two names are names for the same band, and Mufid was a member of that band for more than a decade.

The band had lots of different members over the course of its existence, probably more than a dozen. And really a band

is kind of a misnomer. Really it was a singing group. Music is played and a number of men sing traditional Palestinian songs in Arabic.

The band was hired as a lot -- There are actually a lot of Palestinian folk bands in the United States, as there are Greek bands and Italian singing groups and Irish dancing groups. And one of the things that the al-Najoon or Al-Sakhra band was to do was to play weddings also cultural festivals for graduation ceremonies, for all sorts of the kinds of cultural festivals and cultural festivities that you can imagine takes place in an ethnic community in the United States.

One of the types of events that the band was also hired to perform at was fundraisers, and some of those fundraisers were Holy Land fundraisers, and some were fundraisers in which Holy Land participated in and raised money. The Government in this case is prosecuting Mufid as a volunteer and band member.

You are not going to hear any evidence he was an employee of Holy Land, that he was on the board of Holy Land, that he helped establish Holy Land, that he had anything to do with where Holy Land decided to send the money, what the goals of the organization were, or any of the issues about where the money went in the end.

So what we need to do, because he is being prosecuted for his work as a volunteer and a singer, we need to talk about

his volunteer work and his singing work, and I am going the tell you a little bit about what those detailed in more detail than I have already.

Mufid is charged in three counts with conspiracy to provide material support to a designated terrorist organization. And as I said before, the way that the Government alleges he did that is being a Holy Land volunteer and a singer in the band.

I want to talk about the singing first because it is really the bulk of the evidence in this case. Most of the evidence in this case against Mufid is going to be in the form of videotapes of his singing and dramatic performances at various functions. You are going to see a whole lot of those. I think there are close to 10 of them. Those videotapes date largely from the late 1980s and early 1990s.

In the videotapes what you will see is clips of actual life in the Palestinian territories and various events that were going on in some of them, and some of them you are going to see singing -- In all of them you are going to see singing and performing about the events that were going on in the Palestinian territories at the time. They are basically a snapshot about what the political and cultural happenings were in the Palestinian territories at the times that these videos were made.

So if we take ourselves back to the late 1980s and early

1990s, in the 1980s the Israeli occupation of the Palestinian territory was in its second decade. What the occupation means, as you have heard, is that Israel militarily occupied the West Bank and the Gaza Strip, and also began engaging in settlement activity, so invited Israeli Jewish settlers to establish towns in what had been and what was Palestinian land in the West Bank and Gaza.

During those settlement activities, the result of the settlement activities was the towns were built up in the middle of Palestinian land and the Palestinians were excluded from their traditional land. They lost their fields. They lost their crops, as you heard about. And the reason I bring this up is because those are the subjects that is the -- Those are to topics you are going to hear about in the songs. These songs are entirely about the political happenings and what is going on during that time in Palestinian history. It is political speech, political songs, political skits.

There are also other things that are going on at the same time you are going to hear about in the songs, and those involve the arrest of Palestinians and the detention without charge. The songs talk about being arrested, they talk about "No matter how many people you arrest, no matter how many people you kill, we are still going to resist because your occupation is illegal." You are going to hear that terminology and that theme throughout the songs that are going

to be put into evidence.

You are also going to hear skits, you are going to see skits in which an actor, person, plays a particular character and another person plays another character, and in one skit in particular you are going to see a person play an Israeli soldier and you are going to see Mr. Abdulqader playing an Arab and someone who talks about Hamas, someone who identifies with Hamas. Remember, these are going to be in the late 1980s, and I will talk about the significance of the date in a second. But those characters debate. They have a political debate. They argue, "It is my land."

"No, it is my land."

"No, I have a right to it. I have been here forever."

And it is a political dialogue that you see these characters have, and in the end they actually battle and fight symbolically for the land. So what is -- That is what is going on. That is the context of the late 1980s. There is a lot of seething resentment in the Palestinian territories as a result of the Israeli occupation.

In 1987 there is an event that is really a catalyst. It sparks something called the Intifada that you heard about. It is not the only thing that -- It is not the reason for the events. It is just the spark that lights up all this resentment that people have been feeling. That event Ms. Shapiro actually called a car accident. I would say car

accident is whitewashing the event quite significantly. In fact, an Israeli tank manned by Israeli soldiers ran into a group of Palestinians from one of the refugee camps and killed them, and it was that incident that sparked the uprising that we talk about as the Intifada. That Intifada is actually called the first Intifada.

There was a second that happened a few years later, but that Intifada is -- Intifada is called uprising, actually it means uprising. And that Intifada happened at the end of the 1980s, as a set of mass demonstrations among Palestinians who are living in the occupied territories. The first Intifada had a real effect on what people around the world, Palestinians around the world, were saying and doing about what was going on in their native land. It really -- You will see in the videos that there is a lot of discussion about the Intifada, a lot of singing about it.

One of the things that happened in the Intifada is that children teenagers threw rocks as a symbolic measure of resistance against Israeli soldiers. Many people my age will remember seeing on the TV children throwing rocks at the Israeli soldiers. There is a theme about rocks and children throwing rocks, and you will hear about all of that in the music and in the skits.

There were people killed in the Intifada. Israel put down this resistance, and there were a lot of people,

thousands of Palestinians who were killed. Those people are known as shahid, which is the Arabic word for martyr. Martyr, you will learn, is not a suicide bomber. You are going to hear experts and a lot of testimony that a martyr to a Palestinian is simply someone who died as a result of the Israeli occupation.

Now, at the time of the first Intifada there was a lot of sympathy for what people were going through in the territories, and one of the things that happened -- There were lots and lots of things. There was a lot of sort of desire for political action and for support around the world for what the people in the territories were going through, and one of the things that happened at that time, and the Prosecution talked about that, is that Hamas was established.

The Hamas movement began at about the same time as the first Intifada. And initially among Palestinian people around the world Hamas was seen as an answer to some of their problems. The PLO--and you have heard reference to that; that is the Palestinian Liberation Organization; that is Yasser Arafat's organization--was at that time sort of the spokesperson, the unofficial spokesperson for the Palestinian community worldwide.

Well, Yasser Arafat at the PLO had been unable to achieve anything. The situation had not changed in the Palestinian territories for decades. There was a lot of corruption.

People viewed the PLO at that time, and even after that, as being corrupt and lining their own pockets, so there was really this desire for something new, something that could make a difference to the people who were there. And so Hamas was seen initially as that something new that could help the people achieve their goals.

What you see, then, in the political talk and the political songs, that you are going to see in the videos, is that there is a lot of singing and discussion about Hamas. People are really hopeful that Hamas is going to be the answer to everything that they are going through, and so you will see in the skits the people talk about Hamas and how excited they are, and how maybe Hamas is going to be able to finally fight Israel and get back some of the land for the Palestinians.

You are also in these videos going to see a whole lot of anger and a whole lot of really hatred and resentment towards the Israelis. Palestinians believe Israel is the reason for the way they live in the Palestinian territories. You will hear conversations, you will hear songs that talk about the Jews and blaming all the troubles of the Palestinians on the Jews. That language is not pretty. It is not politically correct. It is sometimes ugly and hateful. But it is speech and it is real feelings expressed by real people who really care about the things that they are seeing happening in their homeland.

What you are going to see -- Well, actually let me take a step back. An important thing to remember, and I think some of my co-counsel have touched on this, is the dates really matter in this case. They are really important. The January 24th, 1995 date, the date that Hamas was designated a terrorist organization is a critical date to remember. Another critical date to remember is 2001, December, I believe 4th, 2001, when Holy Land Foundation stopped operating. we need to focus on, ladies and gentlemen, are the events that took place between that period. When you hear something that happens decades before or decades later, that is really just not what we need to be focused on. And part of the reason for that is that as we all know hindsight is 20/20, so when someone shows you a videotape in this case and people are talking about Hamas and how wonderful Hamas is, we are not talking -- People are not doing that in 1995. They are doing that in 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, when they are just desperate for an answer to the problems that are happening over in their homeland.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So what happens in 1995 when Hamas gets designated?

Well, what you will see as you see the progression of evidence in this case is that people stop talking about Hamas. The Palestinians in this country, you are not going to see a whole lot of videos where there is any discussion of Hamas.

Now, as Mr. Dratel told you, it is perfectly legal today

and forever to stand up and say, you know, "I love Hamas. Ι want to read the Hamas charter on the courthouse steps," whatever you want to do. It is okay to talk about that. people, Palestinians in this country remember where they were coming from. They were coming from a country where it was illegal to fly their flag, where they could be detained for a year without any charges, and they were afraid. So as a result of being afraid, they stopped talking about Hamas entirely, and you are not going to hear anymore about it after 1995. And that is not because they were -- That is not because there is something wrong with talking about it. It is simply because they want comply with the law.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You are going to hear the band that Mufid was a part of was hired to perform around the country at all sorts of events; not just Holy Land fundraisers, but it did include some Holy Land fundraisers. Like any other band that gets hired to perform gigs, there was a band manager who charged expenses to whoever hired the band, who bought the tickets to go to whatever venue was involved, who dispensed whatever fee was paid to the band to perform, to the various members of the band. And you are going to see evidence of that.

You are going to see airplane tickets, and you are going to see the operation of the band, and you are going to hear from an accountant who is actually the Holy Land Foundation accountant talking about that.

I want to turn for a second to Mufid's volunteer work with the Holy Land Foundation. The evidence is going to show that -- By the way, there were -- I think I may have said this, but there were more than a dozen members of the band for the number of years it was in existence.

Turning to Mufid's volunteer work for Holy Land, the evidence is going to show that he was one of many, many Holy Land volunteers; tens of volunteers, 30 or 40 probably, it is a little hard to say because there aren't always records of people who volunteer their time and people volunteer their time in many ways.

You are not going to see Mufid's name on a single employee list because he was not an employee. You are not going to see documents that he founded the organization. You are not going to see any evidence that he had anything to do with the board or with board membership or with any decisions. You won't see his name on checks. You are not going to see his name on any wire transfers or anything like that. He was a volunteer who volunteered his time to raise money for the Holy Land and other organizations.

You are going to hear from witnesses who are going to explain to you about zakat. And you heard a little bit about that already. Zakat is a name for a charity or tithing in the Muslim faith. And you can give zakat -- I mean, it is very similar to the Christian faith. You can give zakat by giving

money, actual money to needy people. You can also do it by giving of your time and donating your time to an organization. And that is actually what Mufid did. When he was volunteering for Holy Land, he was giving zakat. He was doing his duty as a Muslim, unlike -- I want to draw a distinction here. Unlike when he performed with the band, though Mufid was not a paid fundraiser. He was a volunteer.

His expenses were reimbursed. And you are going to hear from the accountant that there are going to be records, he had to turn in receipts, as every volunteer fundraiser did, he had to collect the receipts for parking and airport and meals and that sort of thing and then turn them in to be reimbursed. And you are also going to see on one occasion a list of people, including volunteers, who were given a check, a bonus check on Eid, which is like our Christmas, when they give out gifts, and that check is going to be a thank-you for all the time and effort he had given to the Holy Land over the years.

To sum up, the evidence is going to show that Mufid was a member of a band that had more than a dozen members and was hired to perform at various events--weddings, graduations, fundraisers, including the occasional Holy Land fundraiser. You are going to see evidence that he was also a volunteer fundraiser. He was one of many. He was not -- He didn't raise anymore money than anybody else. He was a good fundraiser, but you will see plenty of people who raised more

and plenty of people who raised less.

So you may actually be wondering why it is that when there are a dozen band members and there are, you know, let's say 30 or 40 volunteers, why he is sitting at the end of the table over here, and you are going to hear about that. You have in fact heard about why that is.

The answer is, ladies and gentlemen, this guy right here. This picture is a photograph of specially designated terrorist Khalid Mishal. Mr. Abdulqader has the unfortunate coincidence of being Mr. Mishal's half brother, an accident of birth that the Government seeks to exploit for its benefit.

At the beginning of my opening statement I told you that the framework for thinking about this case is the First Amendment. The First Amendment protects our right to free speech, freedom of religion, assembly, and association, and those are rights that all of us have that all -- That particular amendment to the United States Constitution is one that we all hold dear and we don't think about very much, but we just all know we have those rights. It is something that we feel deep down in our guts and that we don't really have to think about a whole lot, but we do all recognize when those rights are infringed. Every single piece of evidence you are going to see in this case is going to fall into one of those four protected categories--speech, religion, association, and assembly.

The Government is going to play tapes of Mufid Abdulqader saying a lot of stuff that Israel doesn't like. They are going to try to use the things that he said about Israel in order to turn his volunteering for the Holy Land into something ugly instead of the religious duty it was. And they are going to tell you to find him guilty because his brother is Israel's Enemy No. 1.

I would like you to please listen to all the evidence very, very carefully and recognize it for what it is, which is an attempt to punish Mr. Abdulqader for exercising his First Amendment rights, and for the fact that he is related to a bad guy.

At the end of this case, after you have heard all the evidence and you have considered everything, I know that you will return the verdict that is the only one permitted under the evidence in this case, and that is not guilty. Thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel.

And Mr. Westfall?

MR. WESTFALL: Your Honor, may I have just a moment to get set up?

THE COURT: Sure, yes, sir.

MR. WESTFALL: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court.

Hello, ladies and gentlemen. I want you to do me a favor. Look at your computer screens. I want to show you

something. See that right there? That is a picture of a Palestinian child. That is the type of picture that was on orphan applications that the Holy Land Foundation used to get sponsors for these Palestinian children. That is also the reason that Abdul Odeh got up and went to work every day.

You know, Abdul has been a citizen of the United States for 20 years, but before that he was born in Silwad in the Palestinian West Bank in the occupied territories. Before he was one-year-old his family moved to Kuwait so his father could get work. And Abdul came here in about 1982 to go to college, and stayed, and has now been a citizen for 20 years.

After finishing college and doing a couple of other things, limousine driver, odd jobs, he took a \$25,000 a year job with the Holy Land Foundation in February of 1994, and what he did was he manned the New Jersey office of the Holy Land Foundation. He had no employees. He was the only employee in the office.

He would go and tend to coin boxes, like you have at 7-Elevens for handicapped kids. You have seen the coin boxes. They had those. He would go to mosques and collect donations that they made for the Holy Land Foundation. And like Mufid, I mean, he wasn't an incorporator of the Holy Land Foundation. He wasn't one of the original guys. He was never on the board. He didn't hire people. He didn't fire people. He didn't make executive decisions. He wasn't in the Muslim

Brotherhood. He wasn't on the Palestine Committee. He didn't give speeches. He was an employee of the Holy Land Foundation. But the number one thing he was is that he was a relief worker. And I would like to tell you a little bit about the things that Abdul did while he was with the Holy Land Foundation.

In 1996 Abdul went to the Canada Camp is what it is called. There has been a couple of lawyers that have mentioned the refugee camps to you. This is one of them.

When Israel was created, the Palestinians who lived there that had to be moved away for the creation of the state of Israel, they went to these refugee camps. And now, like for instance this refugee camp in Rafah, which is right on the Egyptian border, there are families in there that the children and the grandchildren of the original Palestinians that were taken off their land were put into these camps. And these people live in just unimaginable poverty. There is cinder block homes with corrugated steel roofs that they live in.

And what Abdul did was he got two trailer loads of food down in Egypt, and with a couple of other people he drove those up to this place. And, you know, the kids met them.

There is always kids, always kids. And they met them at the front. And he went in to this place, that is a little U.N. school, the United Nations school, school house, and that is where they parked the trucks, and that is where they had

everybody line up to come get the stuff.

And you see this thing here, this is a sign-in sheet. This is something they always did. You will see these where all the names of all the people who were supposed to get the aid, they would come in and sign for it, and if they couldn't sign, which a lot of them can't, they would put a fingerprint down there, you know. And you will see that. They had to show their U.N. credentials and everything, and they got their aid off the truck. They would get this little piece of paper. And there is Abdul in Egypt administering this aid. This is what he did.

You know, I want to take a break and tell you about the pantry, because this was Abdul's pride and joy, and it was on a picture a few pictures back and I forgot to mention it and I need to. In New Jersey in his work place he set up a food pantry for poor people in New Jersey.

Now, the observant Muslims have these food laws, just like observant Jews do. You can't eat pork, and there are other -- It is just like kosher, not just like kosher, but the same idea. There is food laws that are based in the religion. And if you are poor in New Jersey and Paterson, New Jersey at that time and you are Muslim, there is just -- You just can't do anything about it. You have to go to the food bank, do what you can, do what you can.

But Abdul, with the backing of the Holy Land Foundation,

set this food bank up in New Jersey out of the Holy Land Foundation office, and he did it with the Red Cross and with the Passaic County Food Bank and with FEMA. A Congressman came to the opening of it. It was a big deal. And that was his baby. And at the end he was feeding about 250 families out of that.

So let's go to Jordan. This is 1996. In 1997 Abdul goes to Jordan and visits ten more of those refugee camps in the space of ten days. Ten more of these U.N. refugee camps, and the same deal. You can see here there is a lot of them that have to do their thumbprints because they can't read or write, and then they get their aid and he hands it out. In 1998 he did this exact same thing again.

In 1999.

MR. JACKS: Your Honor, I am going to have to object. This is not in the nature of an opening statement. This is argument and things that are not in evidence, and I would just object to the nature of this presentation. It is a slide show.

THE COURT: We may be starting to get a bit more into argument, counsel, than we should.

MR. WESTFALL: I expect the evidence to show these things, like this photograph. I am going to show you all something, and the evidence is going to show this very clearly. Each one of these, you see down there in that corner

that little number? That means that these photographs are in the possession of the Government, and you will learn that in the evidence. The evidence will show that. And each of these photographs that I have shown you up to this point is in the possession of the Government. And this is what the evidence is going to show, the work of Abdul Odeh.

I am asking you not to think like a terrorist. I am asking you to think like a human being a man who is in the charity business.

In Kosovo he took bread or he took a thousand tons of wheat flour and a mobile bakery so that the refugees through Slobodan Milosevic's campaign of ethnic cleansing could make their own bread. He took two mobile clinics with him, little hospitals on wheels. And the Holy Land Foundation, a USA charity, did this.

This thing here was a booth that Odeh would sit in at these conventions, and you are going to hear about these conventions, the IAP conventions, the Maya conventions. What the Holy Land Foundation did was raise money at these conventions, and this is what Abdul Odeh did--he manned the booth. And you can see behind the booth there are pictures, and the evidence is going to show every bit of this, there are pictures you can see, the ambulance picture there. These are projects that the Holy Land Foundation did and these are the specific things that Odeh would raise money for.

Some of the projects, like a wheelchair project in Palestine, an electrical infrastructure project in Lebanon, you know, you will hear -- And the Prosecutor mentioned the food parcels. Well, the food parcels were a huge deal, particularly around religious holidays. They would give the needy these food parcels that would have staples in them like olive oil and rice and stuff.

And, I mean, there are thousands of pictures, and I am going to try to bring as many of them to you as I can showing how they are put together and who they are given to. It is all there.

These are the backpack projects. Here is another. You see that little blue sign? That is a U.N. refugee camp. You will hear about that. More backpacks.

And then finally this, you will learn from the evidence, is an orphan application. The Holy Land Foundation you will learn sponsored at any given time upwards of 2,000 or 3,000 orphans in Palestine at the rate -- If you signed up through the Holy Land Foundation to sponsor an orphan, you would agree to pay \$50 a month, and of that \$50, \$45 would reach the orphan. And there are signature sheets to show that it actually did. And in fact they are not even going to claim that it happened any other way. The money went to the children, period.

And each one of these orphan applications you will learn

has certain documents with it. You will see these documents over and over. They are birth certificates, death certificates of the father, because an orphan in Islam is -- Number one, an orphan holds an incredibly important place in Islam because Mohammad was an orphan. But the way an orphan is defined in Islam is a child that has no father. So, you know, if the mother has eight children and the father has been killed, those are eight orphans, even though their mother still lives with them. That is the religious definition of an orphan. But you will learn that these children, they are needy, and it says so in all these documents.

And every single one of them -- This is a UN refugee card. You see these a lot with the orphan application. But every single one of them had a picture, and the picture of the orphan was attached to the application on every one.

You know, they brought up -- Ms. Shapiro brought up this beautiful operation phone call, you know, where Odeh was happy about a bombing. Well, let me tell you kind of the rest of the story.

MR. JACKS: Excuse me, Your Honor. We have got this scrolling slide show that is going on while he is making this argument or opening, and that is improper. These items are not in evidence. Whatever he says about where they came from, that is not evidence, and we would ask that this be terminated.

THE COURT: Do you want to stop that?

MR. WESTFALL: I will, Your Honor. But I promise I am going to seek admission of every one of these photographs and quite a few more.

MR. JACKS: That is all well and good, Your Honor, but until then these are not in evidence, and we object to this display during what is supposed to be opening statements.

THE COURT: Okay. Overrule the objection as far as the display. He is going to turn it off. Go ahead.

MR. WESTFALL: The beautiful operation, what she didn't tell you was that January 22nd of 1995 there was this double suicide bombing, and it killed 20 Israeli soldiers. Okay? Twenty Israeli soldiers. And at the time Abdul Odeh lives in New Jersey, and you can hear it in the wiretap he is listening to the radio, he is listening to this on the radio along with 200 million, God knows, other people. He is listening to it on London radio. And so he knows that there is 20 soldiers killed in this operation. Okay? And he calls El-Mezain, this man that he looks up to an awful lot. He is an emam.

Well, consider this. When somebody is arrested in the occupied territories and is held without charges for months or years, it is the Israeli military that does it. They are also called the IDF, the Israeli soldiers. When somebody's home is demolished to the ground to dust to make a bypass road, or to

make room for another settlement, or for whatever, it is the Israeli military that does it. When a woman dies in childbirth at a checkpoint because she can't get through to go to the hospital, it is the Israeli military that didn't let her through. The Israeli military is the face of the occupation. So when Odeh hears on the radio that 20 soldiers have died, 20 Israeli soldiers, you know, I am sorry that our reaction was not appropriate for Ms. Shapiro. I can't wait for you all to hear that call, because it shows how very human this man is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What this case is going to come down to is intent, and everyone has said that in different ways. Okay? What were we thinking, what was Odeh thinking when he did what he did, when he said what he said. All right? And when they are going to prove intent, they are going to try to prove intent, what they are going to do is prosecute him with books that he had in his office. They are going to seek to prosecute Abdul Odeh based on a letter that somebody else wrote that was in his office. They are going to ask you to convict Abdul Odeh based upon a newspaper photograph that was cut out of a newspaper that says Reuters on it that was found in his office, a picture of Sheikh Yassin from 1990. These are things they are going to use, that and the beautiful operation conversation like she We are being prosecuted because of our reading said. material.

1 But if you really want to see the intent of Abdul Odeh, 2 all you have to do is look at the pictures of these kids. 3 order to get a conviction they have to somehow show that we 4 did humanitarian aid out of hatred, that humanitarian aid, the feeding hungry children was an act of hatred done for the 5 6 purpose of eradicating Israel. 7 This is going to be a case where we see if such a thing 8 is even possible. 9 THE COURT: Thank you. 10 And Ms. Moreno? MS. MORENO: May I have a moment, Your Honor? 11 12 THE COURT: Certainly. 13 MS. MORENO: Will you advise how much time I have left? 14 15 THE COURT: I will give you the 25 minutes you had 16 asked for. 17 MS. MORENO: I might need a few more minutes, Your Honor. 18 19 I think 25 will be sufficient. THE COURT: 20 MS. MORENO: Okay. Thank you very much. 21 May it please the Court. Good afternoon, ladies and 22 gentlemen. 23 The evidence is going to show you in this case that 24 Ghassan Elashi never supported terrorism, never supported violence, has committed no crime, and never sent a nickel to 25

Hamas.

As one of the founders of the Holy Land Foundation,
Ghassan Elashi made a choice, he made a decision, he made a
commitment, a humanitarian mission to feed the poor and
provide for the homeless and destitute of Palestine and other
countries around the world. In the finest traditions of
American charitable service, the Holy Land Foundation and
Ghassan Elashi tried to meet the needs of some of the poorest
people in the world.

The mission was humanitarian. It was not political. And his intent, you are going to be able to see, is going to be amply demonstrated by the evidence. But politics is at play in this case, ladies and gentlemen. Politics did not control where the aid went, where the food went, and the money.

Now, the Prosecutor told you why this case is so important to the United States' interest, but what the evidence is really going to show you is Israel's influence in the United States government policies in general and driving this particular case in particular.

This case is not about terrorism. It is not about money laundering. It is not about conspiracy. The evidence is going to show you, you are going to see this, that Israel has a dangerous influence over these policies, and it is at play in this case in this courtroom.

And where are you going to see that evidence? You are

going to see it in the documents, which were largely supplied by Israel, in the paid consultants who are in the employ of Israel, the witnesses who come from the Israeli military, so think about that when you are thinking about what is at play in this case.

My name is Linda Moreno and, along with John Cline, represent Mr. Ghassan Elashi. I hope you can see Mr. Elashi there.

Let me tell you about my client Mr. Elashi. He was born in Gaza in 1953, and he came to this country nearly 30 years ago. He became an American citizen. He is married to an American citizen, and all of his children are American citizens.

And his story is like so many Palestinians of his generation. His family lost their home in 1948. Mr. Elashi lost his home in the Six-Day War, that the Government told you about, in 1967. And you are going to learn why Ghassan Elashi and Muslims around the world have a special place in their hearts for Palestinians.

People who are doctors and teachers, lawyers, mothers, fathers, business people around the world have a feeling of hopelessness around the Palestinians. Those fortunate to have escaped the Israeli occupation, which Ms. Hollander discussed, and others, felt an obligation to support those who could not escape the occupation and they did this through this zakat,

this tithing that we do as Christians.

The Holy Land Foundation was one of the preeminent charities that accepted these zakat funds, these tithing funds, for the benefit of the needy, and distributed the aid, as you heard, according to need, not creed, not politics.

Now, the Government told you that Holy Land was created to support Hamas. The evidence will show you this is absolutely false. The evidence will show you something very different. From 1967 to 1987, that 20-year span of time, while the Palestinians were under a brutal military occupation in the West Bank and Gaza, it took a deadly, deadly toll. In response they organized into this largely non-violent resistance known as the first Intifada that you heard about.

Now, you are going to hear that -- You heard something about the children throwing stones. In fact, they were referred to as the children of the stones. And you will see photographs of children with stones throwing them at Israeli armored tanks.

Many Palestinians died. The poverty deepened and the Palestinian society deteriorated, you see, ladies and gentlemen, because the occupation kills.

To respond to this crisis, to respond to this need, Holy Land was born, not to support Hamas, but to support those Palestinians at that desperate time in history.

Now, what did this need look like? And you have heard a

lot about it, and I am not going to go over and over it again.

But the Government asked you not to be distracted by the charity. Remember that? The Government said, the Prosecutor said think about the law. We want you to think about the law because the law will direct you to intent. That is what you must think about. And in this case the intent was the charity.

The typical Palestinian child that the Holy Land

Foundation supported, that is where I want you to focus for a minute. The Government's own expert, the first person you are going to hear in this case, he will admit that during the operation of the Holy Land Foundation, the operation of the humanitarian aid, 70 percent of Palestinians lived under the poverty line, lived on less than \$2 a day. The children were born into refugee camps. So were their parents. So were their grandparents. The refugee situation, it was generational.

The cinder block homes that Mr. Westfall talked about, you are going to see photographs of that. You are not going to recognize these as homes from any American standard, because these homes will in large part be one room, one room, sometimes with holes in the ceiling. You will see photographs of many, many people living in one room.

Sometimes there won't be a home because the homes have been demolished, and you heard a little bit about that. You

see, the demolished homes project was a project that the Holy Land supported, and the demolished homes you are going to learn are -- it was a special form of collective punishment that the Israelis had for families who are suspected of a member of them being a terrorist.

Now, I say suspected because you are not going to hear any evidence that there was some sort of legal determination or court order. You are not going to hear anything like that. But these families, because of the situation, will have lost their homes. The homes were demolished.

Now, you are going to see that the entire family can be punished for the acts of perhaps a family member, if indeed that family member was a terrorist. This view is not consistent with Muslim or Christian traditions, and we are going to admit there is no question that money was raised in this case to help some of those families who lost their homes.

And you saw a photograph with Ms. Hollander of the kind of aid that these families would get--water and mattresses and kerosene and food and the like. You will see photographs of that. But what you will never see, you will see no evidence whatsoever that there was any pre-existing agreement with Ghassan Elashi or the Holy Land Foundation to extend charity to anybody in exchange for any violent act or as a reward for any violence; none whatsoever. And there will be no evidence, none, that the aid that was given to these families somehow

gave them an incentive to do future violent acts; absolutely no evidence.

You are going to learn there is no typical family situation in the Palestinian homes of the refugee camps that Holy Land served. Sometimes the fathers are missing. You heard about detentions. Sometimes they have been killed. Sometimes, if the children are lucky enough to have fathers in the home, they need a permit to work because all Palestinians need a permit to work, you will learn. This is the situation that the Holy Land Foundation addressed.

Simply put, you will learn through the evidence in this case that the Government of Israel controlled the agriculture, the borders, the air, the water, travel, and nearly every facet of Palestinian life. Exile and deprivation was the condition of the daily life of the Palestinian, and it is to this deprivation and to this need that the Holy Land Foundation reached out to; not to the politics of Hamas.

The humanitarian work of Holy Land was a thoughtful and reasonable response to the devastation wrought by now 40 years of occupation. For the life of the charity for 12 years Holy Land sent food, medicine--you are going to see photographs of all of this--backpacks, wheelchairs, tuition money for students, that is if the schools were open and not closed by the Israeli military. You are going to learn that the Holy Land Foundation helped train people in the refugee camps to

become tailors to learn how to sew so they could have something, something of their own.

You are going to learn about chicken farms that the Holy Land Foundation helped support these families so they could have food on the table and that they could have a future.

Millions of dollars, you will see, went to buy food packets--grain, flour, sugar, oil, the staples of life. That is what Holy Land was about. That is what their intent was about.

You are going to hear wiretaps in this case, phone calls.

Now, the Government never wiretapped Ghassan Elashi. They

never wiretapped Mr. Elashi. But he was picked up on phone

calls when he was talking to others who were being wiretapped.

And you are going to see some of those phone calls. You are

going to hear them. And we are grateful that you will be able

to, because remember, this is the government listening in to

someone's unguarded moments.

And by the way, the ten years of surveillance, nearly ten years of wiretaps, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, they are going to bring you just a handful of phone calls for Mr.

Elashi, this material supporter of terrorism. That is all they have got.

In none of those phone calls Mr. Elashi is never heard supporting violence, never; never heard asking about any Hamas-controlled zakat committees or about certain families of

suicide bombers or expressing a preference or anything like that. He never said one committee should receive money over another because of its relationship to any organization, let alone a terrorist one.

You are going to hear two very important phone calls,
April 23rd and April 24th of 1996. These phone calls center
around the list, the law, the list that Ms. Shapiro told you
about. And you are going to hear Mr. Elashi and Mr. Abu Baker
discussing the implications of this new law and how this list
is going to affect their charity, and how the Holy Land needed
to wait for the list to determine who would be on it.

Let me just quote you a few things that you will see Mr. Elashi said. He said, "Well, I'm going to abide by the law because I won't be able to make a transfer. I know that." You are going to hear him say, "What is important is that the charitable work goes on. So yes, it is important to us that violations do not take place." You will hear him say, "The goal is that the work is in the open and the foundation stays protected." That the work is in the open and that the foundation stays protected; hardly the unguarded statements of a supporter of terrorism of a Hamas operative or a money launderer.

Mr. Elashi wanted the charitable work to be open and transparent to ensure no violations took place so that the needy would still be served.

Now, this law dealt with a list, and I am just going to spend a couple of minutes on the list. The United States government has the power to designate people and groups as terrorists, and once you are designated you land on this list. And no matter how a person or a group ends up being designated a terrorist, the person or group goes on the list. And the list is a master list. Okay? You are going to see it, hundreds of pages long, thousands of names, thousands of entities and people. And if you look at the list under H, you are going to find Hamas listed. And you will find aliases.

And the list serves a very important function, because it tells people in our country who they can't do business with.

And this list is public and it is on a website.

So let's say, for example, Apple in the U.S. is thinking about selling ipods to a foreign country or a foreign company. They can look on the list and see if that company is on the list. If it is, they cannot do business with it. If the foreign company is not on the list, however, Apple knows that the company has not been designated as a terrorist. All it has to do is check the list.

Now, over the years since Hamas' designation, other organizations that the United States thinks are associated with Hamas have been designated as terrorists. Abu Marzook, Sheikh Yassin, their names are on the list. Various organizations from around the world that the United States

thinks are part of the Hamas funding network, they are on the list, and you are going to hear about them. Organizations called Interpal and al-Aqsa. You will hear about these organizations.

You will hear about a zakat committee called the al-Salah Society and their director. They are on the list, but here is a crucial point. Not a single one of the zakat committees to which the Holy Land gave money, not a single one of the zakat committees that you heard in the indictment, not a single one of them has ever been designated a terrorist or placed on the list, and not a single one of the officers or directors is on that list. And the evidence will show you that Mr. Elashi was looking to the list to tell him who he could deal with and who he could not.

And Mr. Elashi says on the 23rd of April, with the FBI listening and recording, "Well, I'm going to abide by the law because I won't be able to make a transfer. I know that." That's what he said. It could not be any clearer that he believed that the list would tell him what Holy Land could and could not do.

And if the Government put the zakat committees on the list, Holy Land wouldn't give money to them. Ladies and gentlemen, to this very day the zakat committees are not on the list.

You are also going to find out that Mr. Elashi did

several things. He hired lawyers, a former Congressman that Ms. Hollander talked about. You are going to hear he met with the chief of the FBI here in Dallas to discuss the integrity of the charity and its transparency. You are going to hear when a leading newspaper accomplished some negative and false rumors about Holy Land, Mr. Elashi hired counsel and sued the newspaper this brought Holy Land even more into the public spotlight, not away from the radar. This is not conduct you would expect from a Hamas operative, a money launderer, or supporter of terrorism.

Others have talked about the First Amendment and I am not going to go there. It is very important, however, the Government in this case has made a crime, made speech a crime, it has made religion a crime, has made associations -- they are going to want you to convict Mr. Elashi because of a family relationship with someone. This is un-American and should not be allowed.

Just a few words about the Government witnesses we expect you will see. The first witness we believe is going to be a Dr. Matthew Levitt. He is a paid consultant from Washington, D.C., a so-called terrorism expert. You will hear he wrote a book on Hamas, and you will hear that that book relies on, no surprise, security sources from the Israeli government, that he works for a think tank which has a definite pro-Israeli agenda, and that he has spoken at AIPAC, which stands for the

American-Israeli Political Action Committee. I think the name says it all.

You are going to hear from -- We anticipate you will hear from a convicted felon who stole hundreds of thousands of dollars from his employer. And then Mr. Dratel mentioned the anonymous Israeli witness that you are going to hear from, and what you will learn is that he is an Israeli employed by the Israeli government, and he is their expert on these zakat committees. And you will also learn that he never visited a zakat committee and has read no books on zakat committees, and it will be for you to decide the credibility of an anonymous witness from the Israeli security agency.

I want to say a few words about the children issue. You are going to hear a lot about the children. And Ms. Shapiro said something about it. And the children have a very special place for the Holy Land Foundation. And I anticipate you may see some evidence of videos with Palestinian children.

Now, the Government is going to present this evidence and these videos as examples of children who have been brainwashed to hate Israel, brainwashed to hate Israel. The Government will tell you that the blame for the way these children feel and express themselves does not belong to Israel the occupier, but to the Holy Land Foundation.

The evidence is going to clearly show you that their mission was charitable. Holy Land's mission was not

political. It will be for you to decide, ladies and gentlemen, at the end of the day, whether feeding children brainwashes them or whether it is a brutal and violent occupation that produces children robbed of their childhood or any hope for the future.

Intent is a big issue in this case, and intent is what you need to be focused on. I want to show you two last pictures. One of them you have actually seen already. This picture is a picture of a child in the rubble of family members of a home that has been demolished. Cinder block.

See the cinder block? We are going to be moving this photograph into evidence. This is the face of the occupation.

Now, this is the intent of the Holy Land Foundation. It is not political. You are not going to hear anything about any advocacy that the Holy Land did when it gave out aid.

Backpacks, children that look fed in their school uniforms.

This is the intent of the Holy Land Foundation. The charity is important in this case. Don't be fooled. Don't let the Government make you take the eye off the ball.

These children have a future under the Holy Land

Foundation and under the aid that Ghassan Elashi and the

others gave. They have a future. And they are more likely to

turn away, you will learn, from the tragic footsteps of the

suicide bomber. This is what the Holy Land Foundation was

about.

We are confident that after you look at all the evidence
in this case, you will do justice for Ghassan Elashi and for
the Holy Land Foundation and you will vote not guilty on all
the charges and acquit him. Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Moreno.
MS. MORENO: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Ready to call your first witness?
MR. JONAS: Yes, sir. If we can have a moment to
turn the lectern?
THE COURT: Sure.
MS. SHAPIRO: Your Honor, we just renew our request
with respect to
THE COURT: What we discussed at the lunch break?
MS. SHAPIRO: Yes.
THE COURT: I will decline that at this time.
MR. JONAS: Your Honor, the United States calls
Matthew Levitt.
(Whereupon, the oath was administered by the Court.)
MATTHEW LEVITT,
Testified on direct examination by Mr. Jonas as follows:
Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Levitt.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Could you tell us generally where you live?
A. Washington, D.C. area, Maryland.
Q. Sir, do you have a college degree?

- 1 A. I do.
- 2 Q. What is that degree in?
- 3 A. I have a Bachelor's of Science in political science from
- 4 Yeshiva University in New York.
- 5 Q. When did you receive that?
- 6 A. In 1992.
- 7 | Q. Do you have a Master's degree?
- 8 A. I do.
- 9 Q. And what is that in?
- 10 A. A Master's of Law and Diplomacy, which is a fancy way to
- 11 say international relations from the Fletcher School of Law
- 12 and Diplomacy from Tufts University in Medford, Massachusetts.
- 13 Q. Outside Boston?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 | Q. You say Master's of law. Are you a lawyer?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | Q. Glad to hear it. Do you have any other degrees beyond a
- 18 | Master's degree?
- 19 A. I also have a Ph.D. in international relations also from
- 20 the Fletcher School at Tufts.
- 21 | Q. When did you get that the degree?
- 22 A. 2005.
- 23 Q. Okay. What is your doctorate in?
- 24 A. Generally in international relations. The dissertation
- 25 | specifically was on terrorism and negotiations in the

- 1 | Israeli-Palestinian context.
- 2 Q. I should have asked you, what is a doctorate?
- 3 A. It is a Ph.D. It is the highest academic degree we get
- 4 in social sciences.
- 5 Q. Have you received any fellowships in getting your degree?
- 6 A. Several.
- 7 Q. What is a fellowship?
- 8 A. A fellowship is money and support, and in some cases
- 9 space, an office, to write and research one's dissertation.
- 10 In my case I received several small grants from the Fletcher
- 11 | School and some foundations, the main one was from the program
- 12 | Negotiation at Harvard Law School, which gave me a space to
- 13 | sit for a year and funded my research in the West Bank, Gaza,
- 14 and Israel.
- 15 | O. You mentioned the word dissertation. What is a
- 16 | dissertation?
- 17 | A. A dissertation is the painfully long book-length study
- 18 one needs to do to finish a Ph.D.
- 19 Q. What was your dissertation specifically on?
- 20 A. It was on the impact of terrorist attacks on the
- 21 | negotiation process in the context of the Israeli-Palestinian
- 22 | arena focusing on terrorist attacks by both Palestinian
- 23 extremists and Jewish extremists.
- 24 | Q. After you received your Master's degree, did you go to
- 25 work?

- 1 A. Yes. I went to work briefly at a think tank, the
- 2 | Washington Institute for Near East Policy, where I am now
- 3 again, and then spent three years as a counterterrorism
- 4 analyst at the FBI.
- 5 Q. And while at the FBI what did you specifically do?
- 6 A. Specifically focused on analyzing the activities of
- 7 Middle Eastern terrorist groups present in the United States,
- 8 | their fund-raising logistical support activities in
- 9 particular.
- 10 Q. Did you take any training courses while at the FBI?
- 11 A. I did.
- 12 Q. Such as?
- 13 A. Counterterrorism analysis, advanced counterterrorism
- 14 | analysis, law enforcement and intelligence cooperation. Some
- 15 of these are courses provided by the FBI. Some are provided
- 16 | by other U.S. agencies in the intelligence community.
- 17 | Q. While at the FBI, did you have access to classified
- 18 | material?
- 19 A. I did.
- 20 Q. Did you have a security clearance?
- 21 A. I did.
- 22 | Q. Will any of your testimony in this court be based upon
- 23 | any of the classified material you had access to?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 | Q. How long were you at the FBI?

- 1 A. Just over three years.
- 2 Q. And after that where did you go?
- 3 A. After that I went back to the Washington Institute for
- 4 Near East Policy to found and direct a program on
- 5 | counterterrorism specifically in the Middle East context,
- 6 which is what this think tank focuses on, and was teaching at
- 7 Johns Hopkins University, and was there for a little over four
- 8 years.
- 9 Q. Can you explain what a think tank is?
- 10 A. A think tank is kind of like being a professor without
- 11 | having to spend most of one's time grading papers. Instead,
- 12 one is able to have most of one's time free for field research
- 13 | and writing. Many people at think tanks like myself also
- 14 | teach, but that is the add-on to what I do.
- 15 And so at the think tank I write academic journal
- 16 | articles, books, policy pieces, which are by definition short,
- 17 | policy-makers have a short attention span, op eds in the
- 18 | newspapers, lecture at conferences, and travel doing my own
- 19 research.
- 20 Q. What is the general subject matter of the items that you
- 21 write?
- 22 A. Counterterrorism and intelligence issues within the focus
- 23 | area of U.S. policy towards the Middle East, because that is
- 24 what our think tank is focused on.
- 25 | Q. As part of your analysis and writings do you write about

1 Hamas?

- 2 A. I do.
- Q. Have you -- Were you always at the Washington Institute
- 4 | after you left the FBI?
- 5 A. No. After about four years at the Washington Institute,
- 6 I was recruited in 2005 to go to the Treasury Department to
- 7 | serve as the deputy assistant secretary for intelligence and
- 8 analysis at the Treasury, and was there until January 2007.
- 9 Q. Are what does that mean? What were your responsibilities
- 10 at Treasury?
- 11 A. This was a relatively new office created at Treasury, an
- 12 | intelligence office within the Treasury Department, so I was a
- 13 | senior executive service manager within the department within
- 14 | a branch called terrorism and financial intelligence, and then
- 15 | I was also the deputy chief of Treasury's intelligence shop,
- 16 | so it meant that I had a one foot in the intelligence
- 17 | community under what is now the Director of National
- 18 Intelligence and one foot in the Treasury Department under the
- 19 bureaucracy of the Treasury Department.
- 20 | Q. Why would the Treasury Department have an interest in
- 21 intelligence and terrorism?
- 22 A. The Treasury Department was tasked with focusing on the
- 23 | financing of terrorism, and the financing of other illicit
- 24 | threats--nuclear proliferation, drug kingpins, this type of
- 25 | thing, and that is something that tends to be intelligence

1 driven because you are being asked to analyze and be

2 knowledgeable about what is at heart covert activity. So you

- 3 | need some intelligence that will help you understand what
- 4 these entities are doing, even though they are trying to hide
- 5 | it from you.
- 6 Q. When you say intelligence, can you explain what you mean?
- 7 Are you talking human intelligence, what is in the brain, or
- 8 | are you using the term differently?
- 9 A. Intelligence meaning from sensitive sources and methods.
- 10 | The CIA or other intelligence agencies will have spies. They
- 11 | will sometimes tap telephones or email. So there can be all
- 12 kinds of means of information that will come into them through
- 13 | what we call classified sources that are not public.
- 14 At the Treasury I always had my analysts also focus on
- 15 | what we called open source information -- the journals, the
- 16 | articles, the books, because researchers, academics do very
- 17 | good work that is also relevant and can be useful to their
- 18 work.
- 19 But in the Intelligence Branch you are using not only
- 20 | what is publicly available but what is available through
- 21 clandestine collection.
- 22 | Q. And what is the purpose of analyzing this information
- 23 | that is gathered?
- 24 A. Well, it depends on what your task is. At the Treasury
- 25 Department our task was figuring out how terrorists were

- 1 raising, laundering, transferring, and then accessing their
- 2 | funds, and making it more difficult for them to do so, either
- 3 by either designating them or providing information to other
- 4 agencies in the government to do other action. The FBI could
- 5 | arrest them, the intelligence community could run an
- 6 | intelligence operation, what have you. And this would apply
- 7 to the terrorism arena, WMD, weapons of mass destruction,
- 8 proliferation, et cetera.
- 9 Q. When you were in the Treasury did you focus on the
- 10 terrorism arena?
- 11 A. That was one of the areas I focused on, yes.
- 12 Q. And when you were at the FBI, was your role at the FBI
- 13 | similar in that you were analyzing all this intelligence
- 14 | material that came to you?
- 15 A. Yes. The difference was that at the FBI I was the kind
- 16 of desk analyst, and at the Treasury I was their boss.
- 17 | Q. Again, at the Treasury Department did you have a security
- 18 | clearance?
- 19 | A. I did.
- 20 | Q. And will any of your testimony in this courtroom be based
- 21 upon any classified information that you have seen?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. With respect to terrorism, you spoke -- You have written
- 24 | a lot about terrorism, I assume. Is that correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Okay. Is there a particular focus within the terrorism
- 2 | community that you concentrate on in your writings?
- 3 A. Again, I focus on the Middle East as a region, and in
- 4 particular on logistical and financial support networks who
- 5 enable groups, terrorist groups to carry out not only the
- 6 attacks but also the other activities they need to carry out
- 7 | their activities?
- 8 Q. And is Hamas one of those groups you focus on?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. I am sorry. After Treasury -- how long were you at the
- 11 | Treasury Department for?
- 12 A. Almost a year and a half.
- 13 Q. And where did you go after that?
- 14 A. I returned again to the Washington Institute for Near
- 15 | East Policy where I am now director of a program on
- 16 | counterterrorism and intelligence and a senior fellow there,
- 17 | and again am teaching at Johns Hopkins University, and writing
- 18 and lecturing again.
- 19 Q. Could you explain your methods of research?
- 20 A. Like I used to tell my analysts, exploiting all source
- 21 | information, meaning books, the work of other scholars,
- 22 | journal articles, is the very first step, keeping on top of
- 23 | information. But then the most important thing is conducting
- 24 | primary field research--going out and interviewing people,
- 25 | meeting people, spending time in the region. I travel to the

Middle East and to Europe in particular a lot. And then collating this information, putting together a sense of whatever it is you are studying and running it by other

experts and vetting or checking that information.

You know, primarily field research involves interviewing.

Because you interview someone doesn't mean that they are

necessarily telling you exactly the truth. Sometimes they are

telling you what they want you to believe. And so talking to

other experts and getting other inputs and opinions is very

- 11 Q. You mentioned that you traveled to the Middle East.
- 12 A. Yes.

important.

4

10

- 13 Q. How often do you go there?
- 14 A. Several times a year. It varies.
- 15 | Q. Where in particular do you travel to in the Middle East?
- 16 | A. I go to Israel and the West Bank a lot. I used to go to
- 17 | Gaza as well, but haven't in several years. I go to other
- 18 | countries in that part of the Middle East--Egypt, Jordan,
- 19 | Turkey, and to the Gulf as well, the United Arab Emirates,
- 20 | Qatar, Bahrain, Abu Dhabi, Dubai. I will be going next month
- 21 to the Emirates and Kuwait.
- 22 | Q. When was the last time you were in Israel?
- 23 A. I was in Israel and the West Bank a week and a half ago.
- 24 | Q. What type of people do you interview when you go over
- 25 there?

- 1 A. As many as I can fit in. Journalists, academics many,
- 2 | many government officials, diplomats, police, intelligence
- 3 officials, people who are working with NGOs; anybody who might
- 4 have some insight into whatever the particular issue is that I
- 5 am researching.
- 6 0. You mentioned a term NGO. What is an NGO?
- 7 A. Non-governmental organizations.
- 8 Q. Okay. When you interview government officials and
- 9 diplomats, are you interviewing just Israeli government
- 10 officials and diplomats or people from other governments as
- 11 | well?
- 12 A. No. To interview just one side of any issue is useless,
- 13 | so I do interview Israelis, of course, but I also interview
- 14 | Palestinians. On this last trip, for example, I interviewed
- 15 | Israelis, Palestinians, Americans in the region, diplomats,
- 16 | European diplomats in the region, and that was just on a
- 17 | three-day trip.
- 18 Q. When you have traveled over to that part of the world,
- 19 | have you interviewed any members of Hamas?
- 20 A. I have.
- 21 Q. What circumstances?
- 22 A. People who are in jail.
- 23 Q. Do they freely talk to you?
- 24 A. Yes. They don't have to talk to me. I imagine that they
- 25 | have got some time on their hands. And like I said, some are

more open than others. They are more open on some issues than others.

One Hamas official that I interviewed, his case was still pending, made it very clear that didn't want to talk about his case at all, but was much more willing to talk about Hamas' ideology.

MS. HOLLANDER: Objection, Your Honor. We are getting into a hearsay and confrontation issue.

THE COURT: He is talking about general areas. He may do that without getting into specifically what they told you.

THE WITNESS: But on the same trip another individual whose case had already been completed, in that case he was convicted, was much more forthcoming on the details of the attack that got him in jail for which he was convicted.

- 16 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Do you speak Arabic?
- 17 A. No. A few words.
- 18 Q. Are these interviews conducted in English?
- 19 A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. Did you ever have to speak to someone in another
- 21 | language? Withdraw that question. Is every interview --
- 22 | every person you speak to who doesn't speak English, how do
- 23 you conduct that interview?
- 24 A. Through translators or English. I would say the vast
- 25 | majority of the interviews are in English, including with

- 1 Israelis, despite the fact that I do speak some Hebrew, and
- 2 | with Palestinians or others in the Arab world.
- Q. Are you familiar with the term primary documents?
- 4 A. I am.
- 5 Q. What is that?
- 6 A. A primary document unlike, say, you know, a newspaper
- 7 | account, is an original document, a court document, for
- 8 example, or something that is submitted in the context of a
- 9 | court case on a government document; an original document
- 10 written by, you know, a natural participant in an event.
- 11 Those are considered primary source documents.
- 12 Q. Well, do terrorist groups, and for purposes of our
- 13 | conversation here let's talk about Hamas, do they issue
- 14 documents that you would study?
- 15 A. Certainly. They issue communiques, they issue charters,
- 16 | that lay out their goals and agendas, they issue communiques
- 17 | taking responsibility for attacks or laying out a political
- 18 | position, and those are very useful to study.
- 19 Q. What is a communique?
- 20 A. A press release, really.
- 21 | Q. And have you studied Hamas communiques --
- 22 A. I have.
- 23 Q. -- and other documents issued by Hamas?
- 24 How often has Hamas issued communiques?
- 25 A. It varies, but there have been many, many hundreds over

- 1 | the past few years.
- 2 | Q. Does Hamas have a website?
- 3 A. There are several Hamas websites.
- 4 Q. Have you studied those?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And what sort of information generally would you find in
- 7 | a Hamas website?
- 8 A. Information about Hamas leaders, information about the
- 9 | movement's ideology, its political position on specific
- 10 issues, its reaction to particular events, claims of
- 11 responsibility for attacks, things like that.
- 12 Q. How do you know in reviewing a website if it is an actual
- 13 Hamas website?
- 14 A. This is one of the things that is a good example of
- 15 | something that you would need to get a consensus within kind
- 16 | of the academic community about. There are certain Hamas
- 17 | websites that are well-known Hamas websites and Hamas refers
- 18 | to them themselves, and those are the ones that I would use.
- 19 Q. Do Hamas members or leaders give interviews?
- 20 A. They do.
- 21 | Q. And what is the purpose of doing that?
- 22 A. Any organization that is involved in political activity,
- 23 | whether or not it is also involved in terrorist activity, is
- 24 | seeking to get out its message, and to do that you need to
- 25 | communicate with the larger public. And so Hamas is trying to

- 1 | articulate its position on issues, whether it is on an attack
- 2 or a political issue or an event, and Hamas very frequently
- 3 | will issue communiques or will have its leaders interviewed to
- 4 | articulate its position, how was it founded, what are its
- 5 goals, what is its reaction to a particular historical or
- 6 recent event, why does it do X and not Y; get its position out
- 7 | there on a variety of issues.
- $8 \mid Q$. Without going into the details, is there a particular
- 9 | interview that is well-known from a Hamas leader?
- 10 A. There are several, in particular two --Khalid Mishal, who
- 11 is the current leader of Hamas, one from Ghassan Charbel, a
- 12 | journalist in Al-Hayat in the Arab language daily, though it
- 13 | also has an English website and is available in English. It
- 14 | is a seven- or eight-part interview. There is a more recent
- one in the Journal of Palestine Studies of Khalid Mishal, a
- 16 | two-part issue by Muin Rabbani, an analyst based in Amman,
- 17 Jordan.
- 18 Q. If possible, can you spell some of those names?
- 19 | A. With difficulty. Khalid Mishal, K-H-A-L-I-D
- 20 M-I-S-H-A-L. Ghassan Charbel, G-H-A-S-S-A-N C-H-A-R-B-E-L.
- 21 | Muin Rabbani, M-U-I-N R-A-B-B-A-N-I. They are all
- 22 | transliterations, obviously, from the Arabic, so there will be
- 23 some --
- 24 | Q. You mentioned as part of your research talking to other
- 25 | scholars and reading other material. Are there books out

there on Hamas that you reviewed that you found to be

- 2 particularly helpful?
 - A. Yes.

3

- 4 Q. Can you tell us some of those books?
- 5 A. Azam Tamimi is based in Britain and has written a book on
- 6 | Hamas; two Israeli scholars Auraham Sela, and I forget his
- 7 | first name, Mishal, wrote a book as well published by Columbia
- 8 University Press. Ziad Abu-Amr, a Palestinian scholar and
- 9 | politician has also written a book on Hamas.
- 10 In the past year there have been several other books on
- 11 | Hamas. Zaki Chehab, a Palestinian journalist, one by I think
- 12 | it is a Danish Academic University in Wales in the U.K. wrote
- 13 | a book on Hamas, and there is another one by a Belgian that is
- 14 | several years old, and all in different ways some are better
- 15 | than others, but are all useful for comparison purposes.
- 16 | Q. With all this information that you gather, how do you
- 17 determine what is useful and what is not?
- 18 A. Again, it is a process of meeting with other scholars,
- 19 | comparing and contrasting, putting together as much
- 20 | information as possible, creating a sense of what is in the
- 21 | realm of the known, the likely, and the possible, and anything
- 22 | that is out of the realm of the known, which is most, because
- 23 | this is a social science not a natural science, not physics,
- 24 | is meeting with others and bouncing ideas off others, and that
- 25 | would be other academics, experts in government, multiple

- 1 governments, in this case Europeans, Americans, Israelis,
- 2 Palestinians, and comparing what you find in interviews
- 3 against what you find in primary source documents, et cetera.
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with the terrorist designation lists
- 5 | that come out by the United States government?
- 6 A. I am.
- 7 Q. You mentioned that you taught at John Hopkins?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What is that course in?
- 10 A. Several courses. It is the School of Advanced
- 11 International Studies or SAIS. I taught a course called
- 12 | "Contemporary Terrorism and the American Response," and then
- 13 | after I left Treasury decided to teach something that was more
- 14 related to the expertise I developed there and now teach a
- 15 | course on combating the financing of transnational threats.
- 16 Q. Where is John Hopkins, by the way?
- 17 | A. The main campus is in Baltimore, Maryland. The School of
- 18 Advanced International Studies is in Washington, D.C.
- 19 Q. Is that graduate level or undergraduate that you teach?
- 20 A. It is only graduate.
- 21 Q. Have you consulted with governments?
- 22 A. I have.
- 23 Q. What governments?
- 24 | A. I have consulted for the U.S. government, lectured for
- 25 the U.S. government, speak at government conferences, testify

1 in this case. As well as for other governments, I have

2 testified in two cases now for the government of Denmark,

3 consulted for the government of Sweden, consulted for the

4 government of Canada. That is representative. I don't know

5 if that is all.

Q. Are you familiar with an individual named General Jones?

7 A. Yes.

6

13

14

O. And how are you familiar with him?

9 A. General Jones is currently the special envoy from Middle

10 | East Regional Security at the State Department, the position

11 | that he was appointed to by the Secretary of State and the

12 | President, for the purpose of trying to move Israelis and

Palestinians closer to peace and trying to secure, if not an

actual peace, then a better environment on the ground that

15 | lends itself to a peaceful solution.

16 He has put together a team of government officials and

17 | outside experts, and I have served as an advisor to his

18 | mission on counterterrorism issues. This is not a

19 | counterterrorism mission. It is a peace process mission. And

20 I have been very proud to serve on it.

21 I am not doing it currently. I haven't actually signed

22 out of what is called this temporary government position. The

23 | Secretary has asked him to stay, on and he is continuing with

24 a skeletal staff and he has asked the rest of us to be on an

25 on-call basis.

- 1 Q. You say the Secretary asked him to stay on. What
- 2 | Secretary?
- 3 A. The Secretary of State.
- 4 THE COURT: Let's go ahead and take the afternoon
- 5 recess. Be back at quarter till 4:00 by that clock up there
- 6 on the wall.
- 7 (Whereupon, the jury left the courtroom.)
- 8 THE COURT: We will be in recess.
- 9 (Brief Recess.)
- 10 THE COURT: Mr. Jonas?
- 11 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Doctor Levitt, when we -- Before the
- 12 | break we were talking about your work with General Jones and
- 13 | the Mid East process, I guess?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Besides working for the U.S. government in that aspect,
- 16 have you ever testified before Congress?
- 17 A. Several times, yes.
- 18 Q. What were the subject matters of your testimony?
- 19 A. Specific Middle Eastern terrorist groups, terror
- 20 | financing generally, Iran, sanctions on Iran, this type of
- 21 thing.
- 22 Q. When you say specific Middle East terrorist groups, would
- 23 Hamas be one of those groups?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. Are you affiliated with any organization?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. What organizations?
- 3 A. Aside from the Washington Institute for Near East Policy
- 4 and Johns Hopkins University, I am a term member at the
- 5 | Council on Foreign Relations, an adjunct fellow at the
- 6 | Combating Terrorism Center at the U.S. Military Academy at
- 7 | West Point, I sit on the international advisory boards for
- 8 | Counterterrorism Institute in Israel and another one in
- 9 | Singapore. I think that is it, or close enough.
- 10 Q. Can you do me a favor and pull the microphone a little
- 11 | closer to you, if that is possible?
- 12 A. Is that better?
- 13 Q. Yes.
- 14 Have you received any awards.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. What type of awards?
- 17 | A. I have received awards for government service for the
- 18 | work I have done from groups as diverse as CNN to the U.S.
- 19 | Treasury Department and the FBI.
- 20 Q. You mentioned your writings, you write at the Washington
- 21 | Institute. Have you authored any books?
- 22 A. I have.
- 23 Q. What books have you written?
- 24 | A. I wrote a book for the Washington Institute called
- 25 Targeting Terror, about Middle Eastern Terrorist Groups other

- 1 | than the ones we have been looking at since 9/11. I have
- 2 | written a book on Hamas published by Yale University called
- 3 Hamas: Politics, charity, and Terrorism in the Service of
- 4 Jihad. And now I have written a book called Negotiating Under
- 5 | Fire. Preserving Peace Talks in the Face of Terror Attacks.
- 6 Q. Negotiating Under Fire, when did that come out?
- 7 A. About a week ago.
- 8 | Q. And specifically you are talking about peace -- What is
- 9 | the subject matter?
- 10 A. It is a book version of my Ph.D. dissertation that we
- 11 discussed earlier. It is about, from a conflict resolution
- 12 | perspective if we assume, as I argue we should, that spoilers,
- 13 | in this case whether they are Palestinian extremists or
- 14 | Israeli extremists, are trying to torpedo a peaceful
- 15 | negotiated settlement, then what can be done to buttress, to
- 16 | protect the peace process from the outset in anticipation of
- 17 | those types of attacks.
- 18 Q. Are you familiar with the term peered review?
- 19 A. I am.
- 20 | O. What does that mean.
- 21 A. Peer review means you have other academics, your peers,
- 22 | review your work before publication. In my case, I write
- 23 | things that are peer reviewed and I write some things that are
- 24 | not peer reviewed. Some of the most prestigious publications
- 25 out there, like foreign affairs, are not peer reviewed. But

- 1 | my books have been peer reviewed by persons unknown to me,
- 2 | academics who gave criticism and praise both, and I would like
- 3 to think made the books better for their constructive
- 4 criticism.
- 5 I have written chapters in books published by other
- 6 university presses that have been peer reviewed, and I have
- 7 | written articles in academic journals such as "Studies in
- 8 | Conflict and Terrorism." I have an article coming out in the
- 9 Journal of International Affairs at Columbia that are all peer
- 10 reviewed.
- 11 Q. The methods of research you have talked about, all the
- 12 primary research and the primary interviews and the secondary
- 13 research that you do, is that the accepted standard of
- 14 research in your field?
- 15 A. It is. It is been referred to as the gold standard.
- 16 | Q. Now, you sound like you are a busy person. Are you
- 17 | getting compensated for your time here?
- 18 A. I am.
- 19 | Q. And how much are you getting compensated?
- 20 A. \$200 an hour.
- 21 Q. Is that the going rate?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Is that above the going rate?
- 24 A. It is below the going rate.
- 25 | Q. Your Honor, at this time I would offer Doctor Levitt as

an expert on the terrorist group Hamas.

1

8

THE COURT: Counsel, any objection?

3 MR. CLINE: We just renew our previous pleadings on 4 the subject that I think you have argued to you.

5 THE COURT: Doctor Levitt is accepted as an expert 6 on that issue.

7 MR. JONAS: Thank you, sir.

- Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Doctor Levitt, what is Hamas?
- 9 A. Hamas is a Palestinian group, a movement. The name is an acronym for Harakat al Muqawamah al Islamiyya, which means
- 11 | Islamic Resistance Movement. And it is a movement that is
- 12 engaged in social, political, and military and terrorist
- 13 activity, with the aim of securing a Palestinian state in all
- of historic Palestine, meaning what is today the State of
- 15 Israel the West Bank, and Gaza; and not just any state, but an
- 16 Islamic state, not a secular state.
- 17 Q. You said many things there, and we are going to break
- 18 them down. When was Hamas formed?
- 19 A. It was officially formed in December 1987, but those same
- 20 | leaders who formed Hamas, in some of the interviews I
- 21 mentioned earlier, for example, have been very open about the
- 22 | fact that the group existed under other names long before
- 23 then; certainly through the early 1980s.
- 24 Q. Where was it formed?
- 25 A. Among the people who founded it were people as far afield

- 1 as Kuwait in the Arabian Gulf, but the movement was founded in
- 2 the West Bank and Gaza, primarily, in the first instance, in
- 3 Gaza.
- 4 MR. JONAS: Your Honor, if I may show some maps to
- 5 Doctor Levitt?
- 6 THE COURT: Yes.
- 7 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Doctor Levitt, you are familiar with the
- 8 | geographic region of this part of the world?
- 9 A. I am.
- 10 | Q. I want to show you a series of maps starting, with what
- 11 | has been marked as Map No. 4. Do you recognize this map?
- 12 A. I do.
- 13 Q. And what is this?
- 14 A. It is a map of the world.
- 15 MR. JONAS: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence
- 16 Map 4.
- 17 THE COURT: Counsel?
- 18 MR. CLINE: No objection.
- 19 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 20 | Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Doctor Levitt, I will hold it up so you
- 21 | can stay where you are. Just point out on this large map of
- 22 | the world where Israel, Gaza, the West Bank is located.
- 23 A. It is very hard to see them because they are all very
- 24 | small, but this little strip along the edge of the
- 25 | Mediterranean northeast of Egypt is Israel, the West Bank, and

- 1 Gaza.
- 2 Q. Do you recognize this region?
- 3 A. I do.
- 4 THE COURT: Give me the map number again, counsel.
- 5 MR. JONAS: The current one is Map 3.
- 6 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) And what is this region?
- 7 A. This is a map of the Middle East.
- 8 MR. JONAS: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence
- 9 Map 3.
- 10 MR. CLINE: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 12 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Again I will hold this up here. And then
- 13 | if you can point out Israel and Gaza and the West Bank.
- 14 A. It is easier to see here. Israel is this pinkish part in
- 15 | the middle. And what is white, the piece over here and this
- 16 | very small piece over here, this is the West Bank, and this is
- 17 | the Gaza Strip.
- 18 Q. Okay. Could you describe or tell us the countries
- 19 | surrounding Israel?
- 20 A. You have Egypt here, and the Sinai Peninsula which is
- 21 | part of Egypt, now Jordan, Syria, and north is Lebanon.
- 22 | Q. I will show you what is Map 5. What is this?
- 23 A. This is a close-up map of Israel, West Bank, Gaza Strip,
- 24 and some of the surrounding countries; all of them, but just
- 25 little pieces of them.

1 MR. JONAS: Your Honor, I offer into evidence Map 5.

2 MR. CLINE: No objection.

THE COURT: Admitted.

- 4 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Now we have gotten really close, would
- 5 | you say, Doctor Levitt, in terms of the size of Israel?
- 6 A. You have. They suddenly look bigger. What you have,
- 7 | this white in the middle is Israel, this is the Gaza Strip,
- 8 this is the West Bank, here you have Egypt, Jordan, the Golan
- 9 Heights and Syria are up here, and Lebanon is up here.
- 10 Q. And could you just point out on the map where you said
- 11 Hamas was formed?
- 12 A. Here in the Gaza strip and in the West Bank. There was
- 13 | input from leaders outside those regions as well, but this was
- 14 | its primary location when it was formed.
- 15 | Q. Do those areas the West Bank and Gaza commonly go by
- 16 | another name?
- 17 | A. The territories are the Palestinian Territories or the
- 18 Occupied Territories.
- 19 Q. Why are they referred to as the Occupied Territories?
- 20 A. After the 1967 war between Israel and its neighbors,
- 21 | after Israel was attacked, Israel conquered a significant
- 22 amount of territory, including all of the Sinai, which has
- 23 | since been returned to Egypt, the Gaza Strip, the West Bank
- 24 | including all of Jerusalem, and the Golan Heights from Syria.
- 25 MR. JONAS: Your Honor, again if I can approach

- 1 Doctor Levitt so he can point out those areas?
- THE COURT: Yes.
- 3 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) You mentioned two additional areas, the
- 4 | Sinai and Golan Heights. If you will point those out, please.
- 5 A. This what is marked here as Egypt is the Sinai. The Suez
- 6 | is somewhere over here breaking it off from the rest of Egypt.
- 7 And here is the Golan Heights which Israel captured from
- 8 Syria.
- 9 Q. This occurred in 1967, you said?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. What was the common name for this war?
- 12 A. The Six-Day War.
- 13 Q. What happened to spark the war?
- 14 A. Israel was invaded by its neighbors, and then
- 15 | preemptively -- Not preemptively. It was invaded by its
- 16 | neighbors, struck back, and within six days conquered a
- 17 | significant amount of territory.
- 18 Q. You say its neighbors. You have identified several
- 19 | countries surrounding it. Which countries in particular are
- 20 you referring to?
- 21 A. Egypt, Jordan, and Syria, though there were brigades from
- 22 other countries like Iraq as well.
- 23 | Q. When Israel captured these pieces of land, the Gaza, West
- 24 | Bank, Sinai, Golan Heights, who did they belong to prior to
- 25 | the Six-Day War?

- 1 A. The countries that they are closest to. The Golan
- 2 Heights is part of Syria. The West Bank was being
- 3 administered by Jordan. It was not independent. And the Gaza
- 4 | Strip was under Egyptian control, also not independent.
- 5 Q. All right. Does Israel still occupy the West Bank and
- 6 Gaza Strip today?
- 7 A. Israel is not in the Gaza Strip at all. Israel withdrew
- 8 | all civilians or settlers and all military from the Gaza Strip
- 9 in 2005. It is still occupying the West Bank where there are
- 10 pockets of Palestinian control, primarily in the cities. As
- 11 | part of the peace process, the West Bank was split up into
- 12 | three different types of area, Area A, B, and C. That still
- 13 applies, and so there are some areas that are under more
- 14 | Palestinian control. But the entire of the West Bank is still
- 15 | under Israeli military control, and, therefore, occupation.
- 16 | Q. Prior to the 1967 War, when did Israel come into
- 17 | existence?
- 18 A. In 1948.
- 19 | Q. And what happened to cause it to come into existence?
- 20 A. There was a vote at the United Nations, and the United
- 21 | Nations voted to create a homeland for the Jewish people and a
- 22 | homeland for the Palestinian people. And they decided not to
- 23 | make one homeland, but to split this disputed territory
- 24 | between the two. And Israel accepted that partition and
- 25 declared the State of Israel. The Palestinian leadership at

- 1 | the time and the Arab states all rejected it and went to war
- 2 with the new State of Israel leading to the war of 1948, which
- 3 the Israelis describe as and call their War of Independence,
- 4 and the Palestinians describe as the Nakba, or the
- 5 catastrophe, because it led to not only the creation of Israel
- 6 but did not lead to a Palestinian state. As we said earlier
- 7 | Gaza was under the control of Egypt; west Bank under the
- 8 | control of Jordan.
- 9 Q. Turning back to Hamas, what are the goals of Hamas?
- 10 A. Hamas seeks to establish a Palestinian Islamic religious
- 11 | state in all of the area that we have described as Israel, the
- 12 West Bank, and Gaza.
- 13 MR. JONAS: Your Honor, if I can approach Doctor
- 14 Levitt one more time?
- 15 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
- MR. JONAS: It may not be one more time.
- 17 | Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Can you on this map again, which is Map 5
- 18 | for the record, show us what areas you are talking about that
- 19 | Hamas wants to establish as an Islamic state.
- 20 A. All of this white which is Israel, the Gaza Strip, and
- 21 | the West Bank, so this entire area which is the original
- 22 disputed area.
- 23 | Q. If Hamas was successful in its goals, what happens to the
- 24 | State of Israel?
- 25 A. It would cease to be.

- Q. Are you familiar with something called the two-state
- 2 | solution?
- 3 A. I am.
- 4 Q. What is that?
- 5 A. The two-state solution is much like it sounds--the idea
- 6 of resolving this conflict by establishing two states, as
- 7 originally intended by the United Nations, living side by side
- 8 in peace with each other and their, neighbors a State of
- 9 Israel and a state of Palestine. The two-state solution is
- 10 primarily, although there is lots to be negotiated, lots of
- 11 | final points, the exact borders, the status of the holy sites
- 12 in Jerusalem, the right of Palestinian refugees who fled
- 13 | Israel, the West Bank, or Gaza in the various wars to return,
- 14 | all that has to be negotiated, but the Palestinian state would
- 15 be in general terms all of the West Bank and all of the Gaza
- 16 Strip.
- 17 | Q. What is Hamas' position on the two-state solution?
- 18 A. Hamas is expressly against the two-state solution. It
- 19 | again wants to have a single Palestinian state in all of that
- 20 | land; and not just any state, not a secular state, but an
- 21 | Islamist state, and has been very explicit about that. In
- 22 part it is because Hamas puts this into a radical religious
- 23 | context where it sees all of this territory, all of these
- 24 | lands, as an Islamic endowment, a gift to the Muslim people
- 25 | that must be ruled by Muslims.

- 1 Q. You are using the term Islamist state. What do you mean
- 2 by that?
- 3 A. A state that is ruled by Islamic law or sharia to one
- 4 extent or another.
- 5 Q. What is Islamic law?
- 6 A. Religious law.
- 7 | Q. Can you give an example of -- Well, withdrawn. Are there
- 8 | countries out there today that are run by Islamic law?
- 9 A. Again, to one extent or another, and they are different,
- 10 but the Sudan or Saudi Arabia or Iran are all states that to
- 11 one extent or another are run by Islamic law.
- 12 Q. Are you familiar with the term secular?
- 13 A. I am.
- 14 Q. And what does that term mean?
- 15 A. Secular means not religious; not necessarily
- 16 | anti-religious, but not religious in the sense, for example,
- 17 | that the United States is a secular country. We are not
- 18 governed by any particular religious law, but you are free to
- 19 | practice whatever your religion in this country.
- 20 | Q. Would you describe Hamas as secular?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Are there other Palestinian groups out there that have
- 23 | similar goals of Hamas that are secular?
- 24 A. Yes, to varying degrees. The goals are not all exactly
- 25 | the same, but there are groups that over the course of this

- 1 | conflict, and even today, oppose the peace process. Some who
- 2 oppose the peace process through violence like Hamas, but are
- 3 | not religious, they are Marxist or have other nationalist
- 4 ideology.
- 5 Q. Can you name some of those groups?
- 6 A. Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, Democratic
- 7 Front for the Liberation of Palestine. In many ways some of
- 8 | the groups are affiliated with Fatah, the largest Palestinian
- 9 group that was headed by Yasser Arafat, including their
- 10 military wing the Al-Agsa Martyrs Brigades.
- 11 Q. Was Yasser Arafat part of another organization or Fatah
- 12 part of another organization?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. What was that organization?
- 15 A. Yasser Arafat was the chairman of the Palestine
- 16 | Liberation Organization, or the PLO, and he was that by virtue
- 17 | of being the head of Attach, which was the largest of the
- 18 Palestinian rejectionist groups.
- 19 Q. Was PLO sort of an umbrella group?
- 20 A. Exactly.
- 21 Q. And is Fatah -- is that secular or Islamist?
- 22 A. Secular.
- 23 Q. What does the name Hamas mean?
- 24 | A. It is an acronym meaning Islamic Resistant Movement, it
- 25 | is a play on words because the word itself means zeal.

- 1 Q. Does it go by any nicknames?
- 2 A. Yes. It would go by -- it goes by The Movement, because
- 3 | it is the Islamic Resistance Movement, in the same way that
- 4 | the Washington Institute where I work is often referred to as
- 5 | The Institute.
- 6 Q. Could you briefly explain the structure of Hamas?
- 7 A. Hamas has three general wings--a social welfare wing, a
- 8 | political wing, and a military wing that are all intertwined,
- 9 and all operate under the ultimate authority of the political
- 10 | leadership at the top of the political wing.
- 11 | Q. Have you assisted in creating a diagram that would help
- 12 | the jury in your testimony understanding the structure of
- 13 Hamas?
- 14 A. I have.
- 15 | Q. And there are some exhibits before you, if you can go --
- 16 | they are turned over. Do you see before you what is marked as
- 17 Demonstrative No. 9?
- 18 A. Yes. It is -- Mine is demonstrative 12 is what I have
- 19 here.
- 20 | O. I am Sorry. Demonstrative 12. What is that?
- 21 | A. This is the diagram of the Hamas structure.
- 22 MR. JONAS: Your Honor, I offer as a demonstrative
- 23 exhibit Demonstrative Exhibit No. 12.
- 24 MS. HOLLANDER: As a demonstrative only, Your Honor,
- 25 | we don't object.

- THE COURT: No objection? Demonstrative No. 12 is admitted as a demonstrative exhibit.
- MR. JONAS: If we can put that on the screen, please.
- Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Doctor Levitt, can you see what is on the screen?
- 7 A. Yeah. It is a little -- The words are a little hard to 8 see, but I can see it.
- 9 Q. Can you explain what this triangle is?
- A. Yes. This is a depiction of the Hamas structure showing the political echelon at the top; the military wing of Hamas, which is called the Izz el-Din al-Qassam Brigades, or the Qassam Brigades, in the middle, and the symbol for the Qassam Brigades is there; and then at the bottom of the pyramid at
- 15 the foundation is the social welfare wing of Hamas, which is
- often described as the Dawa, which literally means to
- 17 proselytize. The way you get people in to proselytize to them
- 18 is by providing them services, and then you have an audience
- 19 to proselytize to.
- 20 Q. Can you define proselytize?
- 21 A. Preach. And the reason I depict it this way is because
- 22 the social welfare activities serves literally as the
- 23 | foundation for all of the other activity--for the recruitment
- 24 | for the group, for political activity, for military activity,
- 25 | it provides grassroots support for the organization, it

1 provides financing and logistical support for the 2 organization, but the political echelon is at the very top 3 because they provide the strategic guidance. They are the ultimate decision-makers and arbiters for the direction, and 4 sometimes even for specific actions for the group. 5 6 one of the reasons they are so important and in control is 7 because they often control the flow of funds through that foundation of the social welfare, giving them or empowering them with the ability to give that direction. 9 10 Doctor Levitt, we will go into the structure in more Ο. detail in a little bit. I want to get back to the history of 11 12 Hamas. You stated it was formed in 1987, but there has been 13 some indication that it was actually in existence prior to 14 that. Can you explain what you mean by that? 15 Hamas leaders have been very clear, in these interviews, Α. 16 for example, that after the 1967 War, the process of creating 17 an Islamist-Palestinian --MR. DRATEL: Can we get a time frame on these 18 interviews, please? He talked about Hamas interviews. 19 20 THE WITNESS: These are the two interviews I mentioned earlier of Khalid Mishal. One is by Ghassan Charbel 21 22 that came out two or three years ago. The second one is by --23 is in the Journal of Palestine Studies and is in the summer of 24 2008 most recent volume, and I believe the actual interviews

were carried out in March of this year.

- 1 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Okay. If you could --
- 2 A. Both of Khalid Mishal, the head of the political
- 3 structure of Hamas.
- 4 Q. You were explaining Hamas' form prior to 1987.
- 5 A. Hamas in the Gaza Strip was very active in creating
- 6 | social welfare institutions, then under the rubric of the
- 7 Palestinian wing of the Muslim Brotherhood --
- 8 Q. Let me stop you for a moment. What is the Muslim
- 9 | Brotherhood?
- 10 A. The Muslim Brotherhood is an international organization
- 11 | founded in Egypt. It is the largest Islamist organization in
- 12 | the world. It has chapters throughout the world. And the
- 13 Palestinian chapter, the Palestinian wing of the Muslim
- 14 | Brotherhood was where almost all the leaders of Hamas came up
- 15 | through. Hamas describes itself as the Palestinian wing of
- 16 | the Muslim Brotherhood.
- 17 | Q. You said that the Muslim Brotherhood has branches
- 18 | throughout the world. Is there a branch in the United States?
- 19 A. Certainly.
- 20 | Q. When was the Muslim Brotherhood formed?
- 21 A. In the 1920s.
- 22 Q. Who formed it?
- 23 A. A person named Hassan al-Banna.
- 24 | Q. What is the goal of the Muslim Brotherhood?
- 25 | A. The goal of the Muslim Brotherhood is to bring Muslims

1 back to the proper practice of the faith; and once that is

- 2 done, to unite them in confronting and challenging the
- 3 perceived enemies of Islam. And for some Muslim Brotherhood
- 4 | members that means creating kind of a transnational Islamic
- 5 state. It works as an international level. There is an
- 6 | international Muslim Brotherhood, but it is active mostly in
- 7 | each of these national chapters, if you will.
- 8 Q. How does the Muslim Brotherhood seek to establish this
- 9 goal?
- 10 A. It is extremely active in grassroots activity, political
- 11 and social welfare activity, and proselytizing, preaching, to
- 12 | bring people back to, as they see it, the proper practice of
- 13 | Islam--that Muslims should be properly practicing their faith;
- 14 and then that as they come back to that proper practice, that
- 15 | will unite the Muslim nation, or the Ummah, and that then they
- 16 | will be united and able to challenge, take on their perceived
- 17 enemies.
- 18 Q. Who are their perceived enemies?
- 19 A. Historically it would be those who are occupying or
- 20 | living in areas that were at some point Muslim lands. And
- 21 | traditionally today it is the Western culture, the Western
- 22 | influence which they see as drawing people away from the
- 23 | proper practice of Islam, and in particular because of its
- 24 | dominance in the Western world, and in particular because of
- 25 | its dominance in Western culture and also because of its

- 1 | support for Israel, the United States.
- 2 Q. Is the Muslim Brotherhood, the international Muslim
- 3 | Brotherhood, is that a violent organization?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, I object. He is not an 6 expert on Muslim Brotherhood.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. You may answer.
- 8 THE WITNESS: No.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Is the Muslim Brotherhood outlawed in the
- 10 United States?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Is it outlawed in any country?
- 13 A. It is outlawed in Egypt. I don't know if it is outlawed
- 14 | in other countries. In some countries it doesn't operate
- 15 | expressly as the Muslim Brotherhood, but under different
- 16 | names. So, for example, In Jordan it operates as the Islamic
- 17 | Action Front, which enables the government to say that it is
- 18 dealing not with the Muslim Brotherhood but with another
- 19 entity that, in this case in Jordan, the Islamic Action Front
- 20 | is very much involved and engaged in politics and holds seats
- 21 in parliament.
- 22 | Q. You say that the Muslim Brotherhood's goal is to first
- 23 | bring people back to Islam and then take on its perceived
- 24 enemies. Do all the chapters of the Muslim Brotherhood agree
- 25 | in progressing that way?

- 1 A. Most do. Hamas is the exception. Hamas still refers to
- 2 | itself as the Palestinian wing of the Muslim Brotherhood, or
- 3 The Brotherhood, the Ikhwan, but has flipped the order, and
- 4 does not believe in waiting until everyone has been brought
- 5 back to the proper practice of Islam to then violently
- 6 confront its enemies.
- 7 Q. You said that the Muslim Brotherhood as an international
- 8 | body is not violent, but Hamas as a chapter, is that violent?
- 9 Is that chapter violent?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 0. Does the international Muslim Brotherhood try to reign in
- 12 | Hamas for being violent? Do they try to stop them, stop their
- 13 | violence?
- 14 A. Within the Muslim Brotherhood there are some leaders who
- 15 | are more supportive of Hamas and some who are less. That is
- 16 | perhaps most noticed within the Islamic Action Front because
- 17 | they operate in Jordan, which is just over the border from the
- 18 West Bank, and there is a lot of Palestinians living in
- 19 Jordan, a lot of Palestinians involved in the Islamic Action
- 20 | Front. But the international Muslim Brotherhood doesn't
- 21 | really have, you know, decision-making power or sway over
- 22 | Hamas, and so it is in no position to reign in Hamas.
- 23 There have been senior Muslim Brotherhood officials who
- 24 | have been quite praiseworthy of Hamas, including of Hamas
- 25 terrorist attacks.

- 1 Q. Does the Muslim Brotherhood operate covertly or overtly?
- 2 A. By and large it operates overtly, because in most places
- 3 | it is not an illegal organization, and because in most of
- 4 | those places it is not engaged in violence.
- 5 Q. You testified earlier that Hamas is an Islamist
- 6 | institution versus a secular institution, or non-religious
- 7 | institution. Muslim Brotherhood, Islamist or secular?
- 8 A. Islamist.
- 9 Q. Now, how long has the Palestinian branch of the Muslim
- 10 Brotherhood been in existence prior to becoming Hamas in 1987?
- 11 A. Many, many years. Since the formation of the Muslim
- 12 | Brotherhood in the 1920s, there was always a strong influence
- 13 in the Gaza Strip. As early as the War of 1948, there were
- 14 Palestinians who fought in that war under the rubric of the
- 15 | Muslim Brotherhood. And Hamas leaders often describe the
- 16 activities of the Palestinian wing of the Muslim Brotherhood
- 17 | in that war.
- 18 They became much more active, however, as did grassroots
- 19 activity at large, after the 1967 War. Prior to 1967, in Gaza
- 20 | in particular, they were cracked down on. They couldn't
- 21 | operate very openly because the Muslim Brotherhood was banned.
- 22 | O. Cracked down on by whom?
- 23 A. By Egypt. And in fact one of the key founders of Hamas,
- 24 | Sheikh Yassin, was arrested by the Egyptians before Gaza was
- 25 | controlled by Israel.

After 1967 two things happened. Two things happened because now Israel controlled this territory. The first was they were no longer under Egyptian control and that freed their hand. The second was that the Israelis, wanting to counter the influence of the secular Palestinian terrorist groups that were at that time the most active in the years following the 1967 War, were very willing to reach out to the religious elements within Palestinian society and help their growth as an antidote to the Palestinian secular groups that were at that time very much engaged in violence. At that point the Islamists were not.

- Q. Up until -- Leading up to 1987, talking about the time period beforehand, what was the Palestinian branch of the Muslim Brotherhood doing?
- A. The most significant thing they were doing was building social welfare institutions—charities, zakat committees which are charity committees through which practicing Muslims can donate that portion of their livelihood that they are religiously required to donate, establishing mosques, establishing the movement at a grassroots level, building supports at a grassroots level.

Even as it also had leaders and activists outside Gaza, not only in the West Bank which was where Hamas was slowly beginning to develop, but outside in particular in Kuwait where a large Palestinian diaspora was living, studying in

- 1 | particular, and many future Hamas leaders, including Khalid
- 2 | Mishal who is now the head of Hamas, were very involved in the
- 3 | foundations of Hamas from Kuwait where they were very involved
- 4 | with the Muslim Brotherhood in Kuwait.
- 5 | Q. You used a couple of terms I am going to ask you what you
- 6 mean by. You said diaspora?
- 7 A. Diaspora means outside the home country. In this case,
- 8 for a Palestinian that would be outside the areas of Israel,
- 9 the West Bank, and Gaza.
- 10 Q. You also used the term grassroots. What do you mean by
- 11 that?
- 12 A. Grassroots means bottom up. Instead of, you know, a
- 13 | federal government, national government activity, it means
- 14 | individuals building charities, social service organizations,
- 15 | non-governmental organizations, and acting at the very local
- 16 | community level.
- 17 | Q. What were some of these social organizations created by
- 18 | the Palestinian branch of the Muslim Brotherhood prior to
- 19 1987?
- 20 A. The most important were the Islamic Society and the
- 21 | Islamic Center, al-Mujama and the Jemaah. These were founded
- 22 by future founders of Hamas. Again, as they describe it, they
- 23 | were already Hamas, they just hadn't named themselves and come
- 24 out as Hamas until December 1987. These were both umbrella
- 25 organizations that helped oversee the development of other

- 1 mosques, charities, social service organizations on the ground 2 in the Gaza trip.
- Q. What was the purpose of the Muslim Brotherhood, the
 Palestinian branch of the Muslim Brotherhood, having these
- 5 social services?

6

Α.

7 the foundation of our triangle. This is how you reach people.

This is the key to the strategy. This is why it is at

- 8 This is how you build a base of support within a population.
- 9 This is how you get people to come into your institution or
- 10 your mosque so that you can preach or proselytize to them.
- 11 Providing services is a very powerful way of building a base
- 12 of support.
- Q. So in 1987 what happened to cause the Palestinian branch
- of the Muslim Brotherhood to call themselves Hamas?
- 15 A. A lot. The local Palestinian population in the Gaza
- 16 Strip and the West Bank, as opposed to the outside leadership
- 17 | in the form of the PLO, the Palestine Liberation Organization
- 18 | headed by Yasser Arafat, which was always outside the
- 19 territories until the 1990s, first in Jordan, then in Lebanon,
- 20 and then in Tunisia in North Africa. But the domestic
- 21 | leadership had had enough of the Israeli occupation; started
- 22 doing things like refusing to pay taxes to the military
- 23 occupation, and other forms of non-violent protests. But
- 24 | there was a lot of tension on the ground, there were
- 25 | occasional skirmishes. And there had already developed one

smaller Palestinian Islamist terrorist group that had begun to carry out military attacks named Palestinian Islamic Jihad, or PIG. That put a lot of pressure on the Palestinian Muslim Brotherhood, on the PLO, because they were losing ground and support to this more violent organization that seemed very legitimate.

In December 1987 the Palestinian Islamic Jihad carried out an attack against an Israeli. And a few days later an Israeli truck driver lost control of his truck and the truck rammed into a bunch of Palestinian civilians on the street, killing many. Rumors spread very, very quickly that this was an intentional Israeli retaliatory attack for the Palestinian Islamic Jihad attack on the Israeli. Rumors spread that there was a tank involved, frankly, ridiculous things. But it had its effect, and riots ensued and they spread. And a massive grassroots uprising, which is what an Intifada is, and that is what is was called, the Intifada, began. It was a surprise to Hamas. It was surprise to the Palestine Liberation Organization based in Tunis. It was a surprise to the Israelis and the military authorities at the time.

It was then -- Very quickly the issue became who was going to kind of take control of this grassroots uprising and who was going to get the credit for it and who was going to guide it, who was going the benefit politically from this opportunity. All the Palestinian entities tried to take

control, including the PLO from Tunis.

And one of the recent interviews I just stated, Khalid Mishal, states clearly this was an opportunity then for Hamas to found itself officially and ride this wave and insert religion into the conflict so that the violence could have a religious context, because, as he explains, when you insert religion into a violent context, it empowers people. It helps drive people. It builds their stamina.

And so Hamas was founded in December 1987 competing with the PLO and other Palestinian groups and trying to take control and ride this wave of the uprising to political success.

- Q. Prior to the Intifada, was the Palestinian branch of the Muslim Brotherhood violent?
- A. Yes. Not to the same extent, but even before December 1987 Hamas, then operating as the Palestinian wing of the Muslim Brotherhood, engaged in small scale attacks. Sheikh Yassin, the paraplegic spiritual leader and one of the founders of Hamas in the Gaza Strip, the leader of the Palestinian Muslim Brotherhood in the Gaza Strip, was arrested in the early 1980s for his role in weapons procurement and small scale attacks. Khalid Mishal, the head of Hamas, was very open in these interviews about how important the military activity was to Sheikh Yassin, the political leader.

But it wasn't until December 1987, when Hamas was founded

as such, that they began operating a military wring conducting
attacks against Israelis, soldiers and civilians alike, on a
regular basis. Until then the main security wing within
Hamas, within the Palestinian Muslim Brotherhood was an
internal security meant to prevent informants, meant to
protect the security of the organization.

Q. Right after the Intifada started, December '87 and 1988, what sort of -- You said there is a grassroots uprising against the Israelis. In what form did this uprising take?

A. Primarily stone-throwing. That was the major image; some molotov cocktails and stuff like that, but primarily it was a lot of civil disobedience which had already started, refusing to pay taxes and et cetera. But what was new about the Intifada, shops with were being closed in a coordinated manner, businesses were being shut down, it made the military occupation difficult, which was obviously the intention, and stone-throwing primarily against the Israeli military, and molotov cocktails.

Q. Did Hamas engage in the stone-throwing, or did they commit some other types of acts against the Israeli military?

A. Hamas promoted the grassroots violence certainly, but
Hamas wanted to kick it up a notch, and so you had already in
the late 1980s, for example, kidnappings of Israeli soldiers
or police officers, usually kidnappings and subsequent murders
of those individuals. Sheikh Yassin, who I mentioned, had

1 been arrested around 1983 and had been released in a prisoner

- 2 exchange was rearrested because of his role in some of these
- 3 kidnappings in 1989, for example, of the soldiers Ilan Sa'adon
- 4 and Avi Sasportas.
- 5 Q. When Hamas was formed, were the members of the
- 6 Palestinian branch of the Muslim Brotherhood -- did they
- 7 | automatically become Hamas members?
- 8 | A. Yes. Hamas was the Palestinian branch of the Muslim
- 9 Brotherhood. That doesn't mean that every member of the
- 10 Palestinian Muslim Brotherhood or every member of Hamas was
- 11 | involved in every type of Hamas activity.
- 12 Some people were still involved primarily in political
- 13 | activity, some in social activity, and some in military
- 14 activity. But what Hamas did is it built this structure so
- 15 | that all three wings were complementary. And it would not be,
- 16 as Hamas developed, at all uncommon for someone to serve time
- 17 | in one and then another of the wings of Hamas.
- 18 Q. So as the members became Hamas, the members of the
- 19 | Palestinian branch, the social institutions you described
- 20 | earlier that were formed prior to 1987, did those stay with
- 21 Hamas post 1987?
- 22 A. It is not just that they stayed with Hamas. As the Hamas
- 23 | leaders themselves describe it, they were already Hamas. It
- 24 | changed in name only. These were Hamas institutions. They
- 25 remained Hamas institutions.

- 1 Q. So Hamas tries to capitalize on the Intifada. Would that
- 2 be a correct description?
- $3 \mid A. \quad Yes.$
- 4 Q. And what is their goal in doing so? Why are they doing
- 5 so?
- 6 A. To broaden and expand the violent resistance to the
- 7 Israeli occupation and create grassroots support for that
- 8 violence in an effort to broaden Palestinian support for
- 9 efforts to defeat Israel.
- 10 Q. Why was it important, though, for Hamas not to cede the
- 11 | leadership of the Palestinian people over to the PLO or some
- 12 of these other groups?
- 13 A. Ever since the conference in Rabat, Morocco in 1974 where
- 14 | the Arab world gave the PLO the official title of
- 15 | responsibility of being the sole legitimate representatives of
- 16 | the Palestinian people, Hamas strove to challenge that. This
- 17 | was a golden opportunity. The PLO was a leadership in exile,
- and people, even Fatah and PLO members on the ground, were
- 19 getting tired of their lack of impact, and that is why they
- 20 | started this grassroots rebellion.
- 21 Here you have Hamas, which is not based some place in
- 22 North Africa, but is on the ground and would be able, they
- 23 | hoped, to oversee and guide and channel this anger and
- 24 frustration.
- 25 Q. Was there also a tension because PLO was secular and

- 1 | Hamas was Islamist?
- 2 A. Certainly. That was only one of the reasons why they
- 3 were at loggerheads. Already at that point the PLO had begun
- 4 to maker early signs of being willing to recognize Israel,
- 5 | which it later did. And that, of course, was anathema to
- 6 | Hamas, as it made clear in its constitution, its covenant, its
- 7 charter, that was released in the months after its founding.
- 8 Q. Since you mentioned the charter, let's talk about that.
- 9 | Have you reviewed it?
- 10 | A. I have.
- 11 | Q. What language was it published in?
- 12 A. It was published in Arabic, and then appeared in English.
- 13 Q. Who published it in English?
- 14 A. It was published here in the United States by the Islamic
- 15 | Association for Palestine, and it appeared in English in
- 16 | multiple means, but the one that I and most academics use is a
- 17 | translation produced by Yale University that is available on
- 18 the internet.
- 19 | Q. If you can look at what is marked I believe as Hamas
- 20 Charter 2 in front of you.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | O. What is that document?
- 23 \mid A. This is a copy of the Hamas charter in Arabic.
- 24 MR. JONAS: Your Honor, I would offer into evidence
- 25 Hamas Charter 2.

- 1 MR. CLINE: No objection.
- THE COURT: Admitted.
- 3 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Doctor Levitt, do you see the screen in
- 4 front of you?
- 5 A. I do.
- 6 | Q. What is on the screen?
- 7 A. It is the cover of the charter that I am holding.
- 8 Q. Of the exhibit before you?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And this is in Arabic. Is that correct?
- 11 A. It is.
- 12 Q. What is it a depiction of this drawing on the right side
- 13 of the booklet?
- 14 A. This is part of the region that we have been
- 15 describing--Israel, the Gaza Strip, and the West Bank.
- 16 | Q. It is not delineated the Gaza Strip and West Bank, is it?
- 17 | A. No, because for Hamas this is one singular area not to be
- 18 divided.
- 19 Q. Okay. Now, I believe you mentioned is there one
- 20 | particular English version that is accepted by scholars, such
- 21 | as yourself?
- 22 A. Yes. There are multiple versions, but the one that most
- 23 | people use, because when you are translating from any language
- 24 | there are many words that can be translated slightly
- 25 | differently, the one most people use is one put out by Yale

- 1 University by the law school.
- 2 Q. If you can look in front of you, there should be
- 3 | something marked Hamas Charter 1.
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. What is that document?
- 6 A. It is the covenant of the Islamic Resistance Movement,
- 7 | the Avalon Project at Yale Law School translation.
- 8 | Q. Is that the translation you referred to a moment ago that
- 9 is commonly referred to by scholars?
- 10 A. Yes.
- MR. JONAS: Your Honor, at this time I offer into
- 12 | evidence Hamas Charter 1.
- MR. CLINE: No objection.
- 14 THE COURT: Admitted.
- 15 | Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Doctor Levitt, did you assist in creating
- 16 | a PowerPoint of the Hamas charter that would aid the jury in
- 17 | your testimony?
- 18 A. I did.
- 19 Q. And do you have before you Demonstrative No. 9? I
- 20 | believe this one is No. 9.
- 21 A. This one is.
- 22 | O. And what is that?
- 23 A. This is the PowerPoint that I helped prepare of the
- 24 official Hamas charter.
- MR. JONAS: Your Honor, at this time I would offer

- 1 into evidence as a demonstrative Demonstrative No. 9.
- 2 MR. CLINE: Your Honor, no objection.
- I wonder if we could have an instruction on the proper use of a demonstrative.
- 5 THE COURT: Demonstrative No. 9 is admitted.
 - And members of the jury, a demonstrative exhibit means it is not in evidence such that you won't be able to take it back with you when you retire to deliberate, but can consider it in the courtroom, and of course whatever you recall of it you can rely on your memory.
- 11 MR. JONAS: Thank you, sir.
- MR. JONAS: If we can put on the screen the first page of the PowerPoint.
- Q. (BY MR. JONAS) Doctor Levitt, we see on the left side,
- 15 | is that the cover of the charter?
- 16 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

- 17 | Q. On the right side there is a date. What is that date?
- 18 A. That was the date that it was published.
- 19 Q. August 18th, 1988?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 MR. JONAS: If we could turn to the next slide?
- 22 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) And before I ask you a question about
- 23 | this, Doctor Levitt, how is the charter broken up?
- 24 A. Into sections and into articles.
- 25 | Q. Chapters?

- 1 A. Chapters, exactly.
- 2 Q. And with your PowerPoint slide and your testimony today,
- are we going to go through every single chapter?
- $4 \mid A$. No. That would take a very long time.
- 5 Q. So we are just hitting some highlights?
- 6 A. Exactly.
- 7 Q. On the screen we see something that is marked as
- 8 | "introduction." Would that be the beginning of the charter?
- 9 A. Exactly.
- 10 Q. It states, "Israel will exist and will continue to exist
- 11 until Islam will obliterate it, just as it obliterated others
- 12 before it." And that is a quote attributed to the martyr Emam
- 13 | Hassan al-Banna. Who is that individual?
- 14 A. Hassan al-Banna was the founder of the Muslim Brotherhood
- 15 | in the 1920s in Egypt, who we mentioned earlier.
- 16 | Q. This quote says, "Israel will exist and continue to
- 17 | exist," and it goes on and talks about Islam obliterating
- 18 | others before it. Who are the others that they are referring
- 19 to?
- 20 A. When Islam was first founded, it was -- it conquered
- 21 | territories, as many peoples and religions did at the time in
- 22 | the middle ages, ancient times. Here you have a quote,
- 23 attributed to the founder of the Muslim Brotherhood, put into
- 24 the introduction setting the context for Hamas' charter, and
- 25 | what they choose to open with, and I think is pretty clear, is

- 1 | their position on Israel and what Muslims need to do about it.
- 2 | If Muslims don't obliterate it, it will continue to exist, and
- 3 | they need to obliterate it. Obliterate it is pretty clear.
- 4 MR. DRATEL: Objection, Your Honor; non-responsive
- 5 at this time.
- 6 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.
- 7 BY MR. JONAS: If we can go to the next slide,
- 8 please.
- 9 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This is a continuation of the
- 10 | introduction. It says, "this covenant of the Islamic
- 11 Resistance Movement (Hamas) clarifies its picture, reveals its
- 12 | identity, outlines its stand, explains its aims, speaks about
- 13 | its hopes, and calls for its support, adoption, and joining
- 14 | its ranks." What does that mean?
- 15 | A. This document is going to lay out what Hamas is all
- 16 | about--what it believes, what it wants to do, how it wants to
- 17 | do it--and hopes to gather support among others for these
- 18 | ideas, goals, strategies.
- 19 MR. JONAS: Next screen.
- 20 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) The top part of the screen on the right
- 21 | refers to a quote from an Article 2. Would that be what you
- 22 referenced earlier about how this covenant or charter was
- 23 divided up?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 | Q. It says, "The Islamic Resistance Movement is one of the

- 1 | wings of the Muslim Brotherhood in Palestine." Care to
- 2 | comment on that?
- 3 A. I think it is pretty self-explanatory.
- 4 Q. That supports what you said earlier about the history of
- 5 the organization?
- 6 A. Exactly. Hamas describes itself as the Palestinian wing
- 7 of the Muslim Brotherhood.
- 8 Q. The bottom half of the screen on the right side is a
- 9 | quote from Article 3. It says, "In all that, they fear Allah
- 10 and raise the banner of jihad in the face of the oppressors so
- 11 | that they would rid the land and the people of their
- 12 uncleaniness, violence, and evils."
- 13 | First of all, who is Allah?
- 14 A. Allah is God.
- 15 Q. Who is "they" that would fear Allah?
- 16 | A. These are the Muslims who are properly practicing, doing
- 17 | the right thing; those that fear God will raise the banner of
- 18 | jihad in the face of those who oppress them to rid the land of
- 19 | those who don't belong there, of people who are there but, the
- 20 | way they are describing it, unclean, vile, and evil, meaning
- 21 | people who are occupying land that they believe is theirs.
- 22 | Q. Is there a particular people they are referring to here?
- 23 A. In this case it would be the Israelis.
- 24 Q. The term jihad, what does that mean?
- 25 A. Jihad has two meanings. Jihad can mean fighting against

- 1 one's enemies, against infidels, a violent confrontation.
- 2 | Jihad can also mean personal self-improvement. And
- 3 distinguishing between the two requires what is usually a not
- 4 | terribly sophisticated logic test, common sense test.
- 5 When you find the word jihad in the context of
- 6 | obliterating, fighting, it is pretty clearly about a violent
- 7 | jihad; and when you find jihad in the context of improving
- 8 one's self and becoming a better person, it is about
- 9 self-improvement. This is a violent jihad.
- 10 MR. JONAS: Next screen, please.
- 11 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This is a long quote from Article 6.
- 12 | "The Islamic Resistance Movement is a distinguished
- 13 Palestinian movement whose allegiance is to Allah and whose
- 14 | way of life is Islam."
- 15 Why don't we just break it down. What does that mean?
- 16 | A. The Islamic Resistance Movement is Palestinian, it is
- 17 | national, but it is a national movement whose first allegiance
- 18 | is to God. It is a religious movement. And it really sets it
- 19 | apart by being both a nationalist and a religious movement.
- 20 | Q. "It strives to raise the banner of Allah over every inch
- 21 of Palestine."
- 22 What does that mean?
- 23 A. Its purpose is to raise the banner of God over every inch
- 24 of Palestine, not parts of Palestine.
- 25 | Q. When they say every inch of Palestine, what land mass are

they referring to?

A. The Palestine land mass that they have on the cover, on the other side of the slide, which includes what they would describe as all of historic Palestine, what is today Israel, the West Bank, and Gaza.

MR. JONAS: Next page, please.

Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This is from Article 7. "The Prophet Allah, bless him and grant him salvation, has said, 'The day of judgment will not come about until Muslims fight the Jews, killing the Jews, when the Jew will hide behind stones and trees. The stones and trees will say, "O, Muslims, O, Abdulla, there is a Jew behind me. Come and kill them. Only the gharqad tree will not do that because it is one of the trees of the Jews."'"

What does this statement mean?

A. It is a phrase from Muslim tradition, and I am not in a position to comment on its religious significance, but its inclusion in the Hamas charter is very telling and at face value speaks for itself. "The day of judgment will not come until Muslims fight the Jews, killing the Jews, and stones and trees -- the Jews will hide behind stones and trees, and the stones and trees will say, 'Come and kill them. There is a Jew behind me.'" This is Hamas' covenant. It is its charter, What its about. That is what it said in its introduction.

What includes in here is something that is not just about

- 1 Israelis, it is about Jews, and so this now is something
- 2 | larger. And this is clearly not a self-improvement jihad.
- 3 This is a kill the Jews jihad.
- 4 MR. JONAS: Next slide please.
- 5 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This one is entitled "The Slogan of the
- 6 | Islamic Resistance Movement." I want to be clear. Did you
- 7 | put that yourself, "The Slogan of the Islamic Resistance
- 8 | Movement, " or is that from the charter?
- 9 A. This is all from the charter.
- 10 Q. It says, "Allah is its target, the profit is its model,
- 11 | the Quran its constitution, jihad is its path, and death for
- 12 | the sake of Allah is the loftiest of its wishes."
- 13 That says a mouthful. What does that mean?
- 14 A. This entire conflict, Hamas' entire agenda, is put into
- 15 | the context of a religious struggle. God is its target, doing
- 16 | God's work. The Prophet Mohammad is its model. The Quran,
- 17 | which is the Muslims' Bible, if you will, is its constitution,
- 18 | and the way it is going to do this is jihad is its path.
- 19 Killing the Jews is pretty clear what kind of jihad it
- 20 is. And here we have again death for the sake of Allah is the
- 21 | loftiest of its wishes. This is not self-improvement.
- 22 | O. Something that we will talk about in a few moments but I
- 23 want to briefly touch upon here, what is Hamas known for in
- 24 terms of the military activity?
- 25 A. Hamas is known for a variety of types of attacks, but

1 perhaps it is most infamous for suicide attacks in which a

2 person actually does kill one's self in the process of

- 3 killing others.
- 4 Q. Does this quote here from Article 8 feed into giving
- 5 inspiration to people who become suicide bombers?
- 6 A. This is part of the radicalization propaganda that
- 7 | carrying out this suicide bombing is not actually suicide by
- 8 | the bomber, it is self-sacrifice on behalf of the nation; that
- 9 | it is part of death for the sake of Allah, which is the
- 10 | loftiest of wishes for a Hamas member.
- MR. JONAS: Next slide, please.
- 12 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) The next one is entitled "Strategies and
- 13 Methods."
- 15 of Palestine is an Islamic waqf consecrated for future Muslim
- 16 generations until judgment day. It, or any part of it, should
- 17 | not be squandered. It, or any part of it, should not be given
- 18 up."
- 19 Starting with the first sentence, what is an Islamic
- 20 waqf?
- 21 A. An Islamic waqf is an Islamic endowment, something that
- 22 | is ordained by God for the Muslims, meaning this is sacred
- 23 | land; this is land that God has said has to be in control of
- 24 | Muslims. It is not within the prerogative of any individual,
- 25 | says Hamas, to give it up to somebody else for future

1 generations until judgment day. And then it says, "It, or any

- 2 part, of this land should not be squandered, shall not be
- 3 wasted, it, or any part, of this land should not be given up,
- 4 | which obviously is mutually exclusive and in direct conflict
- 5 | with the two-state solution which requires to compromise and
- 6 | have part of the land for a Palestinian country and part of
- 7 | the land for a country of Israel.
- 8 Q. The bottom quote says, "This is the law governing the
- 9 | land of Palestine in the Islamic sharia, and the same goes for
- 10 any land the Muslims have conquered by force."
- I want to stop there for a moment. You mentioned earlier
- 12 | in your testimony about Hamas' goal in taking over this whole
- 13 | land mass, Israel, is that they would operate under Islamic
- 14 law.
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And does this quote go to that?
- 17 A. Very much so.
- 18 Q. It mentions Muslims have conquered by force. "The same
- 19 goes for any land the Muslims have conquered by force."
- 20 What does that mean?
- 21 | A. This is a principle that is common among Islamist
- 22 | ideology that any land that historically had been conquered by
- 23 | Muslims becomes that waqf, that endowment, and must be
- 24 redeemed if it is taken over by somebody else and must have
- 25 Islamic law applied there.

- Q. Does that mean they are looking beyond Israel in that respect?
 - A. The way I read this is that they are saying the situation with Israel is like the situation elsewhere. Hamas is not saying it needs to go and conquer formerly Muslim lands like Spain, but it is saying the same way this principle would apply to any other formerly Muslim land it applies no less to this case here of Israel.

9 MR. JONAS: Next slide, please.

Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This is from Article 13. It is entitled "Peaceful Solutions, Initiatives and International Conferences."

"Initiatives and so-called peaceful solutions and international conferences are in contradiction to the principles of the Islamic Resistance Movement. Abusing any part of Palestine is abuse directed against the part of religion. Nationalism of the Islamic Resistance Movement is part of its religion."

The first sentence talking about initiatives and peaceful solutions, what does that mean?

A. Again, I think it is pretty self-explanatory. Any effort, any international initiative, any international conference, any peaceful solution, is in contradiction to the principles of the Islamic Resistance Movement, which is dedicated to resolving this conflict by violently defeating

1 Israel and instituting an Islamic state in all of historic
2 Palestine.

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

And then again, Hamas puts this into a religious context.

"Abusing any part of Palestine is abuse directed against part of the religion." These other Muslims who claim that they are willing to share and divide this territory are, by Hamas' definition, not being good Muslims.

And then they put Hamas' nationalism in the context of religion as well. True, Hamas is both Islamist and nationalist, but the nationalism of the Islamic Resistance Movement is part of its religion, not the other way around.

MR. JONAS: Next slide, please.

Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This is a continuation of the same article. "There is no solution for the Palestinian question except through jihad."

Which jihad are they referring to?

- A. Again, the context is quite clear. There is no solution for the Palestinian question except through violent jihad. I
- don't think they are implying that through personal
- 20 improvement they will obliterate Israel or the Jews.
- 21 Initiatives, proposals, and international conferences are all 22 a waste of time.
- Q. Has Hamas ever engaged in a peace conference or peace talks?
- 25 A. It is interesting. Hamas is not engaged in peace talks

- 1 for the purpose of a two-state solution. Hamas has engaged in
- 2 talks with other elements of Palestinian society, and in
- 3 recent times, even through third parties with Israel for a
- 4 | temporary cease fire, but has never been willing to agree to a
- 5 permanent solution and has violated the cease fires.
- 6 Q. Has Israel recognized the cease fires that Hamas has
- 7 proposed?
- 8 A. Tacitly, in the sense that there have been situations
- 9 | where Israel wanted a period of calm from Hamas attacks; for
- 10 example, after constant Hamas shelling of Israeli civilian
- 11 communities within Israel proper from within Hamas controlled
- 12 | Gaza. But it doesn't sit down at the table with Hamas and
- 13 | negotiate with them because Hamas has committed to Israel's
- 14 destruction.
- 15 | Q. Have they ever backed away from this quote?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. No?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. You mentioned earlier that Hamas took over Gaza, and you
- 20 | just mentioned about attacks from Gaza. So the fact that
- 21 | Israel withdrew from Gaza, has that placated Hamas in any way?
- 22 A. Not at all. Attacks continue from Gaza in the form of --
- 23 | primarily in the form of rockets and mortars fired at Israeli
- 24 | towns. These rockets and mortars are very crude. You can't
- 25 | aim them, so it is not like they are being fired at

1 specifically military targets. Some have fallen in homes. MR. DRATEL: Your Honor, can we have a time frame? 2 3 THE COURT: He asked for a time frame. THE WITNESS: Israel withdrew in 2005. Hamas rocket 4 attacks have continued with very little break since then. 5 6 Some of these have fallen in homes. Some of these have fallen 7 in kindergartens. There have also been small number of attempts to infiltrate suicide bombers from the Gaza Strip, but Israeli military measures have thwarted almost all of 9 10 these. 11 MR. JONAS: The next slide, please. 12 (BY MR. JONAS) This is from Article 15. "The Jihad for 13 the Liberation of Palestine is an Individual Duty." That is the title. 14 15 The quote, "The day that enemies usurp part of Muslim 16 land, jihad becomes the individual duty of every Muslim." 17 What does that mean? Again, this has all being put into a religious context of 18 a religious obligation. This is not a religious obligation 19 20 that applies to the Muslims generally. This is an obligation that applies to you as a Muslim. Every individual has an 21 22 obligation to participate in this violent jihad, the day that 23 the enemies take any type of Muslim land. 24 Does that include Muslims who live outside of Israel, Ο.

West Bank, and Gaza?

- 1 A. Yes. And Hamas will frequently articulate that if you
- 2 | are not going to come and do it yourself, support those who
- 3 will.
- 4 Q. Are you familiar with the term economic jihad?
- 5 A. I am.
- 6 Q. What does that mean?
- 7 A. Economic jihad, it is not my term, this is a term that
- 8 Hamas and similar groups have employed, jihad bin mal, jihad
- 9 | with money literally, and the pitch goes very much like this:
- 10 If you cannot come and participate in the jihad yourself, then
- 11 | fund someone who will. And by doing so, you will fulfill that
- 12 | individual religious obligation you have to reconquer usurped
- lands.
- 14 Q. Does that funding and economic jihad have to be direct
- 15 | funding to somebody who is about to commit a suicide bomb or
- 16 | some other attack?
- 17 | A. No. Who do you make that check out to? This is made
- 18 | through organizations that support Hamas, and it is not a
- 19 typical for a fundraising pitch that would be used by Hamas or
- 20 groups like Hamas, and in fact has been used.
- 21 | Q. Does this quote, the first quote on the page that we have
- 22 | read, play into the economic jihad, what you are talking
- 23 | about?
- 24 A. Absolutely. You have this individual obligation. Here
- 25 | is a way for you to fulfill it without having to go over there

and pull the trigger yourself.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q. The rest of the next quote on the page is, "In face of the Jews' usurpation of Palestine, it is compulsory that the banner of jihad be raised. To do this requires a diffusion of Islamic consciousness among the masses, both on the regional, Arab, and Islamic levels."

I want to break this down for a moment. The first line, "It is compulsory that the banner of jihad be raised." What does that mean?

It is a requirement, it is compulsory that the banner, the flag, the concept, the principle of violent jihad be raised among the consciousness of the people; as if they do not have this as a priority, they are not going to be willing to engage in it. It takes self-sacrifice to go and put yourself at risk engaged in violence. To do this requires the diffusion or the spreading of the Islamic consciousness, of this Islamic religious ideas among the masses, among the people. You have to make people aware of their Islamic obligations for those obligations to mean anything to them. If you tell someone they have an obligation but they don't subscribe to that world view, it doesn't mean anything. if you are able to bring people back to Islam, this form of Islam, and then tell them they have an Islamic obligation, that is a very powerful means of recruiting and mobilizing people.

And this, they say in their charter, should be done at
the regional level in the Arab and Muslim worlds, at the Arab
and Islamic worlds--we should be soliciting the help of fellow
Arabs, of Muslims, it should be done throughout the region.

Q. So when you say regional Arab and Islamic, is regional being Palestinians?

- A. Regional is beyond Palestinians. In other words, they are saying there is an individual responsibility on Muslims, not on Palestinians, to help free Palestine and create a Palestinian state in all of this territory defeating Israel; not just the Palestinians. That may be nationalist in the sense we may be Palestinian Islamists, but we are not in this alone. This is something for the Muslim world to do. The Muslim world has an obligation here.
- Q. Has the Muslim world responded to what Hamas' charter is calling for?
 - A. Of course you can't really ask does the Muslim world act in a unitary fashion, but there are individuals and organizations, and countries even, that have and that subscribe to this similar ideology and say, yes, this is not just a Palestinian issue; this is a Muslim issue, and we need to support fellow Muslims in Palestine.
- Q. Have these countries and individuals supported the fellow Muslims through violent means the way Hamas calls for?
- 25 | A. They have supported Hamas' violent means primarily

1 through money.

2

8

14

15

16

17

25

- Q. What are some of these countries?
- A. Iran for example, at different times some of the Gulf countries. But the primary source is not any given country,
- 5 | but individuals and organizations.
- Q. The rest of the quote is, "It is necessary to instill the spirit of jihad in the heart of the nation so that they would

confront the enemies and join the ranks of the fighters."

- 9 A. This whole premise is something that you need to convince 10 people of. The normal world view of a human being is to go to 11 work, make a living, provide for your family, get your kids
- through school, and live a life; not to engage in acts that could put you and your family at risk.
 - If you want to get people willing to do this, you need to convince them to do it, and Hamas' primary means of doing that is by saying that this is a religious obligation on each and every one of you fellow Muslims.
- Q. And how does Hamas do that to the Palestinian people?

 How do they get that word out there?
- A. They get the word out through their propaganda, but their most effective means is through the Dawa, through the social welfare infrastructure that was at the bottom, the foundation, of that triangle. Dawa means proselytizing or preaching.

 Those services get people in. You get those people in and

then you can convince them you have an opportunity to present

and convince them of this message.

Saleh al-Din."

MR. JONAS: Next slide, please.

Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This is also part of Article 15. It says, "It is necessary that scientists, educators, and teachers, information, and media people, as well as the educated masses, especially the youth and sheikhs of the Islamic movement, should take part in the operation of awakening."

What does that mean?

A. If you are going to wake people up to this, if you are going to bring them back to the proper practice of Islam so that they will recognize their individual duty to jihad, it can't just be us Hamas. It can't just be the few leaders around Hamas. It needs to be across the spectrum of society—respected persons, scientists, teachers, media. This message needs to get out at a massive, mass grassroots level, because that is how you are going to get people getting this message from all different places, and it will permeate and it will eventually awaken the masses to this necessity.

Q. The rest of this quote is, "It is important that the basic changes be made in the school curriculum to cleanse it of traces of ideological invasion that affected it as a result of the orientalists and missionaries who infiltrated the

region following the defeat of the crusaders at the hands of

They are talking about school curriculum. What does that mean?

- A. There is nothing more important if you are trying to get a message into society than to get it into the children, to get it into the schools. Schools have always been a focus of Hamas' attention. And it is, therefore, no surprise that after Hamas came to power in the Gaza Strip one of the first things it did was replaced secular educators with Hamas teachers to get out moderate, pluralistic ideas that might, for example, support a two-state solution compromise and get in teachers who will preach Hamas' world view.
- Q. Prior to Hamas taking over the Gaza Strip, did Hamas run schools?
- MR. DRATEL: Can we get a time frame again, Your Honor?
 - Q. (BY MR. JONAS) You testified earlier that Hamas took over the Gaza Strip in 2005?
 - A. The Israelis withdrew from Gaza in 2005. In January 2006
 Hamas won elections and then -- and set up a government.

 About a year later in February 2007 that government collapsed, and a government that was made up of Hamas and others came to power. And then just a few months later in June of 2007, hamas turned its guns again on fellow Palestinians, violently
- taking over the Gaza Strip, and it was at that point that the
- 25 Hamas -- the gloves came off and they just completely replaced

- 1 | educators with their own.
- 2 Q. Prior to that time period, and let's talk about the
- 3 | 1990s, did Hamas run schools?
- 4 A. Even before the 1990s. These social welfare
- 5 organizations include kindergartens and primary schools, and
- 6 | throughout the West Bank and Gaza.
- 7 Q. And did they employ what they are saying in this Article
- 8 | 15 about the school curriculum supporting their position?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. It says, "...cleansing the traces of ideological
- 11 | invasion, " and it talks about orientalists and the
- 12 | missionaries. Who are the orientalists and missionaries that
- 13 | infiltrated the region?
- 14 A. Westerners. There were missionaries, Christian
- 15 | missionaries that came through the Arab world. There were
- 16 | Westerners, Europeans, and Americans in particular, who came
- 17 | through with Orientalist or Western ideas and values, and this
- 18 | is Hamas saying that it is important to get rid of these
- 19 | competing ideas in order to be able to instill their own.
- 20 MR. JONAS: Next slide, please.
- 21 | Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This is from Article 18, and it is
- 22 | entitled, "The Role of the Muslim Woman."
- 23 It says, "Women in the home of the fighting family,
- 24 | whether she is a mother or a sister, plays the most important
- 25 | role in looking after the family, rearing the children, and

imbuing them with moral values and thoughts derived from

Islam. She has to teach them to perform the religious duties

in preparation for the role of fighting awaiting them. That

is why it is necessary to pay great attention to schools and

the curriculum followed in educating Muslim girls so that they

would grow up to be good mothers, aware of their role in the

battle of liberation."

What role do the Muslim women play in Hamas?

A. If only a few words were absent from here, they would be playing a role like we would want most mothers to play--gearing their children, imbuing them with religious values. But this is put out specifically in the context of fighting--"the women in the home of the fighting family in preparation for the role of fighting that awaits them, to be good mothers aware of their role in the battle of liberation." This is part of the purpose of a radicalizing Palestinian society, not only at the kind of elite level of these scientists and educators and media people at a massive level, not only in the schools, but in the home. And they are recognizing that parents, and in particular mothers, play a very critical role here.

MR. JONAS: Next slide, please.

Q. (BY MR. JONAS) I am just going to read you this top one, Article 21. "Social and Mutual Responsibility" is the title.

"Mutual and social responsibility means extending

assistance, financial or moral, to all those who are in need
and joining the execution of some of the work. Members of the
Islamic Resistance Movement should consider the interests of
the masses as their own personal interests."

What does that mean?

A. Here, too, this is presenting the goals of the organization as something that is a personal responsibility. This is going to take self-sacrifice, and, therefore, we have to help each other. And again, it would have been fine if it was helping each other, you know, under normal circumstances, helping people get by, extending assistance, financial or moral. But they are very particular. It is to those who are in need and joining in the execution of some of the work. This is not some other article. This is in the context of the Hamas charter about obliterating Israel.

So if you find someone who might be inclined to participate in this obliterating Israel and needs some help to get by in doing so, then you should consider that your own personal interest to help that individual so that they can participate in Hamas' agenda.

MR. JONAS: Next slide, please.

Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This is from Article 27. "The Palestinian Liberation Organization." Is that the PLO you testified about earlier?

25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Why is it that Hamas is dedicating an article to the PLO?
- 2 A. PLO, especially when this charter came out, had many,
- 3 many followers, and Hamas had to explain why it is something
- 4 | new that is worthy of followers at the expense of the PLO.
- 5 This would be perceived by many as not uniting but breaking up
- 6 | the Palestinian national movement, and so they highlight their
- 7 differences and explain why.
- 8 | Q. Is it partly because, as you said earlier, in the early
- 9 | 1970s the Arab world had deemed the PLO as the representative
- 10 of the Palestinian people?
- 11 A. In 1974. And Hamas is making its pitch as to why it
- 12 deserves an opportunity to play that role.
- 13 Q. It talks about the organization adopted the idea of the
- 14 | secular state, and you testified earlier that the PLO is
- 15 | secular, non-religious. Is that correct?
- 16 A. That is correct.
- 17 | Q. So Hamas is recognizing that?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. The last -- The bottom third of the page says, "The day
- 20 | the Palestine Liberation Organization adopts Islam as its way
- 21 of life, we will become its soldiers and fuel for its fire
- 22 | that will burn the enemies."
- 23 Has the PLO ever adopted Islam as its way of life?
- 24 A. No, it has not. And because it has not, Hamas has been
- 25 | in conflict with it, and it with Hamas. As the first

- 1 paragraph states, "Secularism completely contradicts religious
- 2 | ideology." Hamas is clearly a religious ideology.
- Q. Has there been tension with the PLO from the time Hamas
- 4 was created up until today?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You mentioned earlier that when -- In 2007 when Hamas
- 7 took over Gaza, turned its guns on fellow Palestinians.
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Was that the PLO, Fatah which was part of PLO that it
- 10 | turned its guns on?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 MR. JONAS: Next slide, please.
- 13 Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This says from Section E. Would that be
- 14 a subsection of another article?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. It is entitled, "Nationalist and Religious Groupings,
- 17 Institutions, Intellectual, the Arab and Islamic World."
- 18 It says, "The Islamic Resistance Movement hopes that all
- 19 | these groupings will side with it in spheres, would support
- 20 | it, adopt its stand, and solidify its activities and moves,
- 21 | work toward rallying support for it so that the Islamic people
- 22 | will be a base and a stay for it, supplying it with strategic
- 23 depth and all human material and informative spheres in time
- 24 and in place."
- 25 That is a mouthful. What does that mean?

1 Calling on these various cross-cuts of Α. 2 society--nationalists, religious groups, institutions, intellectuals, and the Arab and Islamic world, and it hopes 3 that they will support Hamas and its ideology and its 4 5 activity. They hope that these various types of entities will 6 adopt Hamas' stand and solidify and enable its activities. Ιt 7 will be a base for it and provide it strategic depth in human 8 material, meaning supporters, operatives, and the information sphere that they have talked about, this kind of battle of 9 10 ideas, getting the idea out at a massive level, and furthering Hamas' agenda. 11

Q. The bottom half of the page says, "This should be done through the convening of solidarity conferences, the issuing of explanatory bulletins, favorable articles, and booklets enlightening the masses regarding the Palestinian cause."

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You testified Hamas has a website and issues communiques.

Does it also issue explanatory bulletins?

A. It does, but here even more to the point is it is saying -- it is calling on all these other entities to do so as well, because they don't want to be the only ones doing it. Your message is much stronger when it is echoed by somebody else. So they are hoping everybody else will convene solidarity conferences, meaning in solidarity with the Hamas movement, and issue these bulletins and favorable articles and booklets, et cetera, as Hamas does, too, for certain.

MR. JONAS: Next slide, please.

Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This top part is from Article 30. It says, "Jihad is not confined to the carrying of arms and the confrontation of the enemy. Effective word, the good article, the useful book, support, and solidarity, together with the presence of sincere purpose for the hoisting of Allah's banner higher and higher, all these are elements of the jihad for Allah's sake."

Doctor Levitt, you testified about jihad having two meanings. Which of those two meanings would this section apply to?

A. This is in the context of the Hamas charter. The Hamas charter has been clear throughout that it is about a violent jihad. It has been very clear that this violent jihad is a personal obligation of each Muslim, and that every person should consider enabling someone else to participate in this jihad in their personal interest. But there are many ways to fulfill this obligation, Hamas is saying—not just by carrying of arms and confronting the enemy directly, but if you support that idea, those actions, like we just read in the last slide, through articles, through conferences, the effective word, the good article, the useful book, these too are good and important tools that count as part of the jihad.

Q. The bottom half says, "Whosoever mobilizes a fighter for the sake of Allah is himself a fighter. Whosoever supports

- 1 | the relatives of a fighter, he himself is a fighter." Is that
- 2 | in line with the principle we talked about earlier of economic
- 3 jihad?
- 4 A. That is economic jihad. That is the principle. Again,
- 5 | multiple ways to fulfill this obligation. Can't do it
- 6 | yourself? Enable someone else, fund someone else.
- 7 Q. And again, is the funding of someone giving someone money
- 8 to become a suicide bomber or an attacker?
- 9 A. It can be, but it is not handing them the envelope. This
- 10 is in the Hamas charter. Hamas is making the financial pitch
- 11 here for itself. And if you mobilize our fighters, Hamas is
- 12 | saying in its charter, you will have fulfilled your obligation
- 13 as a fighter. You will be a fighter yourself.
- 14 Q. But does the mobilization have to be of the fighters to
- 15 | satisfy what Hamas is calling for here, or can it be other
- 16 | parts of Hamas as well?
- 17 | A. Certainly it can be other parts of Hamas. As Hamas has
- 18 | made clear, the jihad include these various types of
- 19 | activities. And Hamas is very clear that its social,
- 20 | political, and certainly military activities are part of this
- 21 violent jihad.
- 22 Q. So if someone gives money to the social wing, branch,
- 23 part of Hamas, the Dawa part, are they satisfying the call for
- 24 | jihad that Hamas is requiring here?
- 25 A. Of course. And not just at this obvious level, but also

money is fungible. So you have an organization that is involved in social welfare activity and political activity and military activity, all. You provide them an extra \$10. That is \$10 more they have at their availability and it frees up \$10 they had for something else. So certainly it counts in every which way.

MR. JONAS: If we can go to the next slide.

Q. (BY MR. JONAS) This is from Article 32. It says, "Egypt was, to a great extent, removed from the circle of the struggle through the treacherous Camp David agreement."

What does that mean?

A. Egypt had a peace deal, made a piece deal with Israel, and referred to as the Camp David agreement because it was finalized at the Camp David Presidential Retreat in Maryland in 1979. And by virtue of making this deal, this compromise, Egypt got back the Sinai, that big desert in the southern part of Israel that Israel had conquered in the 1967 War, and Egypt concluded a full peace deal. There is an Egyptian ambassador in Israel and an Israeli ambassador in Egypt.

By doing this, Egypt, as far as Hamas and similar organizations are concerned, they are treacherous. They are traitors. They sold out.

- Q. But does Hamas have any relationship with Egypt today?
- A. Certainly. Hamas is very tactical, and its strategic and tactical decisions are sometimes separate. Hamas will meet

- with Egypt if Egypt can deliver something it needs, even
- 2 though strategically Hamas has very little respect for Egypt.
- Q. The rest of that paragraph says, "They are trying to draw
- 4 other Arab countries into similar agreements and bring them
- 5 outside the circle of struggle."
- 6 Is the "they" they are talking about Egypt again?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And has other Arab countries made peace agreements with
- 9 | Israel?
- 10 A. Jordan has.
- 11 Q. How does Hamas consider Jordan, then?
- 12 A. Not so happy about it.
- 13 Q. The bottom half of that page says, "The Islamic
- 14 Resistance Movement calls on Arab and Islamic nations to take
- 15 | up the line of serious and persevering action to prevent the
- 16 | success of this horrendous plan, to warn the people of the
- 17 | danger emanating from leaving the circle of struggle against
- 18 Zionism."
- 19 What is Zionism?
- 20 A. Zionism is the ideology that led to the creation of a
- 21 | Jewish homeland for the Jews and what became Israel. And for
- 22 | Israelis and Jews, for most people in the world, it is -- For
- 23 | Israelis and Jews it is a very good thing. For most people in
- 24 | the world it is not a good or a bad thing.
- 25 Palestinians see Zionism see Zionism as the ideology that

1 stripped them of their land as see it as a very bad thing.

2 And the context of radical Palestinian groups, and in many

3 cases in non-radical Palestinian groups, Zionism is a very bad

4 word.

5 But the point here is that Hamas is calling on Arab and

6 Islamic nations, who have not yet made this peace deal with

7 | Egypt, as Egypt had by the time this covenant came out --

- Q. You mean peace deal with Israel?
- 9 A. Yes, the Israeli peace deal with Egypt. That they don't

10 | want anybody else to follow suit. They are warning people off

11 of the danger that emanates from this horrendous plan of

12 | striking peace deals. Because imagine if all the Arab states

13 struck a peace deal with Israel, struck these compromises with

14 Israel. Where would Hamas be left? Hamas does not believe in

15 | compromise it would be left without this strategic depth. It

16 | would be left without this support from the Arab and Muslim

17 worlds.

- 18 Q. The rest of that quote says, "Today it is Palestine.
- 19 | Tomorrow it will be one country or another. The Zionist plan
- 20 is limitless."
- 21 Has Israel attempted to take over other countries?
- 22 A. No.
- 23 MR. JONAS: Your Honor, I was going to move to
- 24 another section.
- 25 THE COURT: Is this a good breaking point?

Let's go ahead and recess for the day. Be back here at 1 2 9:00 in the morning. 3 Please let me remind you about the instructions we 4 discussed earlier. Don't talk to anybody about the case. 5 Don't let anybody talk to you about it or watch any reports 6 about it. See you back at 9:00 in the morning. 7 (Whereupon, the jury left the courtroom.) 8 THE COURT: All right. Anything we need to address, counsel, before we recess for the evening? 9 10 MR. MYSLIWIEC: Yes, Your Honor. We filed a motion, docket No. 1183, I think first thing this morning, and it 11 12 relates to a document that Mr. Levitt --13 THE COURT: Everybody can have a seat, by the way. MR. MYSLIWIEC: It relates to a document that Mr. 14 15 Levitt has in his possession. He testified about it at his 16 Daubert hearing and also cited to that document in his book, 17 which effectively was his expert report in this case. Based on Rule 705, and some other rules we have cited in 18 19 the motion, we believe we are entitled to that document being 20 produced. We requested the document from Mr. Jonas, and in fact Mr. 21 22 Levitt testified that he was able to provide it at the Daubert

hearing. Upon requesting it before this trial, Mr. Jonas went

back, spoke to Mr. Levitt, and he is refusing to produce it to

the Defense on the grounds that it is confidential.

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Jonas?

MR. JONAS: Your Honor, I haven't had a chance to respond in writing because this was filed just before court started this morning. I am happy to respond orally.

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

MR. JONAS: Doctor Levitt has not testified about this document. This document is an index of material that the Israelis have in their possession which relates to the testimony of some of the Israeli witnesses that will come later on in the trial. These were items that were seized by the government of Israel during some military maneuvers in the West Bank.

Doctor Levitt was provided this document as a researcher, not because he was testifying in this case. He received it years before we contracted with him.

The Defense has asked previously in the prior trial for Doctor Levitt's material that he relies upon in his book.

Judge Fish dealt with this issue denying their request. It is ECF 549. It is an order dated February 23rd, 2007.

I direct your attention the Court's attention to pages 5 through 7 generally where Judge Fish discusses the Defense's request for material that Doctor Levitt refers to in his book.

Doctor Levitt did not refer to this document in his testimony so far, and will not refer to it in his testimony tomorrow. It only came up in the *Daubert* hearing upon cross

examination by Ms. Cadeddu. He didn't refer to it on direct in his *Daubert* hearing. He did not create the document. He is not relying on the document. There is no basis for the Defense to get it.

It was provided to him by the Israelis in confidence, the way many experts and scholars receive material. It is part of a larger body of work he has reviewed and accumulated over a course of time. There is no reason why they should be entitled to this document.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MYSLIWIEC: Your Honor, I guess that is exactly the point is that he reviewed this document in forming his expert opinion, and clearly Rule 705 says that the Defense is entitled to see the same material that the expert has seen so that it can effectively prepare for cross examination. He testified about this at the *Daubert* hearing, he cited to it in his report. As far as I am aware of --

THE COURT: He cited to it in his report or the book?

MR. MYSLIWIEC: That is what I meant. Because, as you will see in the written motion, in their notice about Mr. Levitt being an expert, they indicated that the book is his report.

MR. JONAS: Your Honor, if I can address that. I don't mean to cut you off. The Government has never, ever

indicated that. The Defense on several occasions has taken something the Government said in order to be courteous -- And we had told them Doctor Levitt will not be filing a report. This was several years ago before the last trial. We said, "However, if you look through his book you can see where some of his testimony will be coming from," as an aid to them to prepare for cross examination.

Believe me, I don't know if we will be that courteous again, given how they have taken the Government's words and have every time attempted to twist it against us by saying it is in effect his report. It is not his report.

MR. MYSLIWIEC: Your Honor, maybe we can put that issue aside. The point is he has talked about this book repeatedly. The book deals with Hamas. It is clearly about his expert opinion on Hamas and he bases that expert opinion specifically on this document, which he cites to in the book, which -- I mean, just to make another point about it, if it is such a confidential document, why is he citing to it and describing it in a publicly available book? I mean, confidentiality is not a basis for not providing something under Rule 705.

In addition to Rule 705, we have outlined a confrontation clause basis for it, a Rule 16 basis for it, and as Mr. Levitt testified here today, he is actually still a government employee when he was talking about working with I think it was

General Jones. He said he hadn't given up his employment in there. He is still on call.

That means this document, in addition to being in Mr. Levitt's possession, is clearly within the control of the Government.

So for those reasons it should be produced to the Defense.

THE COURT: What is your reason for not wanting to turn it over?

MR. JONAS: Because they are not entitled to it and I am afraid -- For two reasons, Your Honor. One is they are not entitled to it, there is no basis for it; and two, I am afraid this is going to open a slippery slope where they are going to start asking for everything in his possession, which, by the way, is a two-way street. If we are going to go down that road, everything that their experts have ever accumulated we would be entitled to. And I am not saying we are.

I just request that Your Honor review Judge Fish's order on this issue.

THE COURT: I will.

MR. JONAS: And Doctor Levitt is not a Government employee. Because he has been a consultant for General Jones on the Israeli-Palestinian conflict does not make him a government employee, and it does not make that document in the possession of the government.

And one other point, Your Honor, because we are going to go in circles real soon on this. This is a document that is an index listing other items that were seized from the Israeli military. It is those items that Doctor Levitt has reviewed. All the index is is a listing of those items. And I think this is a back door attempt to get another -- This is a back door attempt to find out what the Israelis took that the Defendants had other means of learning about that they chose not to pursue.

This document cannot be used to cross Doctor Levitt. He didn't create it. And frankly, Your Honor, it is also in Hebrew. It is not going to help them.

I think I have said everything I need to say, and I think, Your Honor, I would just direct you to Judge Fish's order.

MR. MYSLIWIEC: Just on that last point, Your Honor, cross examination -- The right to cross examination involves questioning the witness about what he chose to consider and also about what he chose not to consider, and this index indicates documents, or should indicate documents, which he chose not to consider, and we have the right to cross examine about that and the right to know about it under Rule 705 and the other authorities I cited to.

THE COURT: All right. Let me take a look at -- And I read your motion that you filed this morning, but I read it

1 hurriedly, so let me take a look at that. 2 And I take it you filed a response the last time and then 3 Judge Fish did his order. 4 MR. JONAS: Yes, sir. MS. HOLLANDER: Your Honor, I would just like to add 5 6 these are documents within the government of Israel that we 7 have no other way to get. We did file a motion earlier for request for letters rogatory, which I don't believe the Court 9 has ruled on. But we don't have any other way to get these 10 documents or to get this list. 11 And Doctor Levitt, although he didn't write an expert 12 report, I think that if you look at what the Government 13 provided at that time, they said, "He is not doing a report, but you can rely on his book." And that is what we have been 14 15 doing. THE COURT: Okay. Let me take a look at that. 16 17 Remind me in the morning. We are in recess until 9:00. 18 19 (End of Day.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A	
2	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF	
3	PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.	
4	I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE TRANSCRIPT FER	ΞS
5	FORMAT COMPLY WITH THOSE PRESCRIBED BY TH	ΗE
6	COURT AND THE JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE	
7	UNITED STATES.	
8		
9	S/Shawn McRoberts 10/16/2008	3
10	DATE	
11	SHAWN MCROBERTS, RMR, CRR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		